

# कार्यालय वन संरक्षक, गढ़वाल वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, पौड़ी



पत्रांक:- 2214 / 12-1 दिनांक, पौड़ी, जनवरी, 25, 2018.

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं  
 नोडल अधिकारी वन संरक्षण,  
 इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी,  
 उत्तराखण्ड देहरादून।

विशय-

जनपद-रूद्रप्रयाग के अन्तर्गत तिलवाड़ा-तोलब-मयकोटी व मालकोटी-डांगी-गुनाउ हल्का वाहन मार्ग का मोटर मार्ग में परिवर्तन कर सुधार एवं डामरीकरण हेतु हस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव।  
**PROPOSAL NO. - FP/UK/ROAD/11810/2015.**

सन्दर्भ-

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, रूद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रूद्रप्रयाग का पत्रांक 1560/12-1(2) दिनांक 17.01.2018.

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयक प्रकरण में वाञ्छित आपत्तियों का निराकरण प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, रूद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रूद्रप्रयाग द्वारा किया गया है जो निम्न प्रकार हैं:

बि.सं	आपत्ति	निराकरण
1	Short narrative of the project/scheme given in para -A-1 (iii) of online Part-I does not give adequate information about the project/scheme.	Short Narrative of the project /scheme has been filled in Para A 1 (iii)
2	The present proposal is meant for widening of LVR road to motor road specification but the details of past proposal in respect of LVR road has not been given in the Table in para- B-1 of online Part-I.	This LVR is constructed before 1980. No proposal was made before.
3	Village wise breakup of forest land has not been given in the table in para- B-2.3 of online Part-I.	Village wise break up filled in B-2.3
4	The data given in para- E of online Part-I about employment likely to be generated do not appear to be correct.	Employment detailed filled in para E of part I
5	In the FRA certificate issued by the District Collector, 'NA' has been written against para-(a) and para- (b) which is not proper. Revised FRA certificate is required to be issued.	Correct FRA upload.
6	Under FRA, the proceedings of the VLC meeting has been provided for Kumoli, Malkoti & Karndhar villages of Kumoli-Malkoti Gram Panchayat. It is seen from the information given in para-B-2.3 of online Part-I that the forest land involved in the project falls within the jurisdiction of Malkoti & Tolab villages but the proceedings of VLC meeting for Tolab village has not been provided.	Corrected VLC meeting filled in B-2.3 and VLC uploaded in FRA
7	In the CA scheme, the estimated amount of CA was mentioned as Rs. 9,94,488.50 which has been corrected to Rs. 10,93,935.00 by hand. But, the corrected CA scheme has not been provided in the hard copy of the proposal.	Corrected CA scheme has been uploaded.
8	Original copies of Part III, IV & V have not been provided in the hard copy of the proposal.	Part II and III will be submitted to Nodal office soon with Special Messenger. Part IV and V is related to Nodal
9	Estimated cost of the project given in para- A-1 (vii) of online Part-I does not appear to be correct.	Estimated cost of project corrected (25.01 Lacs for Phase 1 for conversion LVR to Motor road)

संलग्न:- उपरोक्तानुसार।

भवदीय,

(जी०के०रस्तोगी)

वन संरक्षक,

गढ़वाल वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, पौड़ी।



ई. मेल :- dforudraprayag@gmail.com

फोन/ फेक्स नं० :- 01364-233505::

पता :- माई की मढ़ी निकट जवाडी बाईपास रुद्रप्रयाग

कार्यालय उप वन संरक्षक, रुद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रुद्रप्रयाग।

पत्रांक - 1560/12-1(2)

दिनांक 17/01/2018::

सेवा में,

वन संरक्षक,  
गढ़वाल वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड,  
पौड़ी।

विषय :- जनपद-रुद्रप्रयाग के अन्तर्गत तिलवाड़ा-तोलब-मयकोटी व मालकोटी-डांगी-गुनाउ हल्का वाहन मार्ग का मोटर मार्ग में परिवर्तन कर सुधार एवं डामरीकरण हेतु हस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव।  
PROPOSAL NO. - FP/UK/ROAD/11810/2015

सन्दर्भ :- भारत सरकार द्वारा लगाई गई अपत्ति (EDS) दिनांक 29.08.2017।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित विषयक वन भूमि हस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव में भारत सरकार द्वारा लगाई गई आपत्तियों का बिन्दुवार निराकरण अधिशासी अभियन्ता, प्रान्तीय खण्ड, लो०नि०वि०, रुद्रप्रयाग ने अपने पत्रांक-94/16सी० दिनांक 11.01.2018 द्वारा निम्न प्रकार प्रेषित है।

बिन्दु संख्या	आपत्ति	निराकरण
1	Short narrative of the project/scheme given in para -A-1 (iii) of online Part-I does not give adequate information about the project/scheme.	Short Narrative of the project /scheme has been filled in Para A 1 (iii)
2	The present proposal is meant for widening of LVR road to motor road specification but the details of past proposal in respect of LVR road has not been given in the Table in para- B-1 of online Part-I.	This LVR is constructed before 1980. No proposal was made before.
3	Village wise breakup of forest land has not been given in the table in para- B-2.3 of online Part-I.	Village wise break up filled in B-2.3
4	The data given in para- E of online Part-I about employment likely to be generated do not appear to be correct.	Employment detailed filled in para E of part I
5	In the FRA certificate issued by the District Collector, 'NA' has been written against para-(a) and para- (b) which is not proper. Revised FRA certificate is required to be issued.	Correct FRA upload.
6	Under FRA, the proceedings of the VLC meeting has been provided for Kumoli, Malkoti & Karndhar villages of Kumoli-Malkoti Gram Panchayat. It is seen from the information given in para-B-2.3 of online Part-I that the forest land involved in the project falls within the jurisdiction of Malkoti & Tolab villages but the proceedings of VLC meeting for Tolab village has not been provided.	Corrected VLC meeting filled in B-2.3 and VLC uploaded in FRA
7	In the CA scheme, the estimated amount of CA was mentioned as Rs. 9,94,488.50 which has been corrected to Rs. 10,93,935.00 by hand. But, the corrected CA scheme has not been provided in the hard copy of the proposal.	Corrected CA scheme has been uploaded.
8	Original copies of Part III, IV & V have not been provided in the hard copy of the proposal.	Part II and III will be submitted to Nodal office soon with Special Messenger. Part IV and V is related to Nodal
9	Estimated cost of the project given in para- A-1 (vii) of online Part-I does not appear to be correct.	Estimated cost of project corrected (25.01 Lacs for Phase 1 for conversion LVR to Motor road)

भवदीय

उप वन संरक्षक,  
रुद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रुद्रप्रयाग।