कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण, इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

पत्रांकः– 2246 **FP/UK/ROAD/10587/2015** दिनॉकःदेहरादून 27 फरवरी, 2019

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक (केन्द्रीय),
भारत सरकार,
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय,
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, 25–सुभाष रोड़,
देहरादून।

विषयः– जनपद–बागेश्वर में झोपड़ा शिखर बास्ती मोटर मार्ग हेतु। **FP/UK/ROAD/10587/2015**

सन्दर्भः– भारत सरकार पर्यावरण, वन एंव जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, देहरादून के **EDS** दिनांक 03–02–2017

महोदय,

विषयांकित प्रकरण पर भारत सरकार के उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित पत्र दिनांक **03–08–2017** के सम्बन्ध में कार्यालय वन संरक्षक, उत्तरी कुमाऊँ वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, अल्मोड़ा, से प्राप्त आख्या के क्रम में प्रयोक्ता एजेन्सी द्वारा बिन्दुवार आख्या प्रेषित की जा रही है :–

क्र0सं0	प्रश्न	उत्तर
1	The reply of this office offline EDS dated 05-07-2016 (copy attached) has not been submitted by the State Govt.	Details of EDS correctly and submitted by user agency.
2	The component wise brakup has not been given in para B-2.4 of online part I, here the breakup of land required for construction of road and the land required for muck dumping and any other component of the road is required to be given which has not be done.	Component wise breakup and muck dumping fill in para B-2.4 in Form A Part I by user agency.
3	Copy of map indicating locating location of alternative examine has not been uploaded in para D(ii) of online part I.	Copy of map including alternative examined is uploaded in part I by user agency .
4	In village wise breakup, the forest land has been shown against 3 villages namely jahopara, Kasnaula and basti but the name of one village i.e Jahopara only has been mentioned in para -2 of the proceedings of village level committee meeting under FRA.	FRA and village level committee meeting certificate attached in part I at section K(a) by user agency.
5	GIS software generated geo referenced digital map of the propsaed CA area has not been found uploaded at L (v) of online part I instead the maphas been uploaded as additional documents at SR.No-1	CA is being carried in Karml village. KML file for the same has been attached and uploaded at section L (v) and (vi) in Form A Part I by User Agency.
6	The reply of points no 10 EDS regarding presence of MDF over 3.00ha area identified for CA has not found satisfactory Nodal office has not given any comment on the issue . Moreover nothing have been mentioned abou t no of trees and the density of vegetation in the area identified for CA in the certificated issued by DFO.	CA certificate uploaded as an additional information SR.No-32 inForm A Part I by user agency.
7	The site wise legal status of land including dimension identified for disposal of muck has not been given in the muck disposal plan further, nothing has been mentioned about the query made in point o 12 of EDS 12-06-2016.	Now all the muck disposal will be carried out in non forest land (nap land). the previously shown location which are situated in forest area are now removed and whole of the muck is disposal in

		nap land therefore, no need to revise the proposal.Certificate of the same is uploaded and attached at designated place.
8	The original copy of the FRA certificate and geo referenced dgitial map for the forest land proposed for diversion and the land proposed for CA habe not been submitted.	Original copy of the FRA certificate attached in part I SR.No k (a) and geo referned digital map attached in part I SR.No C(iii) and (v) Maps of forest land proposed to be divertedand proposed for CA map attached in part I SR.No L (v) and (vi) Details of land identified for CA .
9	The no of trees is mentioned as 324 in the online part II but the no of trees is mentioned as 358 in the enumeration list provided in the hard copy of the proposal. Revised enumeration list showing species wise ad dia/girth class wise no of trees is required to be submitted.	Trees details list showing species wise and dia/girth attached in SR.No-13 in Form A Part II by DFO.

भवदीय,

(ए0के0 गुप्ता)
वन संरक्षक