## TAMIL NADU FOREST DEPARTMENT

From

To

Tmt. K. Geethanjali, I.F.S., Chief Conservator of Forests, Chennai Circle, Chennai - 06. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (Head of Forest Force),
Chennai – 32.

## Ref. No. 5283 / 2022 / D, Dated: 22.07.2023

Sir,

- Sub: Forests (Conservation) Act, 1980 Proposal for diversion of 0.536 ha. of forest land in Nanmangalam RF for construction of grade separator connecting Medavakkam Sholinganallur rod junction, Medavakkam-Mambakkam road junction, Mount-Medavakkam road junction in Marmalong bridge Irumbuliyur rod at Medavakkam in Chengalpattu District Reg.
- Ref: 1. Online application uploaded by the User Agency, the Divisional Engineer, Highways, Chennai Metropolitan Development Plan Division-II, Chennai Proposal No.FP/TN/Road/155502/2022, Dated: 20.05.2022.
  - 2. Principal Chief Conservator of Forests letter No.TS3/15962/2022, Dated: 14.06.2022.
  - 3. District Forest Officer, Chennai Division, Chennai, Ref. No.3557/2022/D, Dated: 14.02.2023 and 20.04.2023.
  - 4. Principal Chief Conservator of Forests letter No.TS3/15962/2022, Dated: 28.06.2023.
  - 5. District Forest Officer, Chennai Division, Chennai, Ref. No.3557/2022/D, Dated: 21.07.2023.

\*\*\*\*

I submit that the District Forest Officer Chennai Division in the letter 5<sup>th</sup> cited has submitted his reply for the shortcomings noticed in the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests letter 4<sup>th</sup> cited. The reply furnished by the District Forest Officer Chennai division is furnished below.

S1.	Details called for by the Principal	Reply of the District Forest Officer
No	Chief Conservator of Forests	
1	In the proposed road alignment area,	The total 17Nos. of trees are
	17 number of trees have been	spontaneous trees.
	enumerated and details uploaded in	
	Section 4(ii) of Part-II of Form-A.	
	However, the status of trees whether	
	spontaneous grown trees or planted	
	has not been reported.	
2	Details of wildlife present in and	Details of wildlife present in and
	around the forest land proposed for	around this has been now reported in
	diversion has not been reported in	Section 8(i)
	Section 8(i).	
3	For the proposed diversion of 0.53	66ha. of forest land, compensatory
	afforestation has been proposed in 1.072	2ha. of degraded forest land in Gudalur
	Reserve Forest.	
	a) For doing 1.072ha. of CA	Based on the model estimate
	plantation, an amount of	approved by Principal Chief
	Rs.28.74lakhs has been	Conservator of Forests, Chennai vide
	proposed including 10 years	C.No.TS4/22483/2022 dt:
	maintenance work. For dong	21.02.2023, now the total financial
	1.072ha. plantation work, above	outlay for CA scheme for 1072Nos.
	amount seems to be very high.	(1.072ha) has been worked out and
		revised as Rs.18.43lakhs and the
		estimates for raising nursery,
		planting and 10 years maintenance of
		plantation have also been uploaded
		with necessary corrections.
	b) Excess provisions have been	Based on the model estimate
	made in watering the container	approved by Principal Chief
	plants due to non-adoption of	Conservator of Forests, Chennai vide
	approved model estimate,	C.No.TS4/22483/2022 dt:
	providing vertical casuarina	21.02.2023, necessary corrections
	poles in the planting site, Cost of	have been carried out in estimates
	water and watering days in the	and uploaded the same.
	maintenance period have been	
	provided.	

<b>S1.</b>	Details called for by the Principal	Reply of the District Forest Officer
No	Chief Conservator of Forests	
	c) Further, CA plantation has been	Based on the model estimate
	proposed in 1.072ha. of	approved by Principal Chief
	degraded forest area of Gudalur	Conservator of Forests, Chennai vide
	Reserve Forest under the control	C.No.TS4/22483/2022 dt:
	of Forest Department. However,	21.02.2023, necessary corrections
	engagement of Two protection	have been carried out in estimates
	mazdoors have been proposed	and uploaded the same.
	for protection of 1.072ha. area.	
	This provision may be deleted	
	for the CA plantations proposed	
	in degraded forest areas under	
	the management control of	
	Forest Department.	
	d) Further in CA scheme abstract,	The name of the RF is wrongly
	name of the Reserve Forest	mentioned as Vandalur RF instead of
	reported as Vandalur Reserve	Gudalur RF
	Forest	
	e) Site suitability certificate of the	Site suitability certificate of the
	identified CA plantation area	identified CA plantation in Gudalur
	has not been uploaded.	RF is uploaded
IV	As per the document uploaded by the	Now a revised site inspection report
	user agency in Part-I of Form-A,	has been uploaded with necessary
	demolition of compound wall in the	justifications.
	forest area is involved and the user	
	agency has furnished undertaking to	
	construct new compound wall in the	
	proposed Row boundary of forest area.	The Control of the Co
	However, in the site inspection report	
	and recommendation of the project,	
	there is no mention made about the	
	infrastructures to be removed in the	
	area.	
V	As per the site inspection report and	The total area of 0.536ha. including
	recommendation of the project	0.243ha. under the utility of Quaide-
	furnished by the District Forest Officer,	milleth educational trust belongs to
	out of 0.536ha of forest land proposed	Nanmangalam Reserve Forest which

<b>S1</b> .	Details called for by the Principal	Reply of the District Forest Officer
No	Chief Conservator of Forests	
	for diversion, 0.293ha. area comes	remains its legal status as Reserve
	under Nanmangalam RF of Tambaram	Forest till date.
	Range and 0.243ha forest area under	The layout sketch indicating length
	the control of Quaid-e-Milleth	and width of 0.536ha. have been
	Educational Trust.	uploaded.
	However, layout sketch indicating	
	length, width and area of forest land	
	involving above two forest area has not	
	been furnished.	
VI	This proposal was accepted by the	As per the recent Government of
	Nodal Officer on 18.07.2022. Since the	India MoEF&CC, (FC Division) New
	Forest (Conservation) Rules 2022 has	Delhi letter file No. FC-11/118/2021-
	come into effect from 28.06.2022, the	FC, dated:13.06.2023 communicated
	new Rules has to be adopted for this	by Principal Chief Conservator of
	project.	Forest, Chennai
		Ref.No.TS4/14436/2023
		dt:11.07.2023. Compensatory
		Afforestation land is not necessary for
		below 1ha. Hence Compensatory
		Afforestation land is not necessary for
		this proposal.

I submit herewith the copy of the District Forest Officer Chennai Division letter  $5^{\text{th}}$  cited for favour of kind perusal necessary action.

Yours faithfully,

Encl: As stated above

**Sd/- K. Geethanjali,** Chief Conservator of Forests, Chennai Circle, Chennai.

//t.c.b.o.//

Senior Draughting Officer