कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण, इन्दिरानगर फॅारेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

Email- Nodalofficerddn@gmail.com

Phone/Fax-2767611

पत्रांक:- ि / FP/UK/HYD/42080/2019 दिनॉक:देहरादून 🎺 जुलाई, 2020

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक, भारत सरकार, पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, (उत्तर—मध्यक्षेत्र), 25—सुभाष रोड़, देहरादून।

विषय:- जनपद-पिथौरागढ़ में तहसील, धारचूला के अन्तर्गत प्रस्तावित तांकुल (क्षमता-4x3000 किलोवाट) लघु जल विद्युत परियोजना के निर्माण हेतु 9.397 हे0 वन भूमि का गैर वानिकी कार्यों हेतु यूजेवीएन लि0 को हस्तान्तरण।

संदर्भः— भारत सरकार पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, देहरादून का पत्रांक—8बी / यूसीपी / 01 / 195 / 2019 / एफ0सी0 / 2090 दिनांक 13—12—2019

महोदय,

विषयांकित प्रकरण पर भारत सरकार के उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित EDS दिनांक 13—12—2019 के क्रम में प्रस्तावक विभाग द्वारा वन संरक्षक, उत्तरी कुमाऊँ वृत्त, अल्मोड़ा के पत्रांक 1843/12—1 दिनांक 07—02—2020 के द्वारा प्रेषित बिन्दुवार सूचना निम्न प्रकार प्रेषित की जा रही है :—

| S.No. | Shortcomings | Observations |
|-------|---|---|
| 1 | In para-A-1(vi) of Part-1, the shape of the forest land proposed to be diverted is mentioned as 'Linear instead of Non-Linear'. State government may correct the same online. | User Agency mentioned that category of the project has been changed from Linear to Non-Linear in Form A, Part-I, Para-A-1(vi). |
| 2 | In para-C of Part-I, KML file of proposed CA area has been found uploaded instead of KML file of the forest land proposed to be diverted for the project. State Government may upload the KML file of the area proposed for diversion at para C(ii), Part I online. | User Agency mentioned that Kml file of the forest land proposed to be diverted has been uploaded in Form A, Part-I, Para-C(ii) and CD of Maps has been enclosed. (Enclosure-1) |
| 3 | In para-E of Part-I regarding employment likely to be generated, permanent/regular employment is mentioned as '0' and temporary employment (number of person days) is mentioned as 2000 which does not appear to be correct keeping in view the cost of the project which is mentioned as Rs 11,84,276 lakhs in para-A-I (vii). State Government may submit the clarification in this regard and provide the correct information. | User Agency mentioned that Permanent/regular employees to be engaged after commissioning of the project are around 20. Mandays to be generated during construction period are around 374125 has been uploaded in Form-A, Part-I Para-E. (Enclosure-2) |
| 4 | In para-G of Part-I, the cost benefit analysis has been uploaded in the old format. State government may submit the clarification in this regard and provide the correct information. | User Agency mentioned that Cost benefit analysis as per new format has been uploaded in Form-A Part-I, Para-G. (Enclosure-3) |
| 5 | In para-K of Part-I, FRA certificate has been provided in the format meant for linear projects instead of Form-II meant for projects other than linear projects. State government may upload the FRA certificate meant for the projects other than linear projects. | User Agency mentioned that FRA certificate fo non-linear projects has been uploaded in Form-A Part-I, Para-K. (Enclosure-4) |

| | In para-L(vi) of part_1, map in Survey of found uploaded showing the project components has been found uploaded instead of map showing the civil land proposed for CA. Moreover, KML file of the proposed CA area and other relevant document viz., ownership proof, non-encumberence certificate, MoU agreement have not been found uploaded at para-I. State government may do the needful in this regard. | User Agency mentioned that Map showing the civil land proposed for CA has now been uploaded. Allotment letter from GoU has been uploaded for proof of ownership. Further, UJVNL being a nodal agency for development of hydro projects in the state, there is no need to sign the MoU agreement. Relevent document uploaded in Form-A, Part-I, Para-L(iv). (Enclosure-5) User Agency mentioned that The CAT plan is |
|----|---|---|
| 7 | Although, the CAT plan has been uploaded at para-M of part-I but the hard copy of the same has not been provided. State government may submit the hard copy of the CAT | hard copy enclosed herewith. (Enclosure-6) |
| 8 | In the documents showing length and width of the project uploaded at SI No. 30 of additional documents in Para-1, the total forest land involved is mentioned as 10.236 instead of 9.397 ha. State Government may submit the revised documents showing forest and non-forest area. | that under SI. No. 30 the total project rand and 10.236 ha out of which 9.397 ha is forest land and 0.837 ha is non forest land. Revised and correct document has been uploaded in Form-A, Part-I, Additional information SNo-39. (Enclosure-7) |
| 9 | In para-4(ii), 4(iii) & 4(iv) of Part-II, the number of trees at FRL, FRL-2 mtr and FRL-4 mtr is mentioned as 16 trees, 216 trees and nil trees respectively which is not correct. Government may submit clarification and provide correct | total number of trees given by Di O-I talotagard and uploaded in form A Part-II, para-4(ii), 4(iii) & 4(iv). (Enclosure-8) |
| 10 | information in this regard. In para-13 of Part-II, details of the proposed CA area has not been filled up in the table and KML file, geo-referenced map and the map in SoI toposheet have also not been uploaded. State government may fill the all the detail at para 13 and upload maps and KML file at para 13 Part II online. The area of land required for 3 no of muck disposal site mentioned in component wise breakup at para – B – 2.4 or Part-1 does not match with the area and dimensions of the no. of muck disposal sites given in the Muck Disposal Pla provided with the hard copy of the proposed. Stat Government may remove this discrepancy and submit the | The details of the proposed CA area have uploaded by DFO in form A Part-II, Para-13. (According to encloser-2) Substitute of 3 number of muck disposal sites has been removed. Accordingly, revised muck disposal plan has been uploaded in Form-A, Part-I, Para-te B-2.4. (Enclosure-9) |
| 12 | actual detail of the same. State government as well as the user agency has not mentioned anything about the Environment flow (E-flow required to sustain forest water ecosystem etc. downstreat of diversion weir upto TRT. State government may do to needful in this regard. | User Agency mentioned that Provision for release of adequate e-flow has been made in the DPR. Further, all the necessary steps shall be taken during construction of project to comply the latest orders of Honorable National Green Tribunal. The (E-flow) clarification has been uploaded in Form-A, Part-I, Additional information SNo-18 (Enclosure-10) |
| | It is seen from DSS analysis of the area proposed for that 2.00 ha area of Patch –I (7.984 ha) falls in MI Similarly 3.00 ha area of Patch-4 (4.872 ha) also falls MDF. State government may submit the piantation scheme. | Part-II, Para-13 (iii) 6. (Enclosure-11) |
| | in lieu of area falling in MDF/VDF. Copy of TEC and implementation agreement has not be submitted with the proposal. State government may subthe hard copy of TEC and implementation agreement. | been User Agency mentioned that as per garden |

| | | implementation agreement. Generally, MoU is signed between GoU & CPSUs/Private developers, in case of allotment. DPR of the project had already been approved by the board of UJVNL, which is competent authority in the state for approval of DPRs of hydro electric projects. The copy of TEC and implementation agreement has been uploaded in Form-A, Part-I, additional information S.No |
|------|---|--|
| * 15 | Administrative approval, Geologist report and aerial distance certificate not found uploaded. | 31(Enclosure-12) User Agency has been uploaded Administrative approval in Form-A, Part-I, at additional information S.No31 Geologist report Form-A, Part-I, at additional information S.No29 Aerial distance certificate at Form A, Part-I, additional information S.No41 (Enclosure-13) |

भवदीय, (डी०जें०कें० शर्मा) अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी