

**कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण,
इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।**

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक,
भारत सरकार,
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय,
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, 25-सुभाष रोड़,
देहरादून।

**विषय:— जनपद—बागेश्वर में देवलघार मैथान लेटी गिरेछीना मोटर मार्ग के निर्माण के सम्बन्ध में।
(FP/UK/ROAD/10903/2015)**

**सन्दर्भ:— भारत सरकार पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, देहरादून के EDS दिनांक
20-04-2017**

महोदय,

विषयांकित प्रकरण पर भारत सरकार के उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित पत्र दिनांक – 20-04-2017 के सम्बन्ध में वन संरक्षक, उत्तरी कुमाऊँ वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, अल्मोड़ा से प्राप्त आख्या के क्रम में बिन्दुवार आख्या प्रेषित की जा रही है।

S.No	EDS	REPLY
1	In reply to point no.6 of EDS, it is mentioned that the revised cost benefit analysis has been uploaded at para-G (a) but it is seen from the documents uploaded that the monetary figures given in Annexure-VI (b) i.e. parameters for evaluation of loss of forests and Annexure-VI (c) i.e. parameters for evaluation of benefits notwithstanding loss of forests do not tally with the monetary figures given in the cost benefit ratio calculation sheet. This discrepancy in the monetary figures is required to be removed by the State Government.	Rectified Cost benefit details has been uploaded by User agency at section G (a) in Form A, part I.
2	In reply to point no.7 of EDS, it is mentioned that the justification for locating the project in the forest land has been uploaded in Part-I but the document has not been found uploaded at para-D (i) instead a google earth map showing the proposed road has been uploaded at para-D- (i). Justification has been found uploaded as additional document in Part-I. State Govt. is requested to upload the justification at designated place in Part-I online.	Rectified justification details has been uploaded at section D(a) in Form A, Part I by user agency.
3	In reply to point no. 10 of EDS, it is mentioned that the CA scheme for 13.995 ha has been uploaded but the CA scheme has not been found uploaded at para- 13 (vii) of online Part-II. However, the CA scheme for 13.995 ha has been found uploaded at S.No. 17 of additional documents in Part-I. Moreover, the geo referenced digital map and the map in Survey of India toposheet showing CA area uploaded at para-13 (ii) & (iii) of Part-II are different from the maps uploaded at para-L of online Part-I. State Govt. may upload the CA scheme and the correct maps at designated places in online Part-II.	Corrected SOI map uploaded at section L in Form A, Part II by user agency and also uploaded in Form A, part II at section 13 (ii) and (iii) by DFO.
4	The forest land proposed for diversion comes to 6.9975 ha but the area of forest land is mentioned as 6.9915 ha by the DC in the FRA certificate. State Govt. may submit the FRA certificate after mentioning the correct area.	Rectified details of FRA has been uploaded by User agency at para K (i-a) in form A, part I.
5	It is seen from the muck dumping plan that the muck is proposed to be disposed of at 5 sites in RF land and 3 sites in State Land but this land has not been included in the forest land proposed for diversion. State Govt. may clarify this discrepancy and submit revised proposal after including the forest land required for muck disposal, if necessary.	Muck disposal plan details with regarding certificate have been uploaded at additional information in Form A Part I by user agency.

6	It is seen from DSS analysis of the proposed CA area that this land overlaps with the CA land proposed against proposal no. FP/UK/ROAD/10143/2015, FP/UK/ROAD/12464/2015 & FP/UK/ROAD/11032/2015. The State Govt. may review the position and change the CA area and submit all necessary documents for the new area to be proposed for CA.	Regarding Information detail has been uploaded at Section L in Form A, part I by user agency.
7	The no. of trees per hectare comes to 42 trees and the density is mentioned as 0.3 which does not appear to be correct. Moreover, NPV rate has been charged for the dense forest category @ of 8.45 lakh per hectare in Eco class- V which is not correct rate keeping in view the density of 0.3 (open forest). State Govt. may reviewed the density and the NPV rate to be charged and submit revised NPV calculation mentioning density, eco class & rate etc.	Correction has been done by DFO in Form A, part II.
8	It is seen from the data given in para-14 i.e. the district profile that the progress of CA (1315.278 ha) is equivalent to the CA stipulated (1315.278 ha). State Govt. may confirm the data.	District profile details has been updated at para-14 in Form A part II by DFO.
9	State Govt. may submit original copies of the geo referenced digital map of the proposed road as well as the land proposed for CA for placing in the hard copy of the proposal.	Original hard copy of the relevant documents have been sent by letter no-240 date 12-01-2018.

भवदीय,



(एच.के. सिंह)

वन संरक्षक

नोडल कार्यालय