

**The user agency may attain the shortcoming mentioned below.**

1. It appears from KML File that the earth cutting along proposed alignment from Diwarikhhol to Garath village has already been done. Further, it is seen that the road along alternate alignment from another existing road also appears constructed. Hence, the Proposal doesn't appear to be justified.

**Action to be taken-** User agency. may submit the justification/clarification in this regard.

2. CA scheme for Rs. 18,22,724/- has been uploaded in additional document in Part-I but the CA scheme for RS. 22,05,490/- found uploaded in additional document in Part-II. Further, two CA scheme for Rs. 2,05,490/- and Rs 20,04,995/- found uploaded in the hard copy.

**Action to be taken -** DFO. may clarify the discrepency and may submit/upload the correct CA scheme in Form A, part II as additional information at para 13.

3. Area mentioned in the tree enumeration list is incorrect and date of counting of trees is also not mentioned in the tree enumeration list.

**Action to be taken -** DFO. may clarify the discrepency and may submit/upload the correct Tree list in Form A, part II as additional information at para 13.

4. This is to be stated that the DFO has upload an estimate for Rs.31,62,000/- which is mentioned as wildlife conservation plan. But this is an estimate and not the wild life conservation plan. The wild life conservation plan should have been prepared by an expert taking into account the present scenarioa in the area. The scenarioa after the construction of road assessment of impact the road on the wild life of the area and the mitigative measures to minimize the impact on the wildlife and the cost of mitigative measures. Therefore it apperers that the so called wild life conservation plan has been prepared without application of mind.

**Action to be taken -** DFO. submit/upload detail of Wildlife consercation plan should have been prepared by an expert taking into account the prseent scenarioa in the area. The scenarioa after the construction of road assessment of impact the road on the wild life of the area and the mitigative measures to minimize the impact on the wildlife and the cost of mitigative measures Tree list in Form A, part II as additional information at para 13.

5. DFO has not given the deatail of rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area in Para-5.4. of the SIR. Further no comments have been given against Para-5.5 of SIR and the recommendation given is lacking in clarity.

**Action to be taken -** DFO. may submit/upload the correct SIR in Form A, part II.

6. DFO has raised 6 issues in his site inspection report uploaded at para 15 of part-II . But DFO should have taken measure to address the issues raised at his level and then forwarded the proposal to the higher authorities in complete manner. Further, none of the higher authorities of the forest depatment/state Govt have given comments on these issues. State govt. may do the needful in this regard.

**Action to be taken -** DFO should have adressed CF for regarding raised point and then forward the proposal to Nodal office in compelete manner. CF may give his comments on these issue in his recommendation.

7. उपरोक्त के अतिरिक्त जो विकल्प प्रस्तावित किया गया है उस समरेखण पर मार्ग पूर्व से उपलब्ध प्रतीत होता है अतः उस समरेखण को मुख्य प्रस्ताव के रूप में प्रस्तुत किया जा सकता था। साथ में यदि पूर्व में यह मार्ग Voilation मे निर्मित किया गया है तो उस की जानकारी इस कार्यालय मे प्रेषित करें।

**Action to be taken -** DFO मार्ग Voilation मे निर्मित किया गया है तो इसकी जानकारी पार्ट II के additional information में अपलोड करते हुए हार्ड प्रति में भी इस कार्यालय को उपलब्ध कराये।