Following shortcomings still remain.

- 1. In Para-G of Part-I, the cost benefit analysis has been uploaded in the old format. State government may submit the clarification in this regard and provide the correct information.
- 2. In Para-K of Part-I, FRA certificate has been provided in the format meant for linear projects instead of Form-II meant for projects other than linear projects. State government may upload the FRA certificate meant for the projects other than linear projects.
- 3. In Para-L(vi) of part-I, map in Survey of India top sheet showing the project components has been found uploaded instead of map showing the civil land proposed for CA. Moreover, KML file of the proposed CA area and other relevant document viz., ownership proof, non-encumbrance certificate, MoU agreement have not been found uploaded at Para-I. State government may do the needful in this regard.
- 4. In the documents showing length and width of the project uploaded at Sl No. 30 of additional documents in Para-1, the total forest land involved is mentioned as 10.236 instead of 9.397 ha. State Government may submit the revised documents showing forest and non-forest area.
- 5. In para-4(ii), 4(iii) & 4(iv) of Part-II, the number of trees at FRL, FRL-2 mar and FRL-4 mtr is mentioned as 16 trees, 216 trees and nil trees respectively which is not correct. Government may submit clarification and provide correct information in this regard.
- 6. The area of land required for 3 no of muck disposal sites mentioned in component wise breakup at para B 2.4 of Part-1 does not match with the area and dimensions of the 3 no. of muck disposal sites given in the Muck Disposal Plan provided with the hard copy of the proposed. State Government may remove this discrepancy and submit the actual detail of the same.
 - Action to be taken- User agency may revised muck disposal plan.
- 7. It is seen from DSS analysis of the area proposed for CA that 2.00 ha area of Patch –I (7.984 ha) falls in MDF. Similarly 3.00 ha area of Patch-4 (4.872 ha) also falls in MDF. State government may submit the plantation scheme in lieu of area falling in MDF/VDF.
- 8. Copy of TEC and implementation agreement has not been submitted with the proposal. State government may submit the hard copy of TEC and implementation agreement.
- 9. Administrative approval, geologist report and aerial distance certificate not found uploaded.