

कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण,  
इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक ,  
भारत सरकार,  
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय,  
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, 25-सुभाष रोड़,  
देहरादून।

विषय:- जनपद-पौड़ी गढ़वाल में प्रधानमंत्री ग्राम सड़क योजना के अन्तर्गत किमी 57 के टी0-2 (ओडी0आर0) मोटर मार्ग से मंजोखी मोटर मार्ग 7.600 किमी0 के निर्माण के सम्बन्ध में। FP/UK/ROAD/11141/2015


सन्दर्भ:- भारत सरकार पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, देहरादून के EDS दिनांक  
-23-05-2016

महोदय,

विषयांकित प्रकरण पर भारत सरकार के उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित EDS दिनांक- 23-05-2016 के सम्बन्ध में वन संरक्षक, शिवालिक वृत्त, देहरादून, उत्तराखण्ड से प्राप्त सूचना निम्न प्रकार प्रेषित की जा रही है :-

क्र0सं0	प्रश्न	उत्तर
1	Short narrative of the project is still not giving adequate information in Part I.	Short narrative of the project has been uploaded at section A-1 (iii) in Form A, Part I.
2	Total period for which the proposed land to be diverted is not mentioned in Part I.	Total period has been filled at section A-1 (x) in Form A, Part I.
3	GPS coordinate of 200-300m distance along the proposed alignment including geo-coordinate on every turn is provided by the State Govt in google image which should be on GIS software generated georeferenced map.	GPS coordinate and GIS map has been and uploaded at section C(iv) in Form A, part I.
4	The area mentioned in Sub Divisional Level committee (SDLC) and Vilage Level Committee (VLC) proceedings is not match with the area proposed for diversion. The State Govt may revise the area accordingly in SDLC and VLC.	SDLC and VLC meeting certificate have been uploaded with FRA at section K in Form A, Part I.
5	The road as mentioned in the title is up to Majokhi but in KML file the end point of the road is in Dhari. The Sate Govt may comment on this and change the title of the project accordingly, if required.	KML file of the proposal has been uploaded at section L in FormA, part I
6	The CA scheme and other details pertaining to CA site is provided in the hard copy as well as in online Part I and II is for area 9.8 ha while it should be given for twice the area proposed for diversion i.e. $5.659 \times 2 = 11.318$ ha. The State Govt may revise the CA area and upload the other required details/documents accordingly in Part I and II.	Relevant documents have been uploaded in Form A, part I.
7	The Sol toposheet map indicating area proposed for CA and GIS software generated geo-referenced map showing coordinates for all corners of the polygon is not uploaded in the designated column in Part I and II.	Sol toposheet map and GIS map has been uploaded in Part I and Part II.
8	The KML file shows the CA site proposed in four patches while in details of column L of Part I detail of only one patch is provided. The State Govt may review the same and provide correct	KML file for CA has been uploaded at section L in FormA, part I.

	Govt may review the same and provide correct detail of CA area proposed in the proposal.	
9	Authority letter for the applicant is not uploaded in Part I. the letter uploaded is not correct.	Authority letter has been uploaded at section A-3 (xvii) in Form A, part I.
10	Village wise breakup is not provided in Part I.	Village wise breakup detail has been filled at section B-2.3 in Form A Part I by user agency.
11	Correct component wise break up is not provided in Part I.	Component wise breakup detail has been filled at section B-2.4 in Form A Part I by user agency.
12	Density of the area proposed for diversion should be mention in decimals in Part II.	Corrected Density mentioned in Form A, part II by DFO.
13	Cost benefit analysis (C/B) is not provided in correct form as it should be given with proper quantification of loss and benefit in monetary terms.	Corrected Cost benefit analysis (C/B) has been Uploaded at section G in Form A, part I.
14	Approximate distance of the proposed site from the forest boundaries is not provided.	Approximate distance of the proposed site of diversion from boundary of forest has been corrected and filled in para 7 in online Form A, part II
15	The NPV calculation is not provided with the proposal. The State Govt is advised to review the density and provide NPV calculation accordingly.	NPV calculation details have been uploaded in additional information SR.NO-2 in form A, part II.
16	CA stipulation is not commensurate with the total forest area diverted in the district.	CA stipulation has been uploaded in form A, Part II.
17	In the DSS analysis 7.0 ha area of CA is Moderately Dense Forest (MDF) and 1.0 ha area in Very Dense Forest (VDF) which is not suitable for CA. The State Govt may review the area again and proposed the VDF and MDF area in other places if required.	Relevant documents have been uploaded in form A, Part I.

भवदीय,  
  
 (एच.के.सिंह)  
 वन संरक्षक  
 d