

**कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण,
इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।**

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक (केन्द्रीय)
भारत सरकार
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, (उत्तर-मध्य क्षेत्र),
25 सुभाष रोड़, देहरादून।

विषय:—जनपद— अल्मोड़ा में हरड़ा—भिकियासैण मोटर मार्ग के सम्बन्ध में। **FP/UK/ROAD/10518/2015**


सन्दर्भ:— भारत सरकार पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, देहरादून के EDS
दिनांक 14-03-2018

महोदय,

विषयांकित प्रकरण पर भारत सरकार के उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित EDS दिनांक 14-03-2018 के सम्बन्ध में वन संरक्षक, उत्तरी कुमाऊँ वृत्त, अल्मोड़ा, उत्तराखण्ड से प्राप्त आख्या प्रेषित की जा रही है।

S.No	EDS	REPLY
1	Muck disposal area and road area not mentioned in component wise breakup at para B 2.3 in part I.	Muck disposal area and road area mentioned in component wise breakup at para B-2.3 in form A, Part I.
2	Shape of SoI Toposheet, KML file and digital map of proposed road are different at para C in Part I. May correct this discrepancy.	The discrepancy in shape of SOI toposheet, KML file and digital map of proposed road has been corrected at para C in part I.
3	Justification for locating the project in forest land only benefit of road provided at para D in part I also not authenticated by DFO.	Justification for locating the proposed road is uploaded at section D(i) in Form A, Part I.
4	Alternative examined is not clear at para D (ii)(a) in Part I.	Alternative examined details has been uploaded at section D(ii) (a) part-I
5	Employment details seems incorrect at para E in part I.	Corrected Employment generation details has been uploaded at section E in form A, part I.
6	Shape of SoI Toposheet of CA is not matching with digital map.	SOI toposheet for CA uploaded at section L(vi) in Form A Part I.
7	As per DSS analysis the area proposed for CA is not found suitable for CA as whole area shown MDF therefore the State Govt. may replace this area with some other suitable area or either proposed plantation of 8000 plants in any forest area as per guideline vide letter F.No. 11-4-423/2011-FC dated 08.11.2017.	The area proposed for CA is presently covered with small bushes. it can be verified by Google earth image of same area traces in 2-3 year back 10787 trees can plant in proposed CA land . Certificate by DFO Almora regarding land proposed for CA is suitable for proposed plantation is attached with the forest proposal at page no 2 and 10 in form A, Part I.
8	Land schedule is not correct.	Land scheduled has been uploaded in form A, Part II.
9	Area of naap land not mentioned in muck disposal plan.	Area of Naap land has been mentioned in muck disposal plan.

10	State Govt. may submit CA site suitability certificate duly authenticated by DFO an upload the same at designated place.	CA site suitability certificate duly authenticated by DFO has been uploaded in Form A, part II.
11	State Govt. may submit NPV bill duly authenticated by DFO and upload the same in online portal.	Authenticated NPV bill uploaded as additional information in Form A, part II.
12	Joint inspection report uploaded as an additional document at No. 4 of year 2011 shows the area proposed for diversion is 6.012 ha in Civil Soyam Land & Van Panchayat Land without preventing details of tree felling involved. The State Govt. may replaced this document. The original copy of the same may also provide to this office	The incorrect documents has been replaced by the correct documents and the original copy of the same is being submitted.
13	The State Govt. may submit revised bar chart showing area details correctly.	the width of the road is taken 7.00m for the proposed road and the bar chart submitted for the same width.
14	Sketchy strip plantation found with the proposal. The State Govt. may submit proper plantation scheme for planting trees in vacant spaces.	Road side strip plantation scheme attached as additional information in form A, part I.
15	DFO signature in many documents required to be authenticated by DFO found missing. DFO may signed all required documents with full name and stamp.	Documents have been authenticated by DFO in online Part I and II.
16	State Govt. may direct user agency to put name and designation of the person signing the undertaking provided with hard copy of the proposal.	Name and designation of the person signing the undertaking provided with hard copy of forest proposal.
17	Name of CF not mentioned in his recommendation at para 16 in Part II.	Recommendation of CF has been uploaded at section 16-(ii) in form A, Part II.
18	Recommendation uploaded by DFO in online Part II is incomplete as all the para (s) are not filled by DFO needs to be filled & revised recommendation may upload in online Part II.	Recommendation of DFO has been uploaded at section 16-(i) in form A, Part II.
19	The hard copy of the proposal is photocopy. The State Govt. may submit hard copy containing all necessary documents in original.	Hard copy of the proposal has been sent by post.


 भवदीय,
 (मनोज चन्द्रन)
 मुख्य वन संरक्षक