

Following shortcomings still remain in the online reply.

- 1.** Village wise breakup of forest land proposed for diversion is not mentioned (online para-B-2.3 of Part-I).
- 2.** Please provide area of each village and forest compartment number in village wise breakup at Para B-2.3 in Form A, part I.
- 3.** As per KML file of proposed road, no existing road is shown to which proposed road would be connected. also habitation seems to be very less as per KML file.
- 4.** It is seen that the kml file for the area proposed for diversion is not correct. User agency may upload correct file so that the proposal can be examined.
- 5.** As per KML file ends dense forest area and no habitation exist near the area. Number of villagers to be benefited is mention as to user agency may submit justification of the requirement the road and show the beneficiary village along with the existing road network in KML file.

....Nodal office, Dehradun....