

**कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण,
इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।**

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक (केन्द्रीय),
भारत सरकार,
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय,
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, 25-सुभाष रोड़,
देहरादून।

विषय:- जनपद पिथौरागढ़ में अदि-चौरा से सिन्नी मोटर मार्ग के निर्माण हेतु 10.35
है० के सम्बन्ध में। (FP/UK/ROAD/13621/2015)


महोदय,

विषयांकित प्रकरण के सम्बन्ध में वन संरक्षक, उत्तरी कुमाऊँ वृत्त, अल्मोड़ा, से प्राप्त आख्या के क्रम में प्रयोक्ता एजेन्सी द्वारा प्रेषित विन्दुवार सूचना निम्न प्रकार प्रेषित की जा रही है :-

SR.NO	EDS	REPLY
1	Soft copy of the geo-referenced map (KML file) showing the forest land proposed for diversion is submitted in Google earth map (KML file) which does not serve the useful purpose. Soft copy of the geo referenced map is required to be submitted is shapefile. (.shp file) showing geo-coordinates i.e. latitudes and longitudes at regular interval of 200 m to 300 m including all turning points (curves) along the alignment of the road to be prepared through GIS software.	GIS Software generated Geo-referenced digital map for Google earth map (KML file) is now attached and all points Geo-coordinate is now showing in map attached & Uploaded At Part I C by User Agency.
2	The scanned copy of the geo-referenced digital map has also been submitted in Google earth map wherein, the geo-coordinates of 7 points only are shown over a length of 13.00 km. along road which does not cover all the turning points (curves) along the alignments of the proposed road. Therefore the scanned copy of the GIS software geo-coordinates at regular interval of 200 m to 300 m including all turning points (curves) along the alignment of the road is required to be submitted.	GIS software generated geo-coordinates at regular interval of 200 m to 300 m Map is now attached and all points Geo-coordinate is now showing in map attached & Uploaded At Part I C by User Agency.
3	The cost benefit analysis attached in Para G of online Part-I is not complete. A cost Benefit ratio calculation sheet has been attached but the prescribed formats i.e. Annexure-VI (b): PARAMETERS FOR EVALUTATION OF LOSS OF FORESTS" and Annexure-VI(C): PARAMETERS FOR EVALUTION OF BENEFITS, NOT WITH STANDING LOSS OF FORESTS. have not been found attached.	Correct CB Analysis as per Performa VI (b) and VI(C) needs to be quantified in monetary terms Submitted & uploaded at Part-I G by User Agency.
4	In the FRA certificate attached at Para-k of online part-1, the name of road is mentioned as jauljibi-munsyari MR to jarajivli-bani MR in Para-(a) in form-I instead of Adichura sinni motor road further, proceeding of the meeting of gram sabha(s) have not been found attached.	Correct FRA certificate uploaded at online part -I K(a) by User Agency.

5	It seen from this document attached in Para -L (iv) (f) of online Part-I that 20.70 ha. Land selected for CA is shown as privet land against 69 people. But 'it is mentioned in the CA site suitability certificate that the land is civil soyam land of State Govt.	Correct CA site suitability certificate & All Related Documents Are Attached & Uploaded At Para-L (iv) (f) by User Agency.
6	The document attached in Para-L (iv) (g) & (h) of online Part-I also do not appear to be relevant to the CA Site.	Relevant document attached to CA Site in Para-L (iv) (g) & (h) by User Agency.
7	The copy of administrative approval attached as additional document in online Part-I am not clear.	Clear Copy of administrative approval attached & uploaded online Part-I (Additional information Details at SR.No-4) in the name administrative approval G.O by User Agency.
8	Muck dumping site have not been shown in the map.	Muckdumping site showing Map attached & uploaded online Part-I (Additional Information Details-10) in the name Muck Dumping Map
9	The number of trees per hectare comes to 70 trees and the density is mentioned as 0.4 in the hard copy and 0.3 in online Part-II. Further, NPV rate is charged for dense forest category in Eco-class- VI. State Govt. may like to review the density and NPV rates, if required.	The density is mentioned as 0.4 at section 4(i) in Form A Part II by DFO and NPV calculation details uploaded in Form A Part I by User Agency.
10	In Para-8(i) of online Part-II , the details of Wildlife present in and around the forest land is mentioned as 'No' which does not appear to be correct and need to be reviewed.	Wildlife present in forest land is mentioned by DFO at column 8 (i) in Form A Part II.
11	It is seen from the data given in Para-14 of online Part-II that CA stipulated (2186.078 ha.) is not commensurate to the forest land diverted (1717.478ha.).	District profile uploaded by DFO an additional information in Form A Part II.
12	It is seen from the DSS analysis of the land selected for CA that the area comes to 20.37 ha. Instead of 20.70 ha. Moreover, out of 9.60497 ha. Area of patch -1, 3.00 ha. And 2.00 ha. Areas fall in very dense forest (VDF) & Moderately Dense Forest (MDF) respectively and 1.00 ha. Area out of 10.97 ha. Of patch-2 fall in Moderately Dense Forest (MDF). The areas falling in VDF & MDF are not suitable for CA hence may be changed.	Area for CA are changed & all Related documents are attached & Uploaded at section L in Form A Part I by User Agency.

भवदीय,


(विनोद कुमार)

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी