

No. 708
H.P. Forest Department

Dated, at Sarahan 20.08.2024

From: -DCF (WL) Sarahan.

To: CF WL (S) Shimla

Subject: -Proposal for diversion of 25.60ha of forest land in favour of HPPWD for the construction of road from bhaba Mood Sagnam Attargu road 0/0 to 44/400 in Distt. Kinnaur under FCA-1980 with in the jurisdiction of DFO Kinnaur and DFO WL Sarahan.

Respected Ma'am:-

Kindly refer to PCCF(Hoff) office endst. no. Ft.48-3815/2018(FCA) dated 12.11.2020 on the subject cited above.

2. In this regard, it is submitted that the following point wise observation detail is as under:-

1.	In Part-1, A-3 (XVII), the name mentioned in the authorization letter does not match the details of the person making the application, Either revised authorization letter be uploaded or the clarification may be submitted.	The revise Authorization letter has been now uploaded by the user agency.
2.	Administrative Approval and Approved DPR of the project from the competent authority need to be provided.	The Administrative approval has been now uploaded by the user agency.
3.	The Cost of the project is provided in Part-I, A –I (vii) needs to be revised.	The Cost of the project has been now revised by the user agency.
4.	In Part-1, C (iii) & (iv) the toposheet no is missing and needs to be rectified as the same DGPS and SOI for uploaded for the area proposed to be diverted i.e., 25.569 ha.	The toposheet number has now been mentioned by the user agency.

5.	The Cost – Benefit Analysis needs to be revised as per the latest NPV rates.	The cost benefit analysis has been revised as per the latest NPV rates by the user agency.
6.	A fresh KML of the instant proposal may be provided in the polygon of the diversion area along the Forest and Non-Forest area in a distinct color.	A fresh KML of the instant proposal has been uploaded.
7.	<p>KML of the proposed alignment (Territorial Division) reveals that there is a road already present on the proposed alignment, therefore the State Govt. may provide clarification on the following points:</p> <p>i. Whether the approval for diversion under the provision of FCA has been taken for the existing road?</p> <p>ii. If the existing road is prior to 1980 the documentary proof shall be provided as per the guideline para 1.13 of the handbook.</p> <p>iii. If the diversion or de-reservation was not taken up the existing road as per the guideline para 1.13 prior to 1980 then this should be incorporated with the present area of diversion.</p>	<p>As per the report of Executive Engineer Karcham Division the existing jeepable road has been constructed initially by the Panchayati Raj Department after taking due approval from DFO kinnaur under the provision of FRA as Homte bridge to Masrang road km 0/0 to 10745 measuring 0.6981 hectares vide his letter number 11685 dated 27.11.2015. As the portion of this previously constructed jeep road which is coming in the alignment of proposed road is not having standard motorable width of 5/7 meters and also the road constructed is not in accordance with the guidelines laid in the IRC Code such as road gradient width etc. Therefore, diversion proposal includes the forest area involved in this portion also.</p>
8.	Based on the perusal of KML of the proposal number 36476/2018 for which approval is already granted, partly,	As per the report of Executive Engineer Karcham Division the road appearing as parallel road in the

	goes parallel to the proposed alignment in the instant proposal (139911/2021). Hence, clarification may be provided regarding the common road alignment with the already approved road and necessity for diversion in already diverted road that lie in the alignment (Kms 0/000 to 28/430 of already diverted forest Vs Kms 0/000 to 44/400 in the instant proposal.	KML file was erroneously marked in the KML file. The proposed road is not going parallel to the road which has already been granted approval under FCA(Proposal number 36478/2018). In fact both the roads shall meet at Bhaba top KML file has now been corrected and uploaded.
9.	The Layout Plan has been provided. However, Plan must include a legend and the proper dimensions of each component, such as Carriageway, Paved Shoulder, Earth Filling, Drain, and Protection Work, as well as area-wise calculations duly authenticated by the concerned DFO's needs, be provided.	Revised layout plan duly authenticated by the DFO has been uploaded by the user agency.
10.	A revised Joint Inspection Report needs to be uploaded.	Revised Joint Inspection Report has been uploaded by the user agency.
11.	From the KML the proposed alignment has been proposed through the Mountain Glacier area therefore the Geologist Report for the same may be provided.	Geologist report as desired has been uploaded by the user agency.
12.	State Govt. must ensure and prepare the conservation plan as per HP Road Policy for all existing water sources and drain within and adjacent to this site are free from interruption and	The conservation plan for all existing water sources and drains within the adjacent to the proposed site has been prepared and uploaded by the user agency.

	contamination.	
13.	An enumeration list, including the compartment no and along with the date of tree enumeration, which is duly authenticated by the concerned DFO, needs to be provided. Further, a separate enumeration list of trees for the dumping site may be provided.	The enumeration of the trees coming in the wildlife division Sarahan has been enumerated during the month of November 2019 in UPF-27 and authenticated by the concerned DFO of Sarahan has been uploaded now.
14.	NPV Calculation sheet for Kinnaur (Territorial) Forest Division needs to be provided as 360 proposed to be felled in a 4 ha. Area.	Does not pertain to this division.
15.	A detailed Muck Management Plan and Reclamation Plan of the dumping sites duly authenticated by the concerned DFO need to be uploaded online.	A detailed muck management plan and reclamation plan of the dumping site duly authenticated by the concerned DFO and has been uploaded by the user agency.
16.	Under Column 8 (i) of Part-II of Kinnaur rare endangered species (i.e. Snow Leopard (panther uncia), Blue Sheep (Ovis montanus) have been reported by the concerned DFO. However, under column 8 (v) it is mentioned as No. Therefore, same may be rectified in the online portal.	Does not pertain to this division.
17.	Under Column 8 (ii) of WL Sarahan Details and comments on the impact of the Project on such areas need to be properly filled.	Now it has been properly filled.
18.	Under column 8 (v) of Part-II, WL Sarahan it is mentioned as No.	Rectified.

	Therefore same may be rectified in the online portal.	
19.	The NPV bill provided needs to be revised as it is calculated for the Eco-V Very Dense category. However, the area falls under Eco VI as information provided under column 4 (i), the same needs to be rectified and uploaded.	Now the NPV has been calculated on Eco-6 class and revised NPV has been uploaded.
20.	Since the proposal involves areas of more than > 5 ha. Therefore, site inspection Report from the concerned Conservator of Forests needs to be provided.	Replied on Circle level.
21.	Under column 15, part-II, the site inspection report by the DFO WL Sarahan states that "No Rare and Protected Area / Heritage Site is located in the area, therefore State Govt. may review the same. Furthermore, No specific recommendation is recommended by the DFO WL Sarahan.	Recommendations of DFO WL Sarahan has been uploaded now.
22.	The specific recommendation by DFO Kinnaur needs to be provided as per the handbook of FCA, 1980 and FC Rules, 2003 (Guidelines and Clarifications), MoEF & CC, 2019.	Does not pertain to this division.
23.	As per DSS analysis, the proposed area for CA has 2 ha of Very Dense forests and the proposed Area is 30.4 ha while the calculated area is 10.6 ha, which	Does not pertain to this division.

	may be verifiable. Both the proposed CA area patches overlap each other. Therefore, State Govt. may look for additional / alternate CA sites and may review and submit documentation regarding the suitability of land for CA in lieu of forest land proposed for diversion in terms of its vicinity to the area being proposed for DA and / or contiguity with the existing forest area.	
24.	Legible copy of CA scheme needs to be uploaded as the currently uploaded CA scheme is not readable.	Legible copy of revised CA scheme has been uploaded now.
25.	The Status of Proposed CA land may be provided, whether it is a Govt. Wasteland is declared as PF under HP Govt. notification, 1952, or it is notified as UPF/DPF under IFA 1927.	The status of proposed CA land is unlisted demarcated protected forest as per government waste land declared under HP Govt. notification 1952.

Encl: -As above.

CA Neg
**Deputy Conservator of Forests,
Wildlife Division, Sarahan, HP.**