

No. Ani FCA-III/ 1594  
H.P. Forest Department

Dated Rampur, the 20-6-19

From: C.F. Rampur.

To: -Nodal Officer -cum- CCF (FCA)  
O/o Pr. CCF (HoFF) H.P. Shimla-1.

Subject: - Diversion of 3.3771 hac. Forest land in favour of M/s Himprabha Power Pvt. Ltd. Village Karnguhi P.O. Panthera. Tehsil Ghumarwin Distt Bilaspur H.P. for c/o Lower Kurpan-II Small Hydro Electric Project within jurisdiction of Ani & Rampur Forest Division, Distt Kullu & Shimla H.P.

Memo;

Kindly refer to your office Endst. No.Ft.48-3150/2015 (FCA) dated 22.12.2016 on the subject cited above.

2. DFO Ani has submitted the point wise reply to the observations pointed out by Regional Office Dehradun is as under: -

Sr. No.	Observations	Reply
1.	Short Narrative of the project uploaded at para-A-1(iii) does not give adequate information of the project.	The user agency has submitted theadequate information of the project. which uploaded in Para-A-1(iii) online.
2.	At A 1 (x) Part-I online, total period for which diversion is required is not filled.	Total period of the project has been mentioned/ filled in online At A 1(x) Part-I.
3	The authority letter to file the application uploaded at A-3(xvii) Part-I online is in favour of the organization/company called KMN Engineering consultant Pvt. Ltd. Whereas the application is filed by Veena Kumari, Managing Director. On the other hand, all paper/Certificate required to be signed by the User Agency in the Hard copy have been signed by various other representatives of Him Prabha Power Pvt. Limited State Govt may remove this discrepancy	The Authority letter has been issued in favour of Smt. Veena Kumari made and uploaded on online A-3 (xvii) (copy enclosed).
4	Instead of giving village site breakup, Forest Division wise breakup of the forest land proposed for diversion has been provided/uploaded online para-B 2.3 Part-I.	The user agency has submitted the village wise breakup and Division wise breakup of forest land proposed for diversion and the same has bene uploaded on the MoEF Portal(copy enclosed).
5	Component wise breakup of area proposed for diversion separately for all individual project components indicated in the digital map	Needful has been done by the user agency and uploaded on the MoEF Portal.

	provided with the hard copy may be uploaded at para B2.4 Part-I online instead of clubbing the area of more than one component together.	
6	The KML file for each component is uploaded separately in Part-I for Rampur and Ani Forest Divisions which is not proper. The state Govt. may uploaded a single KML file showing all the components of the project for both the Forest Divisions in Part-I at para -C (ii) b online.	The user agency has uploaded a single KML file showing all the components of the projects for both Forest Divisions in part-I at Para C (ii) be online.
7	The geo-referenced digital map for area proposed for diversion uploaded at Para -c (iv) of online Part-I is not found satisfactory though correct digital map is provided with hard copy of the proposal. State Govt. may uploaded the digital map available in hard copy online at C (iv) Part-I after indicating few more geo-coordinates for the T/L specifically for each turning point.	The user agency has uploaded the Digital Map hard copy online at C (iv) Part-I after indicating some more geo-coordinates for the transmission line specifically for each turning point.
8	State Govt. may provide/upload the village level Committee meeting proceeding for Khakrola&Kumsu village and Sub- Divisional Level Committee meeting proceeding for Shimla at Para K-Part-I online.	User agency has provided/uploaded the FRA including Village level Committee Meeting Proceeding for Khakrola and Kumsu village and Sub Divisional level Committeemeeting proceeding for Shimla District online web portal (copy enclosed).
9	The NOC of village Khakrola&Kumsu may be provided/uploaded online as additional Documents in Part-I.	The user agency has submitted the NOC of Village Khakrola and Kumsu village and also uploaded on the MoEF Portal. (copy enclosed).
10	As directed by the Ministry, the CA may be proposed over equivalent non-forest land and not on double the area on Degraded Forest land	As per certificate issued by Deputy Commissioner Distt. Kullu there is no non- forest land for Compensatory Afforestation in the District Kullu, as such double area for Compensatory Afforestation has been proposed on Govt. Forest land.
11	Approximate distance of the site proposed for diversion is mentioned as 0.00 km at para -7 of para-II online which does not appear to the correct	Necessary correction has been done by DFO Ani on the MoEF Web Portal.
12	Detail of wildlife present are not provided in para-8 (i) Part-II online Ani Forest Division.	DFO Ani has uploaded the detail of wildlife present on the MoEF Web Portal.
13	The total number of trees proposed to be felled in Rampur Forest Division is 8 as per detail mentioned at pg-41 of hard copy but this is not mentioned at para-4 of online Part-II.	DFO Rampur has made the necessary correction on the MoEF Web Portal in para-4 of online Part-II.
14	The total numbers of trees proposed to be felled are shown as 114 at para -4 online Part-II for Ani Forest Division but as per pf-48 of hard copy of the proposal, this number should be	Necessary correction has been done by DFO Ani in online Part-II para-4 for Ani Forest Division.

15	Though, a documents placed in hard copy of the proposal at pg 114 contains permission for Transmission through underground cable, it is not clear whether the proposed 22 KVT/L is through bare conductor or through overhead single armoured cable because the Row is taken 4m in Rampur Forest Division and 4.86 m Ani Forest Division which is not as per existing guidelines. May clarify as to what kind of T/L is proposed and revise the Row for the same in accordance with the guideline.	The User Agency has submitted that transmission line has been proposed by laying underground cable. The project is near the bank of river Kurpan in Ani Forest Division and as per the Geographical/Technical Survey the land is required 4.86 Mtrs to make the trench RoW and to make <del>inspections</del> of cable possible time to time and there is no need of extra width of trench cable at Rampur as the area is not along with the river as per Geographical/ Technical Survey, so only 4 mtr. width has been taken. In the rules of ROW, no width has been defined for underground cable.
16	Titles of documents uploaded as additional documents in Part-II online are not mentioned in the Remarks column.	Needful has been done by the DFO Ani and user agency on the MoEF web portal.

It is, therefore, requested that the proposal may kindly be sent to GoI for according necessary approval under section 2 of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980. Two copies of documents are sent herewith for taking further necessary action at your end please.

**Encl: - As above.**

Endst. No. 1595-96 Dated Rampur, the 20-6-19  
 Copy is forwarded to DFOs Ani & Rampur for information please  
 w.r.t letter No. 313 dated 23.04.2019.

Chief Conservator of Forests,  
 Rampur Forest Circle, H.P.

Chief Conservator of Forests,  
 Rampur Forest Circle, H.P.

*Remind*  
*Aditya*  
*Hira Singh Thakur*  
*20/6/19*