

कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण,

इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

पत्रांक - 2035 / FP/UK/ROAD/26820/2017 दिनांक - 4 एप्रिल - 2017

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक (केन्द्रीय)

भारत सरकार

पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, (उत्तर-मध्य क्षेत्र),

25 सुभाष रोड, देहरादून।

विषय:- जनपद-उधमसिंह नगर में राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग संख्या-74 के किमी0239 (सिरसामोड) कैंप रोड से शक्ति फार्म तक मोटर मार्ग के निर्माण के सम्बन्ध में। (FP/UK/ROAD/26820/2017)

संदर्भ:- भारत सरकार पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, देहरादून के EDS दिनांक 20-11-12018

महोदय,

विषयांकित प्रकरण पर भारत सरकार के उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित EDS दिनांक 20-11-12018 के सम्बन्ध में वन संरक्षक, उत्तरी कुमाऊँ वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, अल्मोड़ा से प्राप्त आख्या के क्रम में बिन्दुवार आख्या प्रेषित की जा रही है।

S.No	EDS	REPLY
1	KML file of proposed road is not correct.	Corrected KML file has been uploaded at para C online part I.
2	Geo -Coordinates are shown for only 9 point in 14.856ha area which is very less.	As instructed by regional office, MOEFCC, Geo-Coordinates at every interval of 200 meters, along the alignment of the proposed road, including all turning points, have been uploaded. Hard copy of the alignment showing the geo-coordinates at an interval of 200mtr is being annexed.
3	Some other documents uploaded in place of justification at para D (i) online part I.	Correct justification documents have been uploaded at para-D(i) online part I. Hard copy of the justification duly counter signed by the DFO is being annexed.
4	C/B analysis is not found uploaded.	As per MOEFCC guidelines NO-7-69/2011-FC (pt.) 1st August, 2017 for conducting benefit analysis, it is submitted that "Cost benefit analysis is not applicable on all categories of proposal up to 20 ha in plains". Since this land transfer proposal is for 14.856 ha forest land situated in plains, therefore, cost benefit analysis is not applicable in this case.
5	No village mentioned in village wise breakup at para B-2.3 online part I.	No village has been mentioned in village wise breakup at para B-2.3 online part I because the proposed alignment form chainage Km 2.850 to chainage km, 15.230 falls entirely in reserved forest area. since no village falls in the proposed alignment, therefore, no village has been mentioned in village wise breakup.
6	Proceedings of SDLC is undated.	Proceeding of SDLC dated 17-08-2017 has been uploaded, original copy of the proceeding of SDLC is being annexed.

7	Two area SDLC uploaded only one mentioned at para B-2.2	The proposed road falls only under one district, hence one area SDLC is only needed and it has been uploaded.
8	Muck dumping area is not mentioned in component wise breakup at para B-2.4 in Part I.	Muck dumping proposal is not required in this proposal because the road is proposed on an existing alignment, where no fresh cutting/digging of land is involved. Since no muck will be generated hence no muck disposal plan is required.
9	Ownership proof is uploaded for 24.042ha area instead of 29.712ha.	As per the MOEFCC guidelines No-F.no-11-306/2014-FC dated 8 th Aug 2014 transfer and mutation of equivalent non-forest land for compensatory afforestation is required. Since this proposal is for transfer of 14.856ha. reserve forest land hence the user agency has to provide 14.856 ha non-forest land or 29.712 ha. degraded forest land. The user agency has provided 24.856 ha. non-forest land in Haridwar District which is already 9.042 ha in excess of the required amount.
10	Digital map of CA area is not C/S by DFO.	Digital map of CA area counter signed by DFO, Haridwar has been uploaded. Original hard copy of the digital map of CA area counter signed by DFO, Haridwar is being annexed.
11	Area of CA is mentioned at para L (iv) b in Part I and Para 13 (i) in Part II is 15.00ha instead of 29.712 ha.	Please see explanation at point 9 above.
12	No of trees to be felled mentioned as Zero but density mentioned is 0.6.	No of trees to be felled is mentioned as Zero because no tree cutting is involved in the present case. The density mentioned is 0.6 because the density of the reserved forest area falling alongside of the road (Barakoli forest blok A and B) is 0.6
13	NPV calculation sheet is submitted for VDF.	NPV calculation sheet has been submitted for Eco – value class Ist dense forest and not for very dense forest. Since the forests in Barakoli forest block A and B are of Eco –value class Ist and the density is 0.6, therefore, the dense forest category has been considered for NPV calculation.
14	CA site suitability certificate uploaded for 15.00 ha area	Justification for this point has been already explained in point No-9 above.
15	CA scheme is not found uploaded.	CA scheme has been uploaded in Form A, part II, as additional information at SR. No-13 and authenticated by DFO.
16	Hard copy of all revised documents is required to be submitted in original.	All the revised documents have been uploaded at the designated places. Hard copies of the revised documents are being annexed.

भवदीय,

(अनूप मलिक)

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक
एवं नोडल अधिकारी

[Handwritten signature]