OFFICE OF THE DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER, SUNDARGARH DIVISION.

(Phone-06622-272243, FAX-06622-274987, email-<u>dfosngdvn@yahoo.co.in</u>)

Memo No. 32 0 /4F (Misc) Dated: - 23/02/2016.

To

The General Manager, Bashundhara Area MCL

Sub:-

Proposal for 29.41 Ha. of forest land for Basundhara Washery of Mahanadi Coal fields Limited.

- Submission of compliance report

Ref:-

Memo No. 266 dt. 22.02.2016 of RCCF, Rourkela.

Sir

Please find the objections raised by the Regional Chief Conservator of Forests, Rourkela and you are requested to comply the objections for onward transmission at the earliest. Encl:-As above.

Yours faithfully

Divisional Forest Officer Sundargarh Forest Division

Memo No. <u>22</u> /4F (Misc) /2016 Dated: - /02/2016

Copy forwarded to the Regional Chief Conservator of Forests, Rourkela Circle, Rourkela/ Addl.Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Forest Diversion & Nodal Officer, FC-Act, Office of the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Odisha Bhubaneswar for favour of information and necessary action.

Divisional Forest Officer
Sundargarh Forest Division.



OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CHIEF CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS, ROURKELA CIRCLE, AT/P.O. PANPOSH, ROURKELA-769 004.

Ph. No. 0661-2664324, FAX-0661-2664325, E-mail: rccfrourkela@gmail.com

Memo No. ________/3F(Dis-S)-324/2016. Dated, Rourkela the, 22 th, February, 2016.

To

The Divisional Forest Officer, Sundargarh Forest Division.

Sub:-

Proposal for diversion of 29.41 ha. of forest land in Sundargarh forest Division for construction of Basundhara Coal Washery 10 MTY by Mahanadi Coalfields Limited.

Ref:- Your Memo No. 687 /4F (Misc) Dated: 17 .02.2016.

On scrutiny of the above diversion proposal, submitted vide your memo under reference, it is found to be suffering from some deficiencies and you are required to furnish your compliance on the following points.

- The Checklist has not been appended to the proposal and it should be submitted.
- 2. The proposed project need to be shown distinctly on the Toposheet with an index . The Toposheets should also be signed by the Tahasildar concerned.
- 3. Land use plan with forest land proposed to be diverted should be submitted . The RF and Revenue Forest should be shown with distinct colours. The area proposed for re-diversion should also be shown in the land use plan by the User Agency and it should be countersigned by the DFO & the concerned Tahasildar.
- 4. The certificate regarding the status of the total land involved in the project (both Forest and non-forest land) as on 25.10.1980 should also be obtained from the Tahasildar concerned as per the instruction of the Chief Secretary , Odisha communicated vide Memo No.5083/RDM, dtd.13.2.2015.
- 5. The Cost Benefit Analysis has been furnished by the User Agency only. The loss to be sustained on account of timber, firewood, Minor Forest produce and environmental loss has to be calculated by the DFO and not the User Agency. The Summary of Cost Benefit Analysis (Ratio) is to be given duly countersigned by the DFO.
- 6. The certificate under FRA,2006 from the Collector concerned may be submitted.
- 7. It is seen that, in total 958 nos. of trees have been enumerated both in forest and non-forest land involved in the project. But ,from the tree enumeration list enclosed with the proposal it can not be ascertained whether this enumeration list pertains to the forest or the non-forest land . Detailed tree enumeration list in respect of forest & non-forest land should be furnished village wise and plot wise with abstract as well as unit calculation sheet.

- 8. The Geo-referenced maps for the forest area involved other than RFs are required to be signed by the Tahasildar concerned since the same is wanting.
- 9. The CA scheme should also include a Treatment Map showing the proposed interventions. Non- encumbrance and Non- encroachment certificate in respect of the CA land has not been furnished which should also be furnished.
- 10. Further, the User Agency in the Diversion Proposal has proposed for rediversion of 8.53 ha. of forest land in respect of the said proposal, which was earlier diverted in respect of Kulda OCP of MCL out of total 29.41 ha. of the forest land proposed for diversion. But, you have neither stated anything regarding this in your forwarding letter nor in the Part-II of the diversion proposal. Please clarify.

The above deficiencies may be complied with immediately for further necessary action at this end.

Regional Chief Conservator of Forests, Rourkela Circle, Rourkela.

22/2/10

Regional Chief Conservator of Forests, Rourkela Circle, Rourkela.

2 mly

Regional Chief Conservator of Forests, Rourkela Circle, Rourkela