



# कार्यालय : वन संरक्षक, प्रादेशिक अंचल, बोकारो ।



वन भवन, ब्लॉक-‘ए’ पुरुलिया रोड़, चास, बोकारो-827013  
Email – cf-bokaro@gov.in, bokarocf@gmail.com, cfbokaro@rediffmail.com मो० - 8987790301

पत्रांक : 72-3 दिनांक : 21/10/2025

सेवा में,

प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक,

—सह—

कार्यकारी निदेशक, बंजर भूमि विकास बोर्ड,

झारखण्ड, राँची

**विषय :-** पावर ग्रिड कॉरपोरेशन ऑफ इंडिया लिमिटेड का 400 के०भी० डबल सर्किट ट्रांसमिशन लाईन पी०टी०पी०एस० से लातेहार तक रामगढ़ वन प्रमण्डल कुल 7.9685 हे० वनभूमि अपयोजन का प्रस्ताव के संबंध में।

- प्रसंग :-**
- पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार के क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, राँची का पत्र संख्या-FP/JH/TRANS/32955/2018/1291 दिनांक 12.08.2025 एवं 08.01.2024।
  - प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक-सह-कार्यकारी निदेशक, बंजर भूमि विकास बोर्ड, झारखण्ड, राँची का ज्ञापांक 846 दिनांक 16.08.2025 एवं पत्रांक 806 दिनांक 14.10.2025।
  - वन प्रमण्डल पदाधिकारी, रामगढ़ वन प्रमण्डल का कार्यालय पत्रांक 2192 दिनांक 05.10.2025 एवं पत्रांक 2273 दिनांक 14.10.2025।


महाशय,

उपूर्यक्त विषय के संबंध में सूचित करना है कि पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार के क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, राँची द्वारा विषयगत परियोजना में कुल 7 बिन्दुओं पर पृच्छा करते हुए अनुपालन प्रतिवेदन समर्पित करने का निर्देश प्राप्त है। निर्देश के आलोक में वन प्रमण्डल पदाधिकारी, रामगढ़ वन प्रमण्डल के प्रासंगिक पत्र-3 द्वारा अपने मंतव्य सहित अनुपालन प्रतिवेदन समर्पित किया गया है, जिससे अधोहस्ताक्षरी सहमत हैं।

बिन्दुवार अनुपालन प्रतिवेदन निम्नवत है :-

| Sl.No. | EDS from IRO, Ranchi dated 20.07.23                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Reply of UA                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Remarks of DFO                                                   |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| i)     | As per the DSS analysis, it is found that alignments of the uploaded KML file of the three divisions do not match with each other and also are not congruent with the installed towers (apparently installed over forest land in violation of FCA). Therefore, it may be re-examined and correct KML file may be uploaded. | It is submitted that reassessment of the forest area along the route of the line in all 3 divisions has been done and the KML file along with maps has been duly checked by respective Forest Divisions. The same has been uploaded in PARIVESH. | Modified and corrected KML has been uploaded on PARIVESH Portal. |

| Sl.No. | EDS from IRO, Ranchi dated 20.07.23                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Reply of UA                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Remarks of DFO                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ii)    | The total no of trees enumerated in forest land proposed for diversion is 15354 but conventionally they all would not require felling. Therefore, number of trees proposed for felling, trimming and translocation may be informed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Tree enumeration for the forest area has been done, verified and certified by respective FDs with respect to number of trees proposed for felling, trimming and translocation. Details are mentioned in jointly signed tree enumeration list of the respective FDs. | As per revised tree list submitted by UA, the no. of affected trees in this division are 1399 in number and number of tree that would be felled is 863. Rest would be translocated.                                                                       |
| iii)   | The CA scheme (with 10 years of maintenance) provided under Latehar FD has not been uploaded on the online application. Also, around 60Ha of forest proposed for CA are already high on vegetative index, therefore, appropriate area should be identified for the purpose.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Revised CA scheme for Latehar FD has been uploaded by DFO, Latehar on 26.09.2025.                                                                                                                                                                                   | Not related with this division.                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| iv)    | The alternative routes of transmission line are not legible, the kml of these routes too may be submitted.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Detailed Alternate analysis and Alternate Routes on Sol tops have been uploaded and submitted. KML files of Alt. Routes also submitted to all the FDs.                                                                                                              | KML files of alternative route of transmission line is submitted by UA in CDs                                                                                                                                                                             |
| v)     | As per online application, total temporary employment is 600000 whereas total employment mention in Cost Benefit (CB) analysis is 120000. It may be clarified. Also, the rates eg. NPV, daily wage etc. taken for CB estimation is old, present rate may be incorporated to assess the CB Ratio.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Revised Cost Benefit analysis has been uploaded and Employment Generation has also been revised in Part-I of the Proposal.                                                                                                                                          | Revised Cost Benefit Analysis and employment generation has been uploaded in the Part-I and updated Cost Benefit Analysis has been uploaded as additional document.                                                                                       |
| vi)    | As per DSS analysis, it appears that the proposed forest land for diversion has been violated by User Agency. But only Latehar forest division has reported violations whereas apparently the violation has also happened in Ramgarh & Ranchi Forest Division which requires filed verification. If, violation is done, then it must be taken into cognizance at the earliest and a complete action taken report against the perpetrators in this regard may be uploaded/submitted to this office along with the explanation of why forest department could not | Taken up with respective Forest Divisions at the time of Part II formulation.                                                                                                                                                                                       | It is true that violation happened in Ramgarh Forest division which while filling Part-II earlier, was missed during general oversight. After violation came into cognizance forest case has been filed and new site inspection report has been uploaded. |




| Sl.No. | EDS from IRO, Ranchi dated 20.07.23                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reply of UA                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Remarks of DFO                                                                                                                              |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|        | assess the violation (Ramparh & Ranchi FD) while processing the application. Therefore, fresh site inspection report by the concerned DFOs become imperative.                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                             |
| vii)   | The proposal is already more than four years old, it is pertinent that the required Wildlife Management Plan and Soil Conservation Plan must have been made ready by user agency. Therefore, copy of the plans may be also uploaded on the online application. | User Agency undertakes to deposit cost towards implementation of Wildlife Management Plan and Soil & Moisture Conservation plan as per MoEFCC guidelines dated 26.12.24. Undertaking for deposition of cost as per MoEFCC guidelines submitted in Part-I. | UA has uploaded the undertaking to deposit of cost WLP and SMC Plan as per guideline of MoEFCC dated 26.12.24 in Part-I of PARIVESH Portal. |

अनुपालन प्रतिवेदन के संदर्भ में क्षेत्रीय मुख्य वन संरक्षक, बोकारो से अनुमोदन प्राप्त है। अतः प्राप्त अनुपालन प्रतिवेदन की छायाप्रति एवं संशोधित प्रस्ताव की 5 (पाँच) प्रतियाँ इस पत्र के साथ संलग्न कर समर्पित करते हुए अनुरोध है कि आवश्यक अग्रतर कार्रवाई करने की कृपा की जाय।

अनु० :- यथोक्त।

विश्वासभाजन

  
मुख्य वन संरक्षक,  
पारिवेशिक अंचल, बोकारो।