



सत्यमेव जयते

भारत सरकार / GOVERNMENT OF INDIA  
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय /  
Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change  
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, देहरादून /  
Regional Office, Dehradun



25 सुभाष रोड, देहरादून-248001/ 25 SUBHASH ROAD, DEHRADUN-248001  
दूरभाष/ PHONE-0135-2650809, ई-मेल/ E-mail-moef.ddn@gov.in

पत्र सं० 8 बी/यू.सी.पी./06/81/2023/एफ.सी.

दिनांक:

/02/2024

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी  
वन संरक्षण, इन्दिरानगर फारेस्ट कालोनी,  
उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

विषय: जनपद- बागेश्वर के विधानसभा क्षेत्र बागेश्वर के अंतर्गत बागेश्वर से छत्तीना तक मोटर मार्ग के निर्माण हेतु 0.945 है० वन भूमि का गैर वानिकी कार्य हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग को प्रत्यावर्तन के सम्बन्ध में। (Online Proposal No. FP/UK/ROAD/142498/2021)

सन्दर्भ: कार्यालय- अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण, उत्तराखण्ड का पत्रांक 800/-12-1 दिनांक 21-10-2023 (received online on 19.12.2023)

महोदय,

उपर्युक्त प्रस्ताव पर ध्यानपूर्वक विचार करने के उपरान्त मुझे आपको यह सूचित करने का निर्देश हुआ है कि विषयांकित प्रस्ताव में निम्नलिखित त्रुटियाँ पाई गयी हैं। राज्य सरकार निम्नलिखित बिन्दुओं पर आवश्यक सूचनायें प्रेषित करने का कष्ट करें, ताकि अग्रिम कार्यवाही सुनिश्चित की जा सके:

1. It is requested to provide the comparative statement of the alternate alignment explored for the proposal providing details such as length of road, forest area, trees proposed to be affected, village & population benefitted, etc.
2. State Government is requested to upload the KML file of CA area as well as proper CA scheme as per Para 2.3 of FCA Handbook 2023.
3. Detailed muck calculation and muck disposal scheme as approved by concerned DFO is not found submitted. State Government shall submit the detailed muck disposal plan.
4. The DFO shall clarify that why the sites for muck dumping has been chosen in forest land.
5. As per DSS analysis, proposed CA area comes to 2.05 ha instead of 0.945 ha. This shall be clarified and correct information/ KML file/ document shall be uploaded/ submitted.
6. It is seen from tree enumeration list, total 89 trees are marked in forest area but as per online Part-II, only 87 trees in forest area has been

mentioned. State Government shall submit clarification and correct information in this regard.

7. The SIR of DFO is not contain proposal details. The SIR of DFO is required to be provided in **attached** format.

उपरोक्त के क्रम में जवाब प्राप्ति के उपरांत ही प्रस्ताव पर अग्रिम कार्यवाही की जा सकेगी ।

संलग्नक:- यथोपरि।

Signed by Neelima Shah

भवदीया,

Date: 23-02-2024 16:14:57

(नीलिमा शाह, भा०व०से०)

सहायक महानिरीक्षक वन (केन्द्रीय)

प्रतिलिपि:- अपर मुख्य सचिव (वन) उत्तराखण्ड शासन, सुभाष रोड, देहरादून सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु।

Check list for site inspection by the DFO/ DFO/CF/CCF/APCCF

<b>Sl.No.</b>	<b>Particular</b>	<b>Comments of Inspecting Officer</b>
1	Extent in hectares	
2	Location (Lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion.	
3	Legal status of the forest land (PF/RF/other types of forest land)	
4	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	
5	Any signs of encroachment.	
6	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the User Agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	
7	Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition etc..	
8	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	
9	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	
10	Current land use in this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and if not why?	
11	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	
12	Any dependent persons/families on this land.	
13	Any displacement of persons proposed.	
14	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced?	
15	Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest or non-forest land. Location of this area, suitability	

	of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than to kms.	
16	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 Kms. area.	
17	Dependence of tribals in the area. Whether the rights of the tribals have been recognized in this area.	
18	Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	
19	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	
20	Alternatives examined by the User Agency in case of non-site specific projects.	
21	A certificate by the User Agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non- forestry purpose is bare minimum.	
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	
23	Any other issue of significance.	
24	Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for the approval of the Project.	

Signature-

Name

Designation –

Seal-