



ई, मेल :- dforudraprayag@gmail.com

फोन/ फैक्स नं० :- 01364 - 233505::

पता :- माई की मढ़ी निकट जवाडी बाईपास रुद्रप्रयाग

## कार्यालय उप वन संरक्षक, रुद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रुद्रप्रयाग।

पत्रांक-696 /12-1(2) दिनांक 20/09/2018::

सेवा में,

वन संरक्षक,  
गढ़वाल वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड,  
पौड़ी।

**विषय :-** जनपद-रुद्रप्रयाग के अन्तर्गत बांसवाड़ा-किरोई-जलई-गैर-कण्डारा मोटर मार्ग (2.06 है०) के निर्माण हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग को हस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव।

**PROPOSAL NO- FP/UK/ROAD/8900/2014**

**सन्दर्भ :-** भारत सरकार द्वारा लगाई गई आपत्तियां दिनांक 01.06.2018।

**महोदय**

उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित विषयक वन भूमि हस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव में भारत सरकार स्तर से लगाई गई आपत्तियों का निराकरण अधिशासी अभियन्ता, निर्माण खण्ड, लो०नि०वि०, ऊखीमठ ने अपने पत्रांक-2671/13सी० दिनांक 13.09.2018 द्वारा निम्न प्रकार प्रेषित है।

S.N.	Objections	Clarifications
1	In the village wise breakup only village Jalai is mentioned in online Part-I where as in FRA certificate village level proceedings are produced for village jalai and Kandara	Necessary correction has been made In the village wise breakup Part-I, Form-A, Section-B-2.3
2	In the hard copy the certificate shows that the distance of the proposaed road form wild life sanctuary/ National Park is less than 10 km.	Certificate of Chief Wildlife warden has been attached in additional information Form-A, Part-I.
3	In DSS analysis it is observed that of the proposed road passes through the CA area.	Area used in Road alignment and muck disposal is 2.06 Ha. on behalf of that double the area for plantation i.e. 4.12 Ha., And In CA KML the Proposed CA area is 4.41 Ha. in which 0.250 KM area of road passes through CA area which is along 0.175 Ha.. So the area of Plantation is double the area of Road alignment.
4	Justification is not authenticated by DFO.	DFO authenticated Justification has been uploaded at para-D (i) in form A, part I.
5	Administrative approval uploaded online is for the year 2008 and not clear.	Administrative Approval and Financial Sanction for construction of this road uploaded as additional document in Part I issued in the year 2008 is valid in the current year 2018-19. Certificate regarding this validity is uploaded in additional information section. and a clear copy of GO is uploaded.
6	CA scheme not found uploaded.	CA Scheme uploaded in additional information Form-A, Part-I.
7	At para 8 (iii) in part-II mentioned Yes and submitted that no negative impact in agriculture field but Chief Wildlife Warden certificate is requird.	Chief Wildlife Warden certificate uploaded in additional information Para-13 of Part-II.
8	The proposed road has two segments that connect the existing road. One segment is found to connect the village with existing road which appears quite Justified. Other segment links two existing roads and cuts through denes forest thereby is unjustified.	The first segment of Road which passes through forest land connects first Existing road to second existing road in which from second segment of road starts. Second existing road is washed out from both the ends with a critical slide zone which will be closed from last 4 to 5 years and that's why this will be a necessity to the construction of segment 1 of this road for connecting the villages from segment 2 of this proposed road.

भवदीय

उप वन संरक्षक,

रुद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रुद्रप्रयाग।