

## कार्यालय उप वन संरक्षक, टौन्स वन प्रभाग, पुरोला

पंत्राक- 981 /12- दिनांक,पुरोला 🕹 -1/-2019।

फोन / फैक्स नं0— 01373—223328 E-Mail:- dfo\_tons@yahoo.co.in वन मित्र कॉल सेंटर— 9208008000

सेवामें.

- बन संरक्षक, यमुना वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।
- निदेशक, राजाजी रिजर्वस टाईगर, देहरादून।
- विषय :- जनपद उत्तरकाशी के विकास खण्ड मोरी के अन्तर्गत सुपिन नदी पर प्रस्तावित 44 मे0वा0 क्षमता की जखोल सांकरी जल विद्युत परियोजना के निर्माण हेतु 24.317 है0 वन भूमि के सम्बन्ध में।
- सन्दर्भः Minutes of the 42<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Regional Empowered Committee of Regional Office, North Central Zone, Dehradun held on 30 September, 2019 एवं अपर महाप्रबन्धन/परियोजना प्रमुख के पत्रांक-एसजेवीएन/जसांजविपरि/वन भूमि/19-17-18 दिनांक 18.11. 2019।

महोदय.

उपरोक्त विषय के सम्बन्ध में भारत सरकार, पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय देहरादून द्वारा लगायी गयी ई०डी०एस० क्वेरी का बिन्दुवार निराकरण कर आपके आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित है :--

<b>葬</b> 0	fo flores A O	
	ई०डी०एस०क्वैरी	प्रतिउत्तर
सं0		
1.	In part- B-1 of part-1 pertaining to past proposal	Reply of user agency is enclosed.
	the detail of the proposal under consideration has	
	been given which his incorrect	
2.	Map of SOI top sheet uploaded at para-C (III) of	Needful done.
	online part-1 has not been authenticated by DFO,	
	Govind Wild Life Sanctuary Division and the	
	representative of user agency.	
3.	Map of SOI top sheet uploaded at para-C (III) of	Needful done.
	online part-1 has not been authenticated by DFO,	1 1 3 5 G T G T G T G T G T G T G T G T G T G
	Tons forest division and the representative of user	
	agency.	
4.	Although the copy of CAT plan has been uploaded	Needful correction has been done.
	at para-M (ii) (b) but the same is not opening.	1. Court of total mas been done.
5	Enumeration list of trees present at FRL has been	Certificate of D.D. Wild Life has been
	uploaded at para-4 (ii) of online part-ii but the	enclosed.
	detail of trees at FRL -2 mtr and FRL-4 mtr has	chelosed.
	neither been found uploaded nor provided in hard	
	copy. A certificate of DFO that not tress is in the	
	area proposed for barrage is required to be	
	submitted.	
6.	Brief not on vulnerability of the forest area	The area is not vulnerable and also during the
	proposed for diversion to erosion given para-6 of	construction of the project ther is no
	online part-ii is not appropriate. Which is required	possibilitye of erosion.
	to be correct	possionity of Glosion.

has been proposed in Govind Wild Life Sanctuary as per detail given below- I. Kasla Comptt. No. 2-20 ha. II. Jakhol Comptt. No.7-15 ha. III. Unani Comptt. No. 4 (b)- 15 ha.  Geo reference map and map in SOI toposheet uploaded at part-13 have not been authenticated by the DFO.	As per instruction CA plan has been selected in Tons Forest Division as detail given below- I. Gundiyatgaon-7A -10 ha. II. Devdung-3-10 ha. III. Jakh- 13B- 20 ha. IV. Ratedi-4- 10 ha.  The same has been already authenticated by DFO and enclosed with the proposal.
DFO. Tons Forest Division has not given the name of working circle in para-5 of online part-ii and brief not on vulnerability of the area to erosion also not appropriate.	Name of Working Circle- Chir Workingcircle. The area is not vulnerable and also during the construction of the project ther is no possibilitye of erosion.
It is seen from the data given in part-14 of online para-ii i.e. District profile that the CA stipulated (2548.06 ha) is not commensurate to the forest land diverted (1653.08 ha) logically. CA stipulated is double the area of forest land diverted.	District Profile of Uttarkashi District As on Dec-2018 (Copy enclosed):-  1. Total Forest area is diverted- 1525.37 ha.  2. Total CA done- 1981.40 ha.
Name and designation of the officer has not mentioned in the recommendation of the state govt. uploaded at para-16 of online part-ii. Official seal has also not been affixed	Official seal, Nam and designation to be provided by state govt.
Magority of the documents provided in the hard copy of the proposal are photocopy.	Already explained in our earlier reply.
Yes is mentioned against para-12 of part-ii of Govind Wild Life and National Park regarding violation. Which need correction.	Needful correction has been done.
	as per detail given below-  I. Kasla Comptt. No. 2-20 ha.  II. Jakhol Comptt. No. 4 (b)- 15 ha.  III. Unani Comptt. No. 4 (b)- 15 ha.  Geo reference map and map in SOI toposheet uploaded at part-13 have not been authenticated by the DFO.  DFO. Tons Forest Division has not given the name of working circle in para-5 of online part-ii and brief not on vulnerability of the area to erosion also not appropriate.  It is seen from the data given in part-14 of online para-ii i.e. District profile that the CA stipulated (2548.06 ha) is not commensurate to the forest land diverted (1653.08 ha) logically. CA stipulated is double the area of forest land diverted.  Name and designation of the officer has not mentioned in the recommendation of the state govt. uploaded at para-16 of online part-ii. Official seal has also not been affixed  Magority of the documents provided in the hard copy of the proposal are photocopy.  Yes is mentioned against para-12 of part-ii of Govind Wild Life and National Park regarding

संलग्न–उपरोक्तानुसार।

(आर०पी० मिश्रा) उप वन सरक्षक, टौन्स वन प्रभाग, पुरोला।