कार्जालय प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, बागेश्वर वन प्रभाग बागेश्वर

Email-dfobag-forest-uk.nic.in/ dfo_bageshwar@rediffmail.com दूरभाष एवं फैक्स नं0: 05963-220249 वन मित्र काल सेटरं– 9208008000

1.5

	पत्रांक 1156 / 12-1 (२) सेवा में,	बागेश्वर दिनांक : 22/11 / 2017
	किमी0 (प्रस्ताव संख्या—11032/2015) सन्दर्भ— ऑनलाईन लगाये गई आपत्ति के कम में महोदय, उपरोक्त विषयक संदर्भित पत्र के कम में अ	में पालडीछीना—मल्लीढाना—नैनी मोटर मार्ग निर्माण—6.50 । अवगत कराना है कि आपके द्वारा लगायी गयी आपत्तियों ही हेतु प्रेषित किया जा रहा है। अतः प्रस्ताव पर अग्रिम
बिन्दु स0 1	The reply of point no.2 is not satisfactory. It is mentioned that the long 'U' turn inside the forest land is provided for jhiroli magnetite factory and not for any habitation. But, neither the location of jhiroli magnisite factory is shown in the map nor its distance from the 'U' turn has been given in the reply which may be done now along with a brief note as to how the long 'U' turn will benefit factory.	In the alignment the long 'U' turn shown inside the forest land is, because in the alignment proper grade with norms was not coming. So the long 'U' turn is appears in the forest land.
2	The reply of point no. 5 is also not satisfactory. In para-B-2.3 i.e. village wise breakup of forest land proposed to be diverted, the forest land is shown against Dewaldhar, Joshipalari, Naini and jhiroli RF villages but the proceedings of Gram Sabha under FRA has been provided for joshipalari Gram panchayat. It is mentioned in the reply that Naini village comes under joshipalari GP only. The proceedings of Gram Sabha of Dewaldhar and jhiroli RF villages has not been submitted which may be submitted.	Habitation Dewaldhar is connected with pauridhar-Dewaldhar-palarichina-jhiroli M/road & Habitation Nani is in volved in Gram panchayat joshipalari, which is signed in FRA praptra-23.I.

3	No action has been taken on the shortcoming mentioned in point no.6 of EDS. The shape of the CA map uploaded at para-L(iv) of online part-I and para-II does not match which may be reviwed and correct CA map may be uploaded at both the locations.	In point no. 6 of EDS, the shape of the CA map at para-L (iv) of online part-I is uploaded correct. Please reviw and correct CA map at para-13 (ii)in part-II from your end
4	It is seen from the muck disposal plan attached in the hard copy of the proposal that the muck is proposed to be disposed of at 6 sites located in the State land, RF land and Van panchayat land but forest land required for muck disposal has not been included in the forest land proposed for diversion. Further, nothing is mentioned about the forest land required for muck disposal in the component wise break up given in para-B-2.4 of online part-I. State Govt. may clarify the discrepancy. If required the forest land required for muck disposal may be included in the forest land proposed for diversion and revised proposal may be submitted.	In the proposal 6 sites located for muck disposal & not included in the forest land proposed for diversion, because the road is proposed for village & 9 mtr width is taken in land schedule, the road will be constructed approx. within the width of 6 mtr, the muck will be disposed within 3 mtr width after construction of RR Dry wall which land is included in land schedule.
5	Original copy of the FRA certificate alongwith proceeding of the district Level committee, Sub Division level committee & village level committee under FRA, GIS software generated geo-referenced digital map of the forest land proposed for diversion and the land proposed for CA, CA Scheme and CA site suitability certificate may be submitted for placing in the hard copy of the proposal.	FRA certificate along with proceedings of the District level committee, sub Division level committee & village level committee is submitted with original hard copy by this office letter no.1597/2 EVB dtd 10.07.2017.

「「