No.

H.P. Forest Department.

Dated Rampur, the

From:- CCF Rampur.

To:- Nodal Officer –cum- APCCF (FCA) O/o Pr. CCF (HoFF) H.P. Shimla-1.

Subject:-

Renewal of lease of 0.0934 ha (Instead of 0.2898 ha) of forest land for already established Stone Crusher at Pashada and approach road to quarry site at Pashada in favour of M/s Bushahar Laghu Udyog VPO Jhakri, Tehsil Rampur Bushahar, within the jurisdiction of Rampur Forest Division, Distt. Shimla, Himachal Pradesh. (Online Proposal No. FP/HP/Ind/35171/2018).

Memo:

subject cited above.

Kindly refer to your e-office letter No. 1//228957/2023 (FCA) dated nil on the

2. The point wise reply to the observations raised vide your letter under reference is furnished as under:-

| Sr. | Observation | Reply |
|-----|---|--|
| No. | | 1 3 |
| 1. | The proposal name is still not corrected as | DFO Rampur has intimated that the necessary |
| | asked by GoI hence, same may be corrected. | correction in the name of proposal has been made |
| | | by the user agency against Column No. A (ii) & |
| | | (iii) of Part-I. |
| 2. | The NPV calculation sheet as per the revised | The revised bill of NPV has now been calculated |
| | NPV rate of MoEF & CC letter dated | on the basis of revised rates fixed by MoEF & CC |
| | 6.01.2022 still not been found uploaded | letter dated 06.01.2022. The revised bill of NPV |
| | online. | has been uploaded as additional information |
| | | under Sr. 4 of Part-II. |
| 3. | The detail mentioned/shown in Part-1, Para-E | The necessary correction has been made by the |
| | (2) & (3) is still not corrected which may be | user agency in online portal Part-I, Para-E, (2) & |
| | reviewed. | (3). |
| 4. | The component as mentioned in the layout | The component wise breakup has now been |
| | Plan of the proposed project is not matching | uploaded under column No. B-2.4 of Part-I as per |
| | with the component mentioned in component | components mentioned in the layout plan. |
| | wise breakup (Part-1,B-2.4) | |

| 5. | In reply to point No.5, it is intimated that EIA | EIA is not applicable for this project since the |
|-----|--|---|
| | is required but copy of the same has not been | proposal is for renewal of lease for already |
| | founded uploaded online. | established stone crusher. The user agency |
| | - | intimated that the EIA for mining area has already |
| | | been applied and is under process with |
| | | Environment Department. |
| 6. | In reply to point No.7, DFO Rampur has | The user agency has submitted that the field book |
| | intimated that the revised field book has been | with area shown as 0.0801 ha. is not part of the |
| | uploaded but no such document has been | project and had been uploaded by mistake in the |
| | founded uploaded. | portal. This field book may not be treated as part |
| | | of the proposal and the same has now been |
| | | deleted from the online portal Part-I. |
| 7. | In reply to point No.11 the legal status of DA | The legal status of Khasra No. 508/494/480/33 is |
| | land mentioned in Part-2, Para 2 is still | DPF and khasra No. 38 is Gair Mumkin Machine |
| | mismatching with Part-2, Sr. No.5 (v) which | as per revenue record i.e. Jamabandi for the year |
| | need to be reviewed and revised. | 2021-22 and now has been uploaded in Part-II as |
| | | additional information at Sr. No. 5. |
| 8. | No area has been marked for access/approach | The revised Revenue Paper i.e. Tatima of the |
| | road component in revenue paper. | land in question has been uploaded after marking |
| | | the access/approach road as additional |
| 9. | The approved and proposed project has not | information under Sr. 17 of Part-I. The revised KML file has been uploaded against |
| J. | been marked in distinct colour in KML file. | Column No. C- (ii) (a) (1.) of Part-I after marking |
| | been marked in distinct colour in Kivil The. | the approved and proposed project. |
| 10. | In reply point No.14 the polygon of 0.1964 ha. | The polygon of 0.1964 ha. of land in CD form |
| | land in CD form with survival percentage of | with survival percentage of plantation raised as |
| | plantation raised as per the approved | per the approved Reclamation Plan has been |
| | Reclamation Plan has not been submitted to | submitted to this office by DFO Rampur and the |
| | this office. | same will be provided separately in offline mode |
| | | through this letter. |
| 11. | The reply to point No. 15 of GoI is not in | The revised KML file has been uploaded against |
| | consonance with the observation of GoI. | Column No. C- (ii) (a) (1.) of Part-I after making |
| | | the correct calculation of DA i. e. 0.0934 ha of |
| | | foret land. |
| | | |

| 12. | The recommendation letter of DFO uploaded | The recommendation letter of DFO has now been |
|-----|--|---|
| | in Para-2, Para-16 is still found blank. | uploaded and placed at Sr. No. 5 as additional |
| | | information in Part-II. |
| 13. | The shape of the diversion area (DA) marked | Revised SoI Map has been uploaded in the Part-I |
| | on the SoI map is still not in proper shape as | Column No. C (iii). |
| | per the revenue record. The revised SoI map of | |
| | DA may be uploaded against the appropriate | |
| | column of the portal. | |

This is for favour of information and further necessary action please.

Chief Conservator of Forests, Rampur Bsr, H.P