

सेवा में,

वन संरक्षक,  
शिवालिक वृत्त,  
उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।  
प्रधानमंत्री ग्राम सड़क योजना के अन्तर्गत जनपद पौड़ी गढ़वाल में टी02 (ओ0डी0आर0) से मंजोर्ख  
मोटर मार्ग ( PROPOSAL NO FP/UK/ROAD/11141/2015) पन लगी आपत्ति के निराकरण  
के सम्बन्ध में।

विषय:-

संदर्भ:-

ऑनलाईन आपत्तियों DATES 23.05.2016 IN PROPOSAL NO FP/UK/ROAD/11141/2015  
का निस्तारण ।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयक कम में आपके द्वारा लगाई गई आपत्तियों का बिन्दुवार ऑनलाईन निराकरण कर  
प्रस्ताव आपके अवलोकनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित:-

क्र०स०	आपत्ति	निराकरण (संक्षिप्त विवरण में)
1-	Short narrative of the project is still not giving adequate information in PART I.	PART-I A-project Details 1. (iii) Short narrative of the proposal and project and prjject/scheme for which the forest land is required:
2-	Total period for which the proposed land to be diverted is not mentioned in part I.	A-project Details 1. (x) Total period for which the proposed land to be diverted(in years):
3-	GPS coordinate of 200-300m distance along the proposed alignment including geo-coordinate on every turn is provided by the State Govt in google image which should be on GIS software generated georeferenced map	PART-I C-Maps of forest land proposed to be diverted (IV). Scanned copy of the Geo-referenced map of the forest land proposed to be diverted to prepared by using GPS or Total Station
4-	The area mentioned in Sub Divisional Level committee (SDLC) and village Level Committee (VLC) proceedings is not match with the area proposed for diversion. The State Govt may tevisе the area accordingly tn SDLC and VLC.	PART-I K Status of settlement of ridht under the Forest Rights . ACT, 2006 on the forest land proposed to be diverted (a). Copy of documentary evidence in support of settlement of rights under the Forest Riights Act, 2006 on the forest land proposed to be diverted:
5-	The road as mentioned in the title is up to Majokhi but in KML file the end point of the road is in Dhari. The Sate Govt may comment on this and change the title of the project accordingly, if required.	PART-I C-Maps of forest land proposed to be diverted . Segment wise details
6-	The CA scheme and other details pertaining to CA site is provided in the hard copy as well as in online Part I and II is for area 9.8 ha While it should be given for twice the area proposed for diversion i.e 5.69*2 = 11.318 ha. The state Govt may revise the CA area and upload the other required details/ documents accordingly in Part I	PART-I L- Details of land identified for compensatory . Afforestation (f). Copy of Ownership proof:

7-	and II. The Sol toposheet map indicating area proposed for CA and GIS software generated geo-referenced map showing coordinates for all corners of the polygon is not uploaded in the designated column in Part I and II.	PART-I L- Details of land identified for compensatory . Afforestation (v) scanned copy of the map of the land identified for creation of compensatory afforestation prepared by using GPS or Total station.
8-	The KML file shows the CA site proposed in four patches while in details of column L of Part I detail of only one patch is provide correct detail of CA of CA area proposed in the proposal.	PART-I L- Details of land identified for compensatory . Afforestation (c) Copy of KML file of the patch.
9-	Authority letter for the applicant is not uploaded in Part I. The letter uploaded is not correct.	PART-I A.3 Details of Person Making Application (xvii) copy of documents in support of the competence/authority of the person making this application to make application on behalf of the user agency.
10-	Village wise breakup is not provided in Part I.	PART-I B- Details of land required for the project. B-2.3 Village wise breakup
11-	Correct component wise break up in not provide in Part I.	PART-I B- Details of land required for the project. B-2.4 Component wise breakup
12-	Density of the area proposed for diversion should be mention in decimals in Part II.	प्रस्तावित क्षेत्र में वृक्षों का घनत्व 0.40 है 0 तथा क्षेत्र सिविल वन के अन्तर्गत है।
13-	Cost benefit analysis (C/B) is not provided in correct form as it should be given with proper quantification of loss and benefit in monetary terms.	G- Details of cost-Benefit analysis for the Project (a) Copy of cost-Benefit analysis:
14-	Approximate distance is not provided site from the forest boundaries is not provided.	प्रस्तावित मोटर मार्ग वन क्षेत्र से लगभग 2.50 कि०मी० की दूरी पर स्थित है।
15-	The NPV calculation is not provided with the proposal. The state Govt is advised to review the density and provide NPV calculation accordingly.	NPV की घनराशि रु० 9.39 लाख प्रति है० के अनुसार रु० 5313801.00 आंकी गई है।
16-	CA stipulation is not commensurate with the total forest area diverted in the district.	PART-I L- Details of land identified for compensatory . Afforestation (f) copy of ownership proof.
17-	In the DSS analysis 7.0 ha area of CA is Moderately Dense forest (MDF) and 1.0 ha area in Very dense forest (VDF) Which is not suitable for CA. The state Govt may review the area again and proposed the VDF and MDF area in other places if required.	प्रस्तावित क्षेत्र लैन्डाना तथा अन्य झाड़ियों से अच्छादित है। वृक्ष विहीन क्षेत्र है। तथा क्षतिपूरक वृक्षारोपण हेतु उपयुक्त है।

न-उपरोक्तानुसार

भवदीय

(इन्द्रशंकर उपाध्याय)

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी

भूमि संरक्षण वन प्रभाग लैन्सडौन।