

No.Ft.48-3223/2015(FCA)

H.P.Forest Department.

Dated Shimla-1, the **27 FEB 2020**

From: Nodal Officer-cum-APCCF(FCA)
O/O Pr. CCF, H P (HoFF)

To:-CF Hamirpur

Subject:- Diversion of 0.0422 ha of forest land in favour of HPPWD for the construction of Link road Panyali to Nugaron Kms 0/0 to 2/0 under PMGSY , within the jurisdiction of Hamirpur Forest Division Distt Hamirpur, HP.

Memo:-

Kindly refer to your office letter No.D.49(S)HMR/8523dated 04.02.2020,on the subject cited above.

2. The proposal received has been found incomplete. The following shortcomings have been noticed in the proposal which are to be completed. The proposal folders received are also returned herewith for necessary corrections. All the requisite documents may please be placed in the proposal folder with proper numbering and index.

1. Although FRA certificate has been uploaded against column No.K(i), but the district level proceedings uploaded have not been attested by the issuing authority. As per GoI instructions FRA certificate in original alongwith attested copies of all the proceedings duly attested by the issuing department are required to be uploaded against this column. Presently the district level proceeding uploaded with FRA certificate is a photocopy and this proceeding attested by the XEN concerned has been placed in the proposal folder. District level proceeding duly attested by the issuing department is required to be uploaded with FRA certificate against column No.K(i) and required to be placed in the proposal folder.
2. Against column No.B-2.3,village-wise breakup although the name of two villages Panyali and Nugran have been mentioned. But as per GoI instructions dated 01.08.2019,a certificate (providing detail of villages) duly authenticated by competent revenue authority may also be submitted and uploaded in online part-I, as an essential document with the proposal on the prescribed format as under giving the detail of both the villages is also required to be uploaded against additional information detail in online part-I

Sr.No.	Name of the village	Village code	Population
1			

3. Against column No.D(i),although justification for locating the project over forest land has been uploaded but in the justification it has been mentioned that two alternate alignments have been examined whereas against column No. D(ii), 'No' has been mentioned and against column No.D(ii)(a),it has been mentioned, ' As the case is for regularization of violation of FCA'. The proposed road is appearing in the list of violation and has been proposed for the regularization of the road under FCA, hence the justification of the proposed road is required to be revised according and revised justification is required to be uploaded against column D(i) and submitted in duplicate for placing the same in the proposal folder.

4. Against column No.4(ii), the number of trees involved in the proposal nil has been mentioned whereas against column No.4(i), the density of vegetation 0.4 has been mentioned. The density of vegetation is required to be reviewed and is required to be revised accordingly. Further, in the hard copy of the proposal the density of vegetation has not been mentioned.
5. In the bill of NPV placed at P.No.54 of the proposal folder '0' has been mentioned.
6. Working plan prescription has not been mentioned against column No.5 of part-II.
7. There is difference in the approximate distance mentioned in online part-II and mentioned in hard copy of part-II. In online part-II, against column No.7, approximate distance, '0' has been mentioned whereas in hard copy against column No.7(ix), 'NA' has been mentioned. The Approximate distance is required to be mentioned against this column in online as well in hard copy of the proposal.
8. There is violation in the present proposal but against column No.3, in the hard copy of part-II, 'No' has been mentioned. The details of this column are in parts and the reply to each column is required to be given in Yes or No.
9. CA has been proposed in terms of number of plants but the CA scheme uploaded online and placed in the proposal folder is not correct. Instead of mentioning the number of plants proposed to be planted, the model of 5 ha scheme has been uploaded/placed in the proposal folder. From the perusal of CA scheme it is not clear how many plants have been proposed to be planted. The scheme of planting number of plants is required to be uploaded and also placed in the proposal folder.
10. Penal CA has been proposed in terms of number of plants and Penal NPV has also been calculated. The penal NPV is required to be uploaded in online part-II against additional information detail. Only one penalty is required to be proposed.

Encl:-As above.

Nodal Officer Cum-Addl. Pr. CCF(FCA)HP.

Endst.No.Ft.48-3223/2015 (FCA)

Dated Shimla-1, the 27 FEB 2020

Copy is forwarded to the following for information & necessary action as above.

1. DFO Hamirpur.
2. XEN,HPPWD Division Barsar, distt Hamirpur,HP.

Nodal Officer Cum-Addl. Pr.CCF(FCA)HP

26/2/2020
SJC
25/2/2020