6	In the C.A scheme, you have proposed to raise bald hill plantation over 20.785 ha in the identified CA land in village Nuagaon. But it is observed that, the suitability certificate / information whether the area / site qualify for bald hill cost norm as per foot note in bottom-line of approved cost norm for bald hill plantation is not given.	Complied at Page No. 323 of the diversion proposal
7	The identified CA land of 30.998 ha at village Bamini needs to be revisited to consider whether the area is suitable for undertaking CA or not as the area appears to be having growth as per the Topo map and occurrence of Sal; reported in the scheme	Although this patch shows Sal growth as per Topo map but now there is no such tree growth. However the are is surrounded with forest / vegetation. The area is predominantly a flat land with existence of bushes in a scattered manner. There is no concentrated growth in the entire surveyed area
8	Table of contents with page reference and e-mail generated (online Registration) Acknowledge slip not enclosed.	Provided at Page No. 1 to 4 (A) of the diversion proposal

In this regard 04 (four) sets of diversion proposal are re-submitted herewith for favour of kind information and needful action.

Encl:

- 1. Diversion proposal 4 (four) sets.
- 2.Copy of Memo No. 2013 dt 02.06.2016 of DFO, Nabarangpur Division.
- 3. Copy of Memo No. 2345 dt 29.06.2016 of DFO, Nabarangpur Division.
- 2. Time line details generated from web of GOI, MoEF & CC.

Regional Chief Conservator of Forests
Koraput Circle, Koraput

Memo No.\_\_\_\_\_/ Dated 2016
Copy forwarded to the DFO, Nabarangpur Forest Division for information with reference to his Memo No.2345 dt 29.06.2016

Regional Chief Conservator of Forests Koraput Circle, Koraput

## ଆଞ୍ଚଳିକ ମୁଖ୍ୟ ବନ ସଂରକ୍ଷକଙ୍କ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ, କୋରାପୁଟ ମଣ୍ଡଳ, କୋରାପୁଟ

OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CHIEF CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS, KORAPUT CIRCLE, KORAPUT. କାର୍ଯ୍ୟାଳୟ (office) 06852-251284 (Telefax), (ବାସଗୁହ) Res 06852-250642, Mob. ମୋବାଇଲ 09437079696

E MAIL: rccfkoraput@gmail.com

Memo No. 25/7 1F (Project) 118 / 2016

Dated

30.06.2016

To

The Addl. Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (Forest Diversion & Nodal Officer, F.C.Act)
O/O the PCCF, Odisha. Bhubaneswar

Sub:

Proposal for diversion of 51.783 ha of Forest land for Turi-Guntat Medium Irrigation Project in Nabarangpur District. (State SI. No.75/2015 dt 2.11.2015)

Proposal No. FP/ OR / IRRIG / 13379 / 2015.

Ref

Your Memo No.7368 / 9F (Irrg)-346 / 2013 dated 20.04.2016

In inviting a kind reference to your above cited memo on the subject, this is to inform that the Divisional Forest Officer, Nabarangpur Forest Division vide his Memo Nos.2013 dt 02.06.2016 & 2345 dt 29.06.2016 (copy enclosed) has furnished the point-wise compliance on the deficiencies pointed out vide your memo under reference as detailed below-

SI. No.	Deficiencies	Compliance
1	English translation of Palli Sabha ( Gram Sabha ) resolutions is wanting	Complied at Page No.234 (A) to 234 (I) of the diversion proposal
2	Detailed land schedule furnished by the Tahasildar concerned as well as DGPS map in respect of village Bikrampur do not show involvement of any Reserve Forest land in the project. It is seen that all the plots bear Khata number . and Plot number indicating the patch of land as Revenue Forest. But in the part-II and site inspection report of DFO and RCCF it has been reported that the project involves 7.193 ha of Bikrampur Reserve Forest land. The Revenue forest land of 0.0016 in village Taragoan given in the abstract of forest land has not been reflected in the land schedule. This discrepancy may be clarified.	The said patch of the land has been declared as R.F, yet it is in the Revenue record. Hence considered as R.F  User agency will avoid this small patch (0.0016 AC) of forest land for this project.
3	The total area of non forest Govt. land in village Pilika and non- forest private land in village Chatahandi mentioned in the proposal does not match with the total area of land schedule of the respective villages.	Complied at Page 81, 57 to 65 of the diversion proposal
4	Plot No. 576 (P) and 578 (P) in village Dengagura having private ownership have been included in the Government non forest land. The discrepancy may be complied. In addition to the land schedule of village Palpur does not reflect the kissam and Polt No. 346, 1474, 1490	Complied at Page No. 194,195 & 207 of the diversion proposal
5	Details of vegetative cover and engineering structures required to be undertaken in the catchment area for soil & moisture conservation measure has not been included in the CATP scheme, which may be revised accordingly.	The catchment area treatment plan has been revised with financial cost of Rs 269.73 Lakh which has been included in the diversion proposal at page numbers from 337 to 375.