कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण, इन्दिरानगर फॅारेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

सेवा में.

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक (केन्द्रीय), भारत सरकार, पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, 25—सुभाष रोड़, देहरादून।

विषय:— जनपद—नैनीताल में मा०एन०जी०टी० के आदेषों के अनुपालन में कोसी नदी में गिरने वाले रामनगर शहर के गन्दे नालों के शोधन हेतु निर्मित होने वाले (एस०टी.०पी०) के लिए 0.9488 है० के सम्बन्ध में। FP/UK/OTHERS/21811/2016 महोदय.

विषयांकित प्रकरण के सम्बन्ध में पश्चिमी वृत्त, हल्द्वानी, नैनीताल से प्राप्त आख्या के क्रम में प्रयोक्ता एजेन्सी द्वारा प्रेषित बिन्दवार सचना निम्न प्रकार प्रेषित की जा रही है :-

क्र०सं०	प्रश्न	उत्तर
1	It is seen form the information given in Para-A-3 (i) and (iii) of online Part I that the online application is submitted by Shri Mukesh Kumar but the authority letter uploaded at Para A-3(xvii) of online Part I is issued in Favour of Shri Upendera Kumar Gupta.	Authority letter uploaded at section A-3-(xvii) in Form A Part I by User Agency.
2	The Total of village wise breakup of forest and non forest land given in Para B-2.3 comes to 0.9478 instead of 0.9488.	Village wise breakup details filled at B-2.3 in Form A Part I by User Agency.
3	The components wise breakup of forest and non forest land given in Para B-2.4 does not appear to be complete because nothing is given under non forest land. Land required for all the projects components.	Component wise breakup details filled at section B-2.4 in Form A Part I by User Agency.
4	Three no. of KML file uploaded in Para-C are not opening which may be uploaded again showing all the projects components.	KML file uploaded at Section-C in Form A Part I by User Agency.
5	The map of Survey of India Toposheet uploaded at C(iii) is not clear.	The map of Survey of India Toposheet uploaded at C-(iii) in Form A Part I by User Agency.
6	The Geo-refered map uploaded at para-C(iv) is also GIS software generated geo referenced digital map showing all the projects components clearly along with geo-coordinates is required to be submitted.	Geo-referenced map uploaded at para (C-iv) in Form A Part I by User Agency.
7	NOC of Gram Sabha has not been submitted.	NOC of Gram Sabha uploaded as an additional information SR.NO 45 in Form A part I by User Agency.
8	In online Part II, the no of projects affected trees is shown as nil but the density is mentioned as 0.6 Moreover, the enumeration list uploaded as additional document in online Part I and in the hard copy shown the no of projects affected trees as 28.	No of affected trees The density is mentioned as 0.1 at section 4(ii) in Form A Part II by DFO.
9	The information given in para -8 (ii), (iii) and (iv) of online Part II regarding the projects area being part of national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc is confusing and lacking in clarity. The information nay be reviewed and re-submitted along with comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden, if required.	NOC from the Chief Wildlife Warden, uploaded as an additional information column 13 in Form A Part II.
10	It is seen from the information given in Para-14 of online Part II that the CA stipulated is not commensurate to the forest land diverted.	District profile For CA uploaded by DFO an additional information column 13 in Form A Part II.
11	DFO has not recommended the proposal in the Site Inspection Report.	Corrected SIR uploaded by DFO in additional information column 13 in form A Part II.

(विनोद कुमार) अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी