

## कार्यालय प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक, छत्तीसगढ

अरण्य भवन, सेक्टर–19, नार्थ ब्लॉक, केपिटल कॉम्पलेक्स, नया रायपुर – 492002 (अपर प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक – भू–प्रबंध)

दूरभाषः 0771 — 2512840

ई - मेलः apccf-lm.cg@gov.in

क्र./भू-प्रबंध/विविध/115-442/3539 प्रति,

रायपुर, दिनांक ॥/१२/२०१७

<

अपर प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक (क्षेत्रीय)

विषय:--

Diversion of 459.522 ha. of Forest Land in favour of Chhattisgarh East West Rail Corridor Ltd, for Construction of East West Rail Corridor from Marwahi Forest Division (77.178 ha.) and Katghora Forest Division (382.344 ha.), under Forest (Conservation Act, 1980 in Bilaspur and Korba District in the State of Chhattisgarh.

पंजीयन क्रमांक / FP/CG/RAIL/ 6817/ 2014

संदर्भः 1. भारत सरकार, पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, नागपुर में आयोजित REC बैठक दिनांक 27.11.2017 का कार्यवाही विवरण (एजेण्डा क्रमांक–3)

2. आवेदक एजेंसी CEWRL का पत्र क्र. CEWRL/Raipur/Forest/2017-18/108 दिनांक 11.12.2017

3. वन मंडलाधिकारी, कटघोरा वन मंडल का पत्र क्रमांक/तक.अधि/2017/2143 दिनांक 11.12.2017

-0-

भारत सरकार, पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, नागपुर में आयोजित REC बैठक दिनांक 27.11.2017 को लिये गये निर्णय अनुसार प्रकरण से संबंधित बिन्दु –2 के उपबिन्दु (i),(ii),(iii),(iv) एवं (v) की बिन्दुवार जानकारी आवेदक एजेंसी के संदर्भ पत्र–2 से वन मंडलाधिकारी कटघोरा को प्राप्त हुई है जिसे वन मंडलाधिकारी कटघोरा ने संदर्भ पत्र–3 से इस कार्यालय को प्रेषित किया है।

बिन्दु	एजेण्डा	आवेदक एजेंसी की टीप	विमागीय अभिभत
क्र.			
2 (i)	Information submitted by the Addl. PCCF &	Noted.	आवेदक एजेंसी हारा टीप की गई है।
	Nodal Officer		विभागीय अधिमत की
	(FCA), Government of		आवश्यकता नहीं है ।
	Chhattisgarh as sought by the		
	Regional office		
	wide its letter dated 03.11.2017		
	may be		
	examined by the		
	Regional office		
	and placed		
	before the committee in its		
	next meeting.		

SME Forest Virials 2017 (1)

Scanned by CamScanner

2 (ii) Comments of the NTCA may be obtained as the proposed line is located at a distance of approximately 9.0 KM from the Achanakmar-Bandhavgarh

Vide APCCF / LM, Raipur letter No. भू.प्र/विविध/115-412/3478,dated: 06.12.2017 (Annexure 'A'), NTCA has been requested to provide its comments on WLP. Directions issued by NTCA will be implemented with letter & spirit. In this relation an undertaking has been submitted by user agency and same is enclosed as Annexure - 'B'.

आवेदक एजेंसी ला कथन सही है।

2 (iii) A detailed report on the situational review of the existing capacity of the railway and likely requirement of strengthening of infrastructure

Existing capacity of railways and its utilization as on 31.03.2016 is as under: -

Section	% Utilization of charted			
	line capacity (*)			
Champa-Bilaspur	139			
Bilaspur- Anuppur	168			

(\*) A Xerox copy of charted capacity and its utilization as collected from Railways is enclosed as Annexure 'C'.

Thus one more additional line is required to meet the present traffic requirement and provide adequate block for maintenance and replacement of over aged assets. This is very important in view of recent spate of derailments on Indian railway on account of asset failures.

Since, we are separate organization therefore it is not practicable for us to comment on possibility and strengthening of present railway requirement of infrastructure in Champa-Bilaspur and BilaspurAnuppur Section. However, it is noticed, that Ministry of Railways vide letter no. 2009/W-1/Genl/Surveys/09-10; New Delhi, Dated: 24.06.2010 (Annexure 'D')sanctioned the survey for Gevra- Pendranew railway line despite existing present rail infrastructure. This survey and subsequent sanction of Gevra-Pendra railway line by Railways must have been with due consideration of existing capacity and remote possibility of its further expansion to meet the projected future traffic. In fact, Cabinet Committee on Economic Affairs (CCEA) gave its approval for construction of Gevra-Pendra line on 07.02.2013 (Annexure 'E').

East -West Rail Corridor (corridor-III) is one of the 3-corridors conceived by GoCG and its alignment was finalized by a high level committee headed by Chief Secretary of GoCG, representative of MoR,GoCG,NTPC,SECL, confederation Indian Industry for providing rail connectivity to predominantly tribal population.

आवेदक एजेंसी द्वारा एक अतिरिक्त रेलवे लाईन की आवश्यकता सबंधी दी गई टीप से सहमति व्यक्त की जाती है।

- Min Letter Vividh 2017 (1)

realization of true mineral potential, thereby industrialization and development of tribal dominated Northern Chhattisgarh.

For realization of above objectives, GoCG signed MoU (Annexure 'F') with MoR on 27/02/2012 which very clearly defines themotives behind proposed rail corridors. For better appreciation of steamed committee relevant Para from the MoU are being quoted verbatim.

Quote:-

"WHEREAS, the northern region of the State of Chhattisgarh has great potential to contribute to national development, owing to its rich natural resources, prospects for industrialization and endowments in human resources; and is emerging as a hub for power generation and energy security in the country.

And WHEREAS, the aforesaid region of the State of Chhattisgarh has very low rail-density, because of which it is unable to realize its true potential, and is unable to provide rail connectivity to the predominantly tribalpopulation therein:

And, Further WHEREAS GoCG in consultation with MoR had, vide its order dated 09.02.2012 notified a Working Group headed by Chief Secretary, GoCG comprising representatives of MoR, GoCG, National Thermal Power Corporation Limited, South Eastern Coalfield Limited and Confederation of Indian Industry to identify rail Corridors to be developed in North Chhattisgarh for passenger and freight services and suggest possible options for mobilization of investment and management structure.

And WHEREAS, the said Working Group, having considered all relevant information, including the recommendations made by Chhattisgarh integrated Infrastructure Development Committee, constituted earlier by GoCG in April, 2010, and consultations with all stakeholders, has submitted its report on 14.02.2012.

Now, THEREFORE, this Memorandum between MoR&GoCG agrees upon the following broad principles.

- 1. Three rail corridors would be developed in Northern region of the State of Chhattisgarh, namely:
- (a) Corridor I East Corridor: Bhupdevpur-Gharghoda-Dharamjaygarh up to Korba with a spur form Gharghoda to Donga Mauha to connect mines of Gare-Pelma block, approximately 180 kms in length.
- (b) Corridor II: North Corridor: Surajpur- Parsa-Katghora-Korba, approximately 150 KMs in length.
- (c) Corridor-III East-West corridor: Gevra Road to Pendra road via Dipka, Katghora, Sindurgarh, Pasan, approximately 122 KMs in length.
- 2. The aforementioned rail Corridors shall be used for both freight and passenger services and the alignment of the Corridors shall be such as connect the following

towns/villages in the region:  (a) Corridor I — East Corridor: -Gharghoda-Dharamjaygarh. (b) Corridor III: Sorth Corridor: Katghora, Pasan and Surajour. (c) Corridor III: East-West Corridor: Katghora, Pasan and Sindurgarh.  Thus alignment of rail Corndor-III has been chosen by GOCG on socio-economic considerations with development of tribula areas a centre point.  Consolidated Statement of Area requirement for the construction of Corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines.  Corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor forest forest forest area Area Area Area Area Area Area (Ha.) (Ha.)  Quera Road for Pendra Road (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.)  Quera Road for Pendra Road (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.)  Quera Road for Pendra Road (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.)  Quera Road for Pendra Road (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.)  Quera Road for Pendra Road (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.)  Quera Road for Pendra Road (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.)  Quera Road for Pendra Road (Ha.) (Ha	·							
(a) Corridor ! — East Corridor: -Gharghoda-Dharamjaygarh. (b) Corridor III: North Corridor: Katghora , Parsa and Surajour. (c) Corridor III: East-West Corridor: Katghora, Pasan and Sindurgarh." Thus alignment of rail Corridor-III has been chosen by GOC9 on socio-economic considerations with development of tribal areas a centre point. Consolidated Statement of area requirement for the construction of Corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines.  S. Corridor III including the proposed main line and side lines.  S. Corridor More Forest Total of the proposed main line and side lines.  S. Corridor More Forest Total of the proposed main line and side lines.  S. Corridor More Forest Total of the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Road (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.)  Quya to kusmunda 142.53 0 142.53  S. Corridor Road (Ast). (Ha.) (Ha.)  Pendra Road (Ast). (Ha.)  1 Urga to kusmunda 142.53 0 142.53  S. Corridor Road (Ast). (Ha.)  TOTAL 524.449 (459.522) 941.471  (*) This is an approximate area, land under connectivities is under identification & the exact area of land and its bifurcation under assessment. However, area of forest land in connectivity is likely to be very less.  2 (v) Possibility may be explored by the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the ali			towns	/villages in the region	:			
Dharamjaygarh. (b) Corridor II: North Corridor: Katghora, Pasan and Surajour. (c) Corridor III: East-West Corridor: Katghora, Pasan and Surajour. (d) Corridor III: East-West Corridor: Katghora, Pasan and Sindurgarh." Thus alignment of rail Corridor-III has been chosen by GoCa on socio-economic considerations with development of tribal areas a centre point.  2 (iv) A consolidated Statement of Area requirement for the construction of corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under:  5. Corridor Area requirement for the construction of corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under:  8. Corridor Area requirement for the construction of corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under:  9. Corridor Area (Ha.) (				0		rridor.	-Charab - 4	
(b) Corridor II: North Corridor:Katghora , Parsa and Suralpur. (c) Corridor III: East-West Corridor: Katghora , Pasan and Sindurgarh.  Thus alignment of rail Corridor-III has been chosen by GOCG on socio-economic considerations with development of tribal areas a centre point.  2 (iv) A consolidated statement of area requirement for drea requirement of or the construction of Corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under:  - Corridor III: Sast-West Corridor: Katghora , Pasan and Sindurgarh.  - Consolidated Statement of Area requirement for the construction of corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under:  - S. Corridor III: North Corridor-III has been chosen by GOCG on socio-economic considerations with development of Area requirement for the construction of corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under:  - S. Corridor III: North Corridor-III has been chosen by GOCG on socio-economic considerations with development of Area requirement for the construction of corridor-III has been chosen by GOCG on socio-economic considerations with development for the construction of corridor-III has been chosen by With lines are equirement for the construction of corridor-III has been chosen by With lines are equirement for the construction of corridor-III has been chosen by With lines and sale lines is a sunder:  - Torrial III: S. Corridor III has been chosen by With lines are equirement at certain locations to avoid the forest land has been explored and it is noted that: - The alternative alignment 3 depicted by while line between Nagai and Kammati passes through profected forests compartment nos. P269, P242, P241 and total forest land involved is about 94,786 hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forests compartment ons. P269, P242, P241 and total forest land involved is about 94,786 hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forests compartment ons. P269, P242, P241 and total forest land involved is about 94,786			Dhara			indoi.	-Gharghoda	-
2 (iv) A consolidated statement of area requirement for the construction of Corridor-III area as a centre point.  Oscillated statement of area requirement for the construction of Corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines.  S. Corridor No. Non- Forest Total area Area Area Area (Ha.) (Ha.)  Gevra Road to pending area (Ha.) (Ha.)  Urga to Kusmunda 142.53 0 142.53  Connectivity of Sassinating assessment. However, area of forest land in connectivity is likely to be very less.  As directed by REC, possibility of shifting the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for explored and its in otted that:  The alternative alignment 3 depicted by while line between Nagai and Kammati passes through protected forest and total forest land involved is about 94.786 hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selected alignment tot 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  **The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white line between Nagai and Kammati passes through protected forests and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selected alignment tot 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white line there is a fault line between Talmalidand and Bhanwerkacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which								.
2 (iv) A consolidated statement of area development of tribal areas a centre point.  Consolidated Statement of Area requirement for the construction of Corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines.  Corridor Mon.  No.  Corridor Mon.  Corridor Mon.  No.  No.  1481.949 459.522 941.471  (4a).  (4a).  (4b.)  (4a).  (4a).  (4a).  (4a).  (4a).  (4a).  (4a).  (4a).  (4b.)  (4a).  (	İ		(c) Corridor III: East-West Corridor: Katghora, Pasan and Sindurgarh."					
Thus alignment of rail Corridor-III has been chosen by development of tribal areas a centre point of the construction of Corridor-IIII including the proposed main line and side lines.    Corridor-IIII including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -   S.   Non forest area Area Area Area (Ha.)   (Ha.)								1
2 (iv) A consolidated statement of rarea requirement for the construction of Corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Mon forest Area (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.)  1 Seva Road to Pendra Road (Ha.) (Poposed main line and side lines is as under: -  2 (v) Possibility may be explored by the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water boddies; Revenue Construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which development of tribal areas a centre population. Consideration of Area requirement for the construction of corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Mon forest area and the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Mon forest area and the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  1								
development of tribal areas a centre point.  Consolidated Statement of Area requirement for the construction of Corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines.  S. Corridor forest Area requirement for the construction of Corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under:  S. Corridor forest Area (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.)  1 Pendra Road (Pendra Road (			GOCO	On socio-econo	ouic co	nas beer		1
statement of area requirement for the construction of corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Mon forest Area (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.)  1 Gewa Road to Pendra Road (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.)  2 Urga to Kusmunda 142.53 0 142.53  3 Connectivity of Sarajapilikarhabilà 1800  1 ToTAL 624.449 459.522 1264.001  (*) This is an approximate area, land under connectivities is under identification & the exact area of land and its bifurcation under assessment. However, area of forest land in connectivity is likely to be very less.  2 (v) Possibility may be explored by the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and total forest land involved is about 94.786 hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selected alignment there is no protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selected alignment tout of 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white line there is a fault line between Talmalidand and Bhanwerkacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which	200		develo	pment of tribal areas	a centre r	oint		
requirement for the construction of Corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines.  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines.  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines is under: -  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines is under: -  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and side lines is as under: -  S. Corridor Montal III including the proposed main line and share (Ha.) (Ha	2 (IV)	statement of area	Consc	olidated Statement	of Area	requireme	ent for the	आवेदक एजेंसी दारा
the construction of Corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines.  S. Corridor Mon- forest area Area (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.) (Ha.) (Pendra Road (proposed main line)  (Pendra Road (proposed main line) (Pendra Road (proposed main line)) (Pendra Road (proposed main line)) (Pendra Road (proposed main line) (Pendra Road (proposed main line)) (Pendra Road (proposed main lin	İ		construction of corridor-III including the proposed main line					
of Corridor-III including the proposed main line and side lines.  S. No. Corridor Monitorest Area (Ha.) (Ha.)  1 Gevra Road to Pendra Road (proposed main line)  2 Urga to Kusmunda 142.53 0 142.53  3 Connectivity of Saraipalikarthalik 180 ▼  1 TOTAL 624.449 459.522 1264.001  (*) This is an approximate area, land under connectivities is under identification & the exact area of land and its bifurcation under assessment. However, area of forest land in connectivity is likely to be very less.  2 (v) Possibility may be explored by the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain locations to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue bodies; Revenue bodies; Revenue conservation of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which seems are an and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which		the construction	and si	de lines is as under:	_			
S. Corridor forest Area (Ha.)		1000 NO. 100			N	1	T 7	
line and side lines.    No.   Gevra Road to Pendra Road (proposed main line)     1			s.					पुष्टि की जाती है।
The and side lines.   Gevra Road to Pendra Road (proposed main line)			No.	Corridor				
2 (v)  Possibility may be explored by the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Road t		wite side			(Ha.)	(na.)	(на.)	
2 (v) Possibility may be explored by the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue Possibility Rosardian (proposed main line)  1		illies.						
2 Urga to Kusmunda 142.53 0 142.53 3 Connectivity of SaraipaliKarthali& - 180 • 180			1		481.949	459.522	941.471	
2 (v)  Possibility may be explored by the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue  TOTAL 624.449 459.522 1264.001  (*) This is an approximate area, land under connectivities is under identification & the exact area of land and its bifurcation under assessment. However, area of forest land in connectivity is likely to be very less.  As directed by REC, possibility of shifting the alignment at certain locations to avoid the forest land has been explored and it is noted that:  The alternative alignment 3 depicted by while line between Nagai and Karimati passes through protected forest compartment nos. P269, P242, P241 and total forest land involved is about 94.786 hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selected alignment there is no protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selected alignmentout of 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white linethere is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which			1					
2 (v) Possibility may be explored by the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue Total (v) Possibility may be explored by the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative alignment 3, depicted by white line between Nagai and Karimati passes through protected forest and total forest land involved is about 94.786 hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forest and total forest land involved is alignment there is no protected forest and total forest land involved is alignment of 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  • The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white line there is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwertKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which			-		142.53	0	142.53	
TOTAL 624.449 459.522 1264.001  (•) This is an approximate area, land under connectivities is under identification & the exact area of land and its bifurcation under assessment. However, area of forest land in connectivity is likely to be very less.  2 (v) Possibility may be explored by the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue Total Revision (September 1) Revision (September 2) Revenue Total (September 2) Revenue			3	SaraipaliKarthali&	_	_	180	
(*) This is an approximate area, land under connectivities is under identification & the exact area of land and its bifurcation under assessment. However, area of forest land in connectivity is likely to be very less.  2 (v) Possibility may be explored by the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue  (*) This is an approximate area, land under connectivities is under identification & the exact area of land and its bifurcation under assessment. However, area of forest land in connectivity is likely to be very less.  As directed by REC, possibility of shifting the alignment at certain locations to avoid the forest land has been explored and it is noted that:  • The alternative alignment 3 depicted by while line between Nagai and Karimati passes through protected forestcompartment nos. P269, P242, P241 and total forest land involved is about 94.786 hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selected alignment of 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  • The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white line there is a fault line between Talmalidand and Bhanwerkacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which	<b>.</b>		-				100-	
connectivities is under identification & the exact area of land and its bifurcation under assessment. However, area of forest land in connectivity is likely to be very less.  2 (v) Possibility may be explored by the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue  connectivities is under identification & the exact area of land and its bifurcation under as bifurcation under as bifurcation under as bifurcation under as bifurcation under assessment. However, area of forest land in connectivity is likely to be very less.  As directed by REC, possibility of shifting the alignment at certain locations to avoid the forest land has been explored and it is noted that: -  • The alternative alignment nos. P269, P242, P241 and total forest land involved is about 94.786 hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selected alignment there is no protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selected alignmentout of 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  • The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white line there is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which				TOTAL	624.449	459.522	1264.001	
connectivities is under identification & the exact area of land and its bifurcation under assessment. However, area of forest land in connectivity is likely to be very less.  2 (v) Possibility may be explored by the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue  connectivities is under identification & the exact area of land and its bifurcation under as bifurcation under as bifurcation under as bifurcation under as bifurcation under assessment. However, area of forest land in connectivity is likely to be very less.  As directed by REC, possibility of shifting the alignment at certain locations to avoid the forest land has been explored and it is noted that: -  • The alternative alignment nos. P269, P242, P241 and total forest land involved is about 94.786 hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selected alignment there is no protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selected alignmentout of 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  • The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white line there is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which				(*) This is an a	approxima	te area,	land under	
assessment.However, area of forest land in connectivity is likely to be very less.  2 (v) Possibility may be explored by the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue Test and sexplored and it is noted that:  **Addirected by REC, possibility of shifting the alignment at certain locations to avoid the forest land in to avoid the forest land it is noted that:  **The alternative alignment 3 depicted by while line between Nagai and Karimati passes through protected forestcompartment nos. P269, P242, P241 and total forest land involved is about 94.786 hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forest. In the selected alignment there is no protected forest and total forest land involved is about 94.786 hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is 103.880 hectare. In selected alignment of 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  **The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white line there is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which		7.		connectivities is u	nder iden	tification &	& the exact	
2 (v) Possibility may be explored by the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue  connectivity is likely to be very less.  As directed by REC, possibility of shifting the alignment at certain locations to avoid the forest land has been explored and it is noted that: -  The alternative alignment 3 depicted by while line between Nagai and Karimati passes through protected forestcompartment nos. P269, P242, P241 and total forest land involved is about 94.786 hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forest and total forest land involved is no protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selectedalignmentout of 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white linethere is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which								
2 (v) Possibility may be explored by the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue  As directed by REC, possibility of shifting the alignment at certain locations to avoid the forest land has been explored and it is noted that:  The alternative alignment 3 depicted by while line between Nagai and Karimati passes through protected forestcompartment nos. P269, P242, P241 and total forest land involved is about 94.786 hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selected alignment there is no protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selectedalignmentout of 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white linethere is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which							st land in	,
be explored by the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue				connectivity is likely	y to be vei	y less.		
the State government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue The Revision of the government / User agency to shift the alternative government / User agency to shift the alternative alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land involved is about 94.786 hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forest. In the selected alignment there is no protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selectedalignmentout of 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white linethere is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which	2 (v)		As directed by REC, possibility of shifting the alignment at					आवेदक एजेंसी द्वारा
state government / User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue Texast In the alternative alignment 3, depicted by white line between Nagai and Karimati passes through protected forestcompartment nos. P269, P242, P241 and total forest land involved is about 94.786 hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forest. In the selected alignment there is no protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selectedalignmentout of 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white linethere is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which							d has been	दी गई टीप से
User agency to shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue  between Nagai and Karimati passes through protected forestcompartment nos. P269, P242, P241 and total forest land involved is about 94.786 hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forest. In the selected alignment there is no protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selectedalignmentout of 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white linethere is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which		101-300-0						
shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue  shift the alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land total forest land involved is about 94.786 hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forest. In the selected alignment there is no protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selectedalignmentout of 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white linethere is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which		_	U	between Nagai ar	iment 3 d id Karim	epicted by ati passe	y while line	
alignment at certain location to avoid the forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue								Į.
hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forest. In the selected alignment there is no protected forest and total forest land involved is alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue hectare, out of which 36.894 hectares area is protected forest. In the selected alignment there is no protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selectedalignmentout of 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white linethere is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which		•						£ 8
forest land for example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue Towns of the selected alignment there is no protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selectedalignmentout of 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white linethere is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which		1						
example alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue  no protected forest and total forest land involved is 103.880 hectare. In selectedalignmentout of 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white linethere is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which	- 1	A COLUMN TO THE						
alignment at Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue 103.880 hectare. In selectedalignmentout of 1624 trees, only 708 trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white linethere is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which						-		
Nagai to Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue Tooks trees are with girth more than 60 cm.  The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white linethere is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which	31	100 at 10		2005 con 1980 1980 1980 1980 1980 1980 1980 1980				
Kasimati may be shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue  Kasimati may be cm.  The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white linethere is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which	1					_		
shifted along the alternative Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue  The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white linethere is a fault line between Talmalidand and BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which		Kasimati may be			S CIC WILL	guar mo	ic than oo	
Range to avoid protected forests and water bodies; Revenue bod				VIII.				
protected forests and water bodies; Revenue  BhanwerKacchar, and hillockswith steep rise and fall in topography beyond Karimati, necessitating construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which	1	01.100.F 0.100.F1.18.11 B	The alternative alignment 3, depicted by white					
and water bodies; Revenue construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which	1	(1985)			ALTERNATION ACTIVITIES OF PARTY SOCI			,
bodies; Revenue construction of 3.0 Km long tunnel, cost of which	, ,						,	
Property land and					-			
	1							
State Leaver Visible 2017 (1)		h 2017(1)						

Deepka 1/1 of 1.740 ha. may be shifted western side; Nanbanka 234/1 patches of 1.369 ha. and 0.205 ha, may be shifted eastern side: Singhiya 105/2 of 4.410 ha. and 1.256 ha. may be shifted to western side to avoid forest land. Other similar location may be геexamined by the State Government to keep the use of forest land at barest minimum.

saving due to reduced length of about 8 Km, additional cost of construction would be Rs 300 cr., which is almost 10% of cost of construction and will make the project financially unviable and unbankable.

- Proposed alignment passes through revenue forest land only along the stream and oviat crossing of stream. The coal blocks of Chotia mines are at a distance of approx. 5 km from the selected alignment, this will provide easy facility for coal evacuation &coal can even be transported through existing road network to proposed rail head thereby not require an additional railway line through the denser forest. But the white alignment is approximately 14kms away from the mines and it will require road/rail connectivity through more dense forests and will face the challenge of two hillocks. This is practically not feasible. The selected alignment also has two proposed stations at km 60 (Matin) & km 69 (Sendurgarh) these will cater need of passenger and freight traffic.
- The alignment in Pasan Range passes through compartment nos.: P188, P187 & OA66/667. It is seen that in OA 666/667 of the Pasan Range, if we shift the alignment to the North, there is denser forest on the Northern side, thus more number of tree cutting will be required. On the southern side there is a water body, the alignment though close but away from this water body. In P-187, when we go from P 188 towards Pendra the alignment has been routed at a distance approximately 200m away from the stream and to avoid crossing. Towards South, we have studied the feasibly of re-routing the alignment from 87.300- 91.300 km in the Pasan range of Kathoghora forest division (P 188 & P187) and it is noted that if we go South, firstly we will have to cross the Bamni River & secondly considering the technical parameters, rerouting will require steeper curve which has its own limitations on operational requirements and alignment will cross the inhibited settlements of Sadomar village.
- As such possibility of avoiding protected forest land in Pasan region could not be materialized by shifting alignment towards North or South,
- At Dipka(1/1) of 1.740 Ha, there is a water body at 40 m from the Western end of the proposed ROW. The alignment at this location is in 4 degree curve (curve no 17) which is a sharp curve. Shifting the alignment on the western side will still require asharper curve and

- 589 Louise Visida 2017 (1)



make the railway line almost in-operative. Otherwise, also due to sandwiching nature of revenue forest land, any attempt to avoid this patch will bring some other revenue forest land into the alignment.	
<ul> <li>Revenue forest patchesIn Nanbanka(234/1) and in Singhiya(105/2) are inter-linked and shifting alignment in one patch will adversely affect the alignment in other patch and eventually disturb other patches of other forest land as well. The area in this region has small patches of forest at small distances and effort has been made to route the alignment selected to cover the least possible forest land. For better appreciation of esteemed committee, a schematic diagramhas been enclosed as Annexure-"G".</li> </ul>	

कृपया प्रकरण में प्रथम चरण की स्वीकृति जारी करने का अनुरोध है।

संलग्न:- Annexure- (A to G)

(एस.एस. बजाज) अ.प्र.मु.व.स (भू – प्रबंध / व.सं.अ) छत्तीसगढ़

क्र./भू-प्रबंध/विविध/115-442/3540

रायपुर, दिनांक 11/12/2017

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतुः

अपर मुख्य सचिव, छत्तीसगढ़ शासन, वन विभाग, मंत्रालय, महानदी भवन, नया रायपुर।

संलग्न:- Annexure- (A to G)

मुख्य वन संरक्षक, बिलासपुर वृत्त, बिलासपुर।

उ वन मंडलाधिकारी, कटघोरा/मरवाही वन मंडल, छत्तीसगढ़।

4. **मुख्य कार्यपालन अधिकारी**, छत्तीसगढ़ ईस्ट-वेस्ट रेल्वे लिमिटेड, द्वितीय तल, सी.एस.आई.डी.सी. कामर्शियल काम्पलेक्स, महादेव घाट रोड़, रायपुरा चौंक, रायपुर, छत्तीसगढ़।

अ.प्र.मु.व.स (मू – प्रबंध / व.सं.अ)

- 590 -Letter Vision 2017 (1