PART-II

(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forest)

State Serial No. of proposal: UK-0277/2015

1. Location of the project/Scheme: Uttarakhand

(i)

State / Union Territory: Uttarakhand

(ii)

District: Rudraprayag

(iii)

Forest Division: Rudraprayag

(iv)

Area of forest land proposed for diversion (in ha.): 2.1

(V)

Category: Road

2. Legal status of forest land proposed for diversion :

S. No.	Division	Forest Land(ha.)	Legal Status	
1	Rudraprayag	0.7	Reserved Forest	
2	Rudraprayag		neserved Forest	
	radi aprayag	1.4	Civil Soyam land	

Division 1. : Rudraprayag

3. District wise area to be diverted in the division :

3 1 1 1 101 11
Area(ha.)
21

4. Details of Vegetation available in the forest land proposed for diversion :

(ii)

Density of vegetation

S. No.	Area(in ha.)	Density	Eco-Class
1	2.1	0.05	
		0.03	Eco 5

Scientific Name	Local Name	(31-60)cm.	(61-90)cm.	(91-120)cm.	(121-150)cm.	(>150)cm.
Celtis australis	Kharik	0	1	0	0	1
Misc. Species	Khilok	0	1			0
lnus Nepalensis	Uttis	8	5			0
Rhododendron arboreum	Buransh	1	0	1	0	0
inus roxburghii	Chir	4	10	1	0	0
Pyrus pashia	Mehal	1	0	0		0
Misc. Species	Kukat	2				0
nus wallichiana	Kail	7 20 1		To color to the last	The same of the same of	0
Ouercus		7			0	0
ucotricophora	Daily	/	1	0	0	0
i i i	Celtis australis Misc. Species Inus Nepalensis Rhododendron arboreum Inus roxburghii Pyrus pashia Misc. Species Inus wallichiana Quercus	Celtis australis Kharik Misc. Species Khilok Inus Nepalensis Chododendron arboreum Inus roxburghii Chir Pyrus pashia Misc. Species Kukat Mus wallichiana Quercus Kail Kail Quercus Kanarish Kharik Kail Quercus Banj	Celtis australis Kharik Misc. Species Khilok Uttis Rhododendron arboreum nus roxburghii Chir Pyrus pashia Mehal Misc. Species Kukat Quercus Banj 7	Name (31-60)cm. (61-90)cm. Celtis australis Kharik 0 1 Misc. Species Khilok 0 1 Inus Nepalensis Uttis 8 5 Rhododendron arboreum Inus roxburghii Chir 4 10 Pyrus pashia Mehal 1 0 Misc. Species Kukat 2 0 The province of the province	Name (31-60)cm. (61-90)cm. (91-120)cm. Celtis australis Kharik 0 1 0 Misc. Species Khilok 0 1 0 Inus Nepalensis Uttis 8 5 0 Rhododendron arboreum Buransh 1 0 1 nus roxburghii Chir 4 10 1 Pyrus pashia Mehal 1 0 0 Misc. Species Kukat 2 0 0 nus wallichiana Kail 0 2 0 Quercus Banj 7 1 0	Name Name (31-60)cm. (61-90)cm. (91-120)cm. (121-150)cm.

	i ilias matternana	1		-	~	_	_
9	Quercus leucotricophora	Banj	7	1	0	0	0

5. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion:

The area of the reserve forest proposed for the diversion is Longa Compartment No.-16. In the proposed working plan this area is under the Oak Forest Improvement working circle. The area shell is managed according to the prescriptions laid down in this working circle.

- 6. Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion: no erosion prozone
- 7. Approximate distance of the proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest(in km.): 2.00
- 8. Significance of the forest land proposed for diversion from wildlife point of view:
 - (i). Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : Ghurad, Kákad, Guldar etc.
 - (ii). Whether forms part of national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc.: No
 - Whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within eco-sensitive zone(ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife(Protection) Act, 1972 (Note: In case, ESZ of a Protected Area is not notified, then, 10kms distance from boundary of the Protected Area should be treated as ESZ): No
 - Whether any national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant (iv). corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc., is located within 1 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed for diversion: No
 - (v). Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area: No

9.

Details of any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument located in the area, if any:

- (i). Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other, important monument is located in the area: No
- 10. Comment as to the reasonability of the extent of the forest land proposed for diversion:
 - (i). Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project: Yes
- 11. Details of violation(s), if any ,committed:
 - (i). Whether any work in violation of the Act or guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out: No
- 12. Whether work in violation is still in progres(Yes/No): No Data
- 13. Details of compensatory afforestation scheme:

(ii).

Total Financial Outlay for C.A: 546000.00

(iii).

Whether the land identified by User Agency is suitable for CA and from managementpoint of view?: Yes

Copy of certificate regarding suitability of land identified for CA and from management point of view:

(14).

Copy of certificate regarding suitability of land identified for CA and from management point of view:

(v).

Copy of detailed CA scheme including species to be planted, implementing agency, time schedule, cost structure etc.:

Additional information Details:

S. No.	Documents	Remarks
Phillippin	NIL	

14. District Profile:

S.no	District Name	Geographical area of the district (in ha.)	Forest area of the district (in ha.)	Total forest area diverted since 1980 (in ha.)	No. of Approved Cases	Forest Land including penal C.A. (in ha.)	Progress of compensatory afforestation as on(date)	A) Forest land (in ha.)	B) Non-forest land (in ha.)
1	Rudraprayag	198400.00	179099.50	580.852	145	0.00	25/08/2015	727.29	0.00

15.

Site inspection report of the DFO/CCF/Nodal Officer highlighting important facts pertaining to the forest land:

Division Name	Circle	Site inspected By	Whether site inspected	No. of times site visited	Site inspection report	Date of visit
Rudraprayag	Garhwal	DFO	Yes	One	80s	18/03/2015

(Specific recommendation of the DFO/CCF/Nodal Officer with(Part II,III & Part IV))

16.

Specific recommendation of the DFO/CCF/Nodal Officer for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reason:

Division	Circle	Recommendation By	Recommendation	Reason	Letter	Whether CF 'agreed
Rudraprayag	Garhwal	DFO	Recommended	The proposal is related with development.	And the second s	
- 6	Garhwal	CF	Recommended	Road is necessary for providing connectivity to the villagers		Yes