

कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण,  
इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

Email- [Nodalofficerddn@gmail.com](mailto:Nodalofficerddn@gmail.com)

Phone/Fax-2767611

पत्रांक:- 1487 FP/UK/ROAD/13657/2015 दिनांक:देहरादून 18 दिसम्बर, 2019

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक (केन्द्रीय),  
भारत सरकार,  
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय,  
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, 25-सुभाष रोड़,  
देहरादून।

विषय:-जनपद-देहरादून के रायपुर ब्लाक के अन्तर्गत सहस्त्रधारा (कालीगाड़) से नालीकलां मोटर मार्ग के निर्माण के सम्बन्ध में। FP/UK/ROAD/13657/2015

सन्दर्भ:- भारत सरकार पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, देहरादून के EDS दिनांक 14-07-2019

महोदय,

विषयांकित प्रकरण पर भारत सरकार के उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित EDS दिनांक 14-07-2019के सम्बन्ध में प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, मसूरी वन प्रभाग, मसूरी से प्राप्त आख्या के क्रम में प्रयोक्ता एजेन्सी द्वारा प्रेषित बिन्दुवार सूचना निम्न प्रकार प्रेषित की जा रही है :-


क्र०सं०	प्रश्न	उत्तर
1	May provide/upload scanned copy of GIS software generated geo referenced digital map showing geo coordinates at 200 to 300 m interval along the alignment of the proposed road including all turning points (.TIFF, .IMG or .JPEG). The Google earth map will not be accepted for the purpose.	The scan copy GIS software generated geo referenced digital map showing geo coordinates at 200 to 300 m interval along the alignment of the proposed road including all tuning points has been uploaded by user agency.
2	Cost benefit analysis (C/B) uploaded in Part I is not as per prescribed format VI (b) and VI(c) in the guideline. The State Govt may provide C/B analysis as per prescribed format should be quantified in monetary terms.	Cost benefits analysis (C/B) as per prescribed format VI (b) and VI (C) has been uploaded in para G of part-I. cost benefits ratio sheet calculated has also filled by user agency.
3	There is presence of non forest land in the proposed road but in village wise break up the area does not shown in the column of non forest land need justification or necessary correction.	User agency has mention that There is presence of non forest land in two villages in proposal road. The village wise Break up of area is 0.126 ha (Kaligadh) and 0.963 ha (Naliwala), total area if non-forest land is 1.089 ha has been corrected in the column of non-forest land.

4	The village wise break up shows that the road passed from the area of four villages but in the village level committee proceedings of FRA certificate only one gram panchayat is mentioned. The State Govt may comment on the same and if required provide VLC proceedings for other gram sambas also.	There are two beneficial village in the proposed road (i) Naliwala (ii) Kaligadh. The VLC proceedings certificated FRA certificate of Naliwala has already been uploaded. The gram punvhayat of remaining small mazers as Majhada Kushrana is Kaliadh. So the VLC proceedings certificate of FRA certificate of kaligadh has been uploaded by user agency.
5	Component wise break up in online Part I does not indicate the area details marked for other components like muck disposal etc.	Correction has been done in Form A, part I at para B-2.4 by user agency.
6	The CA stipulation against total forest area diverted in the district is not commensurate. The State Govt may do necessary correction or justify the differences with factual reasons.	User agency has mention that the CA area taken in the degraded Forest land of Reserve Forest.
7	The details of trees proposed to be felled provided in hard copy, it is seen that the counting of trees done in 7m width in few compartments. As the width of the road proposed for diversion is 9m therefore the revised tree enumeration taking 9m width may provide and correct the same in online Part II.	User agency has been mention that (7.00 m width is taken for calculated of Number of trees. The trees beyond 7.00 m width will not affected).
8	In the muck disposal plan it is not clear that the area marked for muck disposal in the area other than the private land is included in the forest land proposed for diversion. The revised land schedule may be provided clarifying the same.	Correction has been done in Form A, Part I by User agency.
9	Authenticated copy of Part I, II, III, IV, and V may be provide to this office of the same uploaded in online web portal.	Authenticated copy of Part I has been already uploaded by user Agency and submitted to DFO office Mussorie Dehradun.

10	The NPV calculated at the rate prescribed for very dense forest while 77 trees per hectare does not account for very dense forest category. The State Govt is also categorized the forest in Eco class III which seems inappropriate as per species of the area concern. The State Govt may review the Eco class and NPV rate and provide/upload the revised information to this office.	DFO Mussorrie, Dehradun has been rectified the query in Form A, part II as designated place.
11	As per Decision Support System (DSS) analysis, out the total area of 18.388 ha proposed for CA, 5 ha is on Moderately dense forest. State Govt may replace this 5 ha area with some other area suitable for CA and Provide/upload revised digital map, Sol topohseet map and KML file of the complete 18.388 ha CA area again after making the above change.	The total area (18.388 ha) of CA has been taken in the degraded land of Reserve forest now and geo references map, digital map and KML file has been uploaded by user agency again after making the above change.

संलग्न-यथोपरि।

अतः प्रकरण पर वन संरक्षण अधिनियम 1980 के अन्तर्गत स्वीकृति निर्गत करने का कष्ट करें।

भवदीय,  
  
 (डी०जे०के० शर्मा) 18/12/19  
 अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी