

No.Ft.48-3645/2017(FCA)
H.P.Forest Department.

Dated Shimla-1, the '07 FEB 2020

From: Nodal Officer-cum-APCCF(FCA) To:-CCF Solan
O/O Pr. CCF, H P (HoFF)

Subject:- Diversion of 4.7 ha of forest land in favour of HPPWD for the Construction of Kiari to Khinna road Kms 0/0 to 7/820, within the jurisdiction of Solan Forest Division Distt Solan, HP.

Memo:-

Kindly refer to your office letter No.Ft.SLN-C-Sale-59/2019/3525 dated 18.01.2020, on the subject cited above.

2. The proposal received has been found incomplete. The following shortcomings have been noticed in the proposal which are to be completed. The proposal folders received are also returned herewith for necessary corrections. All the requisite documents may please be placed in the proposal folder with proper numbering and index.

1. The proposal folder submitted has not been filed properly. 54 pages of the folder have been tagged with one tag. All the papers are required to be filed properly in a folder as the one of the proposal folder is to be sent to GoI, MOEF, Regional office Dehradun.
2. There is difference in estimated cost of the project mentioned against column No.A-1(vii) of online part-I and mentioned against column No.1(iii) in hard copy of part-I. In online part-I, 50 lac have been mentioned and hard copy 781.18 lac has been mentioned. The estimated cost of the project should tally as mentioned in hard copy and in online part-I.
3. There is difference in employment likely to be generated, mentioned against column No.E in online part-I and given in hard copy of the proposal. Against column No.E(ii), '2' and against E(iii), '9000', has been mentioned whereas in hard copy of part-I against column No.1(vi), '9000 mandays' have been mentioned. The employment details given in online part-I and placed in hard copy should match. Necessary corrections are required to be made in the employment details.
4. Against column No.K(i), the complete FRA certificate has not been found uploaded. As district level proceedings have not been found uploaded with the FRA certificate. As per GoI instructions FRA certificate in original along with attested copies of all the proceedings duly attested by the issuing department are required to be uploaded against this column and also submitted to this office. In both the proposal folders, copy of FRA certificate along with SDM level proceedings has been placed at P.No.23-24 of the folder and photocopies of the proceedings of village level committee have been placed at P.Nos.31-37 of the proposal folders. The FRA certificate along with all the proceedings, VLC level and SDM level and district level proceedings is a single document and is required to be placed in one place in continuity. Further, as per GoI instructions, original copy of FRA certificate and attested copies of all the proceedings duly attested by the issuing department is required placed in one of the proposal folder which is to be sent to GoI.

5. Against column No.B-2.3, although village-wise breakup has been given. But as per GoI instructions dated 01.08.2019, a certificate (providing detail of villages) duly authenticated by competent revenue authority may also be submitted as an essential document with the proposal on the prescribed format as under and also required to be uploaded in online part-I, against additional information detail:

Sr.No.	Name of the village	Village code	Population
1			

6. As per latest instruction of GoI, certificate showing the distance of the forest land proposed for diversion, from the protected area and their eco-sensitive zone is required to be uploaded in online part-I against additional information detail in online part-I.
7. The legal status of the forest land proposed for diversion has not been specified against column No.2 of online part-II whereas in hard copy of the proposal UPF has been mentioned.
8. As per detail of trees mentioned in online part-II, 214 trees are standing over the forest land proposed for diversion. But from the perusal of enumeration lists of trees/ abstract placed in the proposal folder /detail of 215 trees has been placed. Thus in online part-II also the detail of 215 trees is required to be mentioned. The enumeration lists of trees duly signed by DFO concerned with scientific name of the trees is required to be placed in the proposal folder. Presently these lists have been signed by the Range Forest Officer concerned. Further, as per latest instructions of GoI dated 23.9.2019, the enumeration lists/ abstract of trees has although been uploaded but this abstract is required to be prepared as per detail given in online part-II according to girth class of the trees.
9. CA has been proposed over 9.40ha of forest land but the area of patch of CA site has not been found marked in KML file uploaded against column No.13(i), meant for CA details.
10. Muck disposal plan has not been found uploaded in online part-I and also has not been found placed in the proposal folder.
11. There is violation in the present proposal but the said road is not appearing in the list of 2183 road proposals constructed in violation of FCA, 1980, supplied by HPPWD. However, the joint inspection report of the committee has been placed at P.No.46-47 of the proposal folder. Necessary clarification of the user agency is required to be submitted/placed in the proposal folder for not including the proposed road in the list of violation cases earlier

Encl:-As above.


Nodal Officer Cum-Addl. Pr. CCF(FCA)HP.

Endst.No.Ft.48-3645/2017 (FCA) Dated Shimla-1,the

Copy is forwarded to the following for information & necessary action as above.

1. DFO Solan.
2. Executive Engineer, HPPWD Division, Solan Distt Solan, HP.


Nodal Officer Cum-Addl. Pr.CCF(FCA)HP