कार्यालय वन संरक्षक, गढ़वाल वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, पौड़ी

पत्रांक:- 339 / 12-1 दिनांक, पौड़ी, जुलाई, 27 ,2017.

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी वन संरक्षण, इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड देहरादुन।

विषय-

जनपद—रूद्रप्रयाग के अन्तर्गत ममणी—उरोली मोटर मार्ग (2.10 है0) के निर्माण हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग को वन भूमि का प्रस्ताव।

Proposal no-FP/UK/ROAD/11055/2015.

सन्दर्भ-

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, रूद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रूद्रप्रयाग का पत्रांक 248/12-1(2) दिनांक,21.07.2017.

महोदय,

उपयुक्त विषयक प्रकरण में वांच्छित आपत्तियों का निराकरण प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, रूद्रप्रयाग वन

प्रभाग रुद्रप्रयाग द्वारा किया गया है जो निम्न प्रकार हैं:-

क्र.सं.	रूद्रप्रयाग द्वारा किया गया है जो निम्न प्रकार है: आपत्ति	निराकरण
1	Short narration of the project provided in online Part-I does not provide adequate information about the project.	Filled in Form A part I Section-A-1-(iii)
2	In the village wise breakup the area of both the village Uroli and Ijra is not mentioned separately in online Part-I.	Ijra village is located near the end of proposed road hence being benefited from the proposal. Land of Ijra village is not being used in proposed road.
3	The geo referenced digital map for area proposed for diversion uploaded in online Part-I para C b(iv) is in google earth image does not serve the purpose. The State Govt. may upload the digital map showing geo-coordinate of the proposed road at 200-300 including coordinates of each turn.	GEO reference digital map had been uploaded at Part-I Section-C (b) (iv)
4	The geo referenced digital map for area proposed for CA uploaded in online Part-I para L (v) does not serve the purpose as provided in google earth image. The State Govt. may provide/upload digital map showing geocoordinates for each corner points exact to the scale.	Geo reference digital map of area proposed for CA had been <i>uploaded at Part-I</i> Section-L (v)
5	Employment details provided in online Part-I appears incorrect. The state Govt. may review the same and provide correct details.	Employment details had been corrected in online part I Section-E (ii) & (iii)
-6	The village Level Committee proceeding for village Ijra is not provided with the FRA certificate. The State Govt. may provide/upload the VLC proceeding for village Ijra.	Land acquisition from Ijara village had not been done as the proposed road is ending near Ijra village. Thus benefiting it. So VLC proceeding for village Ijra is not required.

	(2)		
7	The rate of NPV calculated for dense forest however the 0.05 density does not accord for dense forest category. The State Govt. may review and provide correct NPV rate for the project.	NPV Calculation provided and Uploaded at Part-II Additional Information Details Sl.No13(iv)1	
8	From the component wise breakup in online Part-I and the muck disposal plan provided in hard copy, it is not clear that the area marked for muck dumping included in the area proposed for diversion or the private land. The State Govt. may clarify whether the area marked for dumping is included in the area proposed for diversion, if not revise the proposal after including the area in diversion area accordingly.	Area marked for muck dumiping is private land. Noc of private land owners is attached at Additional Information Details-Sl.No25	
9	The area mentioned for CA is 2.1 ha in online Part-I para L and para 13 of online Part-II, which is incorrect. Though, the CA scheme and suitability certificate provided for 4.2 ha area in hard & soft copy the State Govt. do necessary correction in online portal and the revenue papers and Khasra details uploaded at para L (iv) (A-H).	Area mentioned for CA had been corrected in o line Part I Para L and para 13 is of online part-II. Revenue papers and khasra details had been <i>uploaded at Section-L-(iv)-(A-H) of part-1</i>	
10	State Govt. may upload revised KML file for CA area of 4.2 ha in online Part-I para L.	KML file of CA area of 4.20 ha had been uploaded at Section-L of part-I	

भवदीय,

(जीठके०स्स्तॉगी) वन संरक्षक,

वन संरक्षक, गढ़वाल वृत्व, उत्तराखण्ड,पौड़ी।



ई, मेल :- dforudraprayag @gmail.com फोन/ फैक्स नंo :- 01364 - 233505:: पता -: माई की मढ़ी निकट जवाड़ी बाईपास स्वट्टप्रयाग

कार्यालय उप वन संरक्षक, रुद्धप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रुद्धप्रयाग।

पत्रांक २४० / 12- 1(2)

दिनांक २ | . 7 /2017

सेवा में,

वन संरक्षक, गढवाल वृत्त उत्तराखण्ड, पौडी।

विषय :-

जनपद-रूद्रप्रयाग के अन्तर्गत ममणी-उरोली मोटर मार्ग (2.10 है0) के निर्माण हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग को वन भूमि हस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव।

Proposal No. - FP/UK/ROAD/11055/2015

सन्दर्भ :--

आपका ऑनलाईन सन्देश (EDS) दिनांक 20.06.2017।

महोदय.

उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित विषयक वन भूमि हस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव में भारत सरकार स्तर से लगाई गई आपत्तियों का निराकरण अधिशासी अभियन्ता, प्रान्तीय खण्ड, लो०नि०वि०, रुद्रप्रयाग ने अपने पत्रांक-2144/16सी० दिनांक 20.07.2017 द्वारा निम्न प्रकार प्रेषित है।

क्र. सं.	आपत्ति	निराकरण
1	Short narration of the project provided in online Part-I does not provide adequate information about the project.	Filled in Form A part I Section-A-1-(iii)
2	In the village wise breakup the area of both the village Uroli and Ijra is not mentioned separately in online Part-I.	ljra village is located near the end of proposed road hence being benefited from the proposal. Land of Ijra village is not being used in proposed road.
3	The geo referenced digital map for area proposed for diversion uploaded in online Part-1 para C b(iv) is in google earth image does not serve the purpose. The State Govt. may upload the digital map showing geo-coordinate of the proposed road at 200-300 including coordinates of each turn.	GEO reference digital map had been uploaded at Part-I Section-C (b) (iv)
4	The geo referenced digital map for area proposed for CA uploaded in online Part-I para L (v) does not serve the purpose as provided in google earth image. The State Govt. may provide/upload digital map showing geocoordinates for each corner points exact to the scale.	Geo reference digital map of area proposed for CA had been uploaded at Part-I Section-L (v)
5	Employment details provided in online Part-I appears incorrect. The state Govt, may review the same and provide correct details.	Employment details had been corrected in online part 1 Section-E (ii) & (iii)
6	The village Level Committee proceeding for village Ijra is not provided with the FRA certificate. The State Govt. may provide/upload the VLC proceeding for village Ijra.	Land acquisition from Ijara village had not been done as the proposed road is ending near Ijra village. Thus benefiting it. So VLC proceeding for village Ijra is not required.



7	The rate of NPV calculated for dense forest however the 0.05 density does not accord for dense forest category. The State Govt. may review and provide correct NPV rate for the project.	Part-II Additional Information Detail
8	From the component wise breakup in online Part-I and the muck disposal plan provided in hard copy, it is not clear that the area marked for muck dumping included in the area proposed for diversion or the private land. The State Govt. may clarify whether the area marked for dumping is included in the area proposed for diversion, if not revise the proposal after including the area in diversion area accordingly.	land. Noc of private land owners is attached at Additional Information Details-Sl.No25
9	The area mentioned for CA is 2.1 ha in online Part-I para L and para 13 of online Part-II, which is incorrect. Though, the CA scheme and suitability certificate provided for 4.2 ha area in hard & soft copy the State Govt. do necessary correction in online portal and the revenue papers and Khasra details uploaded at para L (iv) (A-H).	Area mentioned for CA had been corrected in o line Part I Para L and para 13 is of online part-II. Revenue papers and khasra details had been <i>uploaded at Section-L-(iv)-(A-H) of part-I</i>
10	State Govt. may upload revised KML file for CA area of 4.2 ha in online Part-I para L.	KML file of CA area of 4.20 ha had been uploaded at Section-L of part-1

संलग्न :- उपरोक्तानुसार।

्भवदीय,

उप चन संरक्षक, रूद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रूद्रप्रयाग।