

**कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण,  
इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।**

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक (केन्द्रीय),  
भारत सरकार,  
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय,  
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, 25 सुभाष रोड़, देहरादून।

**विषय:— जनपद-बागेश्वर में एस0सी0पी0 के अन्तर्गत पालड़ीछीना मल्लीढाना नैनी मोटर मार्ग के निर्माण के सम्बन्ध में। FP/UK/ROAD/11032/2015**

महोदय,

विषयांकित प्रकरण के सम्बन्ध में वन संरक्षक, कुमाऊँ वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, अल्मोड़ा के प्राप्त आख्या के क्रम में प्रयोक्ता एजेन्सी द्वारा प्रेषित बिन्दुवार आख्या मय संलग्नक सहित निम्न प्रकार प्रेषित की जा रही है :-

क्र0सं0	प्रश्न	उत्तर
1	The reply of point no.2 is not satisfactory. It is mentioned that the long 'U' turn inside the forest land is provided for jhiroli magnetite factory and not for any habitation. But, neither the location of jhiroli magnisite factory is shown in the map nor its distance from the U turn has been given in the reply which may be done now along with a brief note as to how the lons U' turn will benefit factory.	User agency has mentioned that in the alignment the long U turn shown inside the forest land is, because in the alignment proper grade with norms was not coming. So the long 'U' turn is appears in the forest land.
2	The reply of point no. 5 is also not satisfactory. In para-B-2.3 i.e. village wise breakup of forest land proposed to be diverted, the forest land is shown against Dewaldhar, Joshipalari, Naini and jhiroli RF villages but the proceedings of Gram Sabha under FRA has been provided for joshipalari Gram panchayat. It is mentioned in the reply that Naini village comes under joshipalari GP only. The proceedings of gram Sabha of Dewaldhar and jhiroli RF villages has not been submitted which may be submitted.	user agency has mentioned that Habitation Dewaldhar is connected with pauri dhar-Dewal dhar -p alarichina-jhiroli road & Habitation Nani is i volved in Gram panchayat joshipalari, which is signed in FRA praptra-23.1.
3	No action has been taken on the shortcoming mentioned in point no.6 of EDS. The shape of the CA map uploaded at para-L(iv) of online part-I and para-II does not match which may be reviwed and correct CA map may be uploaded at both the locations.	User agency has mentioned that in point no. 6 of EDS, the shape of the CA map at para-L (iv) of online part-I is uploaded corect. Please review and correct CA map at para-L-3 (ii)in part-II from your end.



4	It is seen from the muck disposal plan attached in the hard copy of the proposal that the muck is proposed to be disposed of at 6 sites located in the State land, RF land and Van panchayat land but forest land required for muck disposal has not been included in the forest land proposed for diversion. Further, nothing is mentioned about the forest land required for muck disposal in the component wise break up given in para-B-2.4 of online part-I. State Govt. may clarify the discrepancy. If required the forest land required for muck disposal may be included in the forest land proposed for diversion and revised proposal may be submiued	User agency has mentioned that in the proposal 6 sites located for muck disposal & not included in the forest land proposed for diversion, because the road is proposed for village & 9 mtr width is taken in land schedule, the road will be constructed approx. within the width of 6 mtr, the muck will be disposed within 3 mtr width after construction of RR Dry wall which land is included in land schedule.
5	Original copy of the FRA certificate alongwith proceeding of the district Level committee' Sub Division level committee & village level committee under FRA, GIS software generated geo-referenced digital map of the forest land proposed for diversion and the land proposed for CA, CA Scheme and CA site suitability certificate may be submitted for placing in the hard copy of the proposal.	Hard copy of the proposal has been sent by post.

भवदीय,

(विनोद कुमार)

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी