

कार्यालय प्रभागीय निदेशक, सामाजिक वानिकी प्रभाग, अलीगढ़।

पत्रांक 1760 / Aligarh/7444/UP-014/2015, अलीगढ़: दिनांक: दिसम्बर: 16, 2016

सेवामें,

वन संरक्षक,
अलीगढ़ वृत्त, अलीगढ़।

विषय:-

अलीगढ़-मुरादाबाद सेक्शन (एन0एच0-93) के चौड़ीकरण किये जाने हेतु अलीगढ़ में किमी0 85.700 से 100.372 तक 7.4226 है0 संरक्षित वनभूमि के गैर वानिकी प्रयोग एवं 250 वृक्षों के पातन, बुलन्दशहर में किमी0 126.000 से 133.860 तक 3.5760 है0 संरक्षित वनभूमि के गैर वानिकी प्रयोग व 61 वृक्षों के पातन, संभल में किमी0 142.220 से 205.00 तक 32.7792 है0 संरक्षित वनभूमि के गैर वानिकी प्रयोग व 3777 वृक्षों के पातन तथा मुरादाबाद में किमी0 205.000 से 232.000 तक 14.4852 है0 संरक्षित वनभूमि के गैर वानिकी प्रयोग व 454 वृक्षों के पातन कुल 52.2630 है0 संरक्षित वनभूमि के गैर वानिकी प्रयोग व 4542 वृक्षों के पातन की अनुमति।

संदर्भ:-

भारत सरकार, पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय (मध्य) के पत्रांक 8बी/06/93/2016/एफ0सी0/370 दिनांक 03.11.2016 एवं मुख्य वन संरक्षक/नोडल अधिकारी, उ0प्र0, लखनऊ का पत्रांक 968/समेकित/7444/2014/यूपी-14 दिनांक 04.11.2016

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयक के सम्बन्ध में संदर्भित पत्र द्वारा लगायी गयीं आपत्तियों का निराकरण निम्न प्रकार इस आशय के साथ प्रेषित किया जाता है कि प्रस्ताव को संस्तुति सहित प्रभागीय निदेशक, सामाजिक वानिकी प्रभाग, मुरादाबाद (प्रस्ताव हेतु नामांकित नोडल अधिकारी) को भेजने की कृपा करें।

S. no.	Observation	Reply
1	The short narrative of proposal submitted online does not provide relevant information	The short narrative of proposal submitted online is with relevant information.
2	The copy of documents in support of the competence/authority of the person making this application to make application on behalf of the User Agency is incorrect.	The copy of documents in support of the competence/authority of the person making this application to make application on behalf of the User Agency is attached.
3	At B-1 information regarding previous approval shows that two approvals in principle has been accorded in 2012 and 2013 but stage II/final approval is still pending. This issue requires clarification/factual position.	(A) NHAI informed that Inprinciple clearance had been accorded on 31.06.2016 for felling of 919 tress under NH-24 km 86.00 to 93.00 (LHS) Widening. NHAI also claimed that they had submitted the compliance report to Nodal Officer, U.P., Lucknow by their letter dated 02.05.2016 & 17.08.2016. In further communication, Nodal Officer, U.P., Lucknow also forwarded the compliance report to MoEF by their letter number 895/11C-Hapur dtd. 24.10.2016 for releasing the Final approval against the project. (B) NHAI informed that Inprinciple clearance had been accorded on 04.06.2013 for felling of 630 tress under NH-24 km 93.00 to 104.700 (LHS) Widening. NHAI claimed that they made compliance to the conditions provided in the approval. In further communication, DFO Amroha has also submitted the compliance report provided by NHAI to the Nodal Officer, U.P. Lucknow by their letter no. 108/14-1 dtd 20.07.2016.
4	The data provided for village wise breakup of non forest land at B-2.3 does not tally with the data provided at A1 (ix).	Required ammendment has been made regarding village wise breakup of non forest land at B-2.3 of online Form A part I. It now tally with the data provided at A(ix).
5	The employment generation data at E is incorrect.	The employment generation details at E is now correctly mentioned.
6	The proposal has been submitted without providing kml foiles in CD for proposed forest land diversion and proposed compensatory afforestation.	.kml foiles in CD for proposed forest land diversion and proposed compensatory afforestation has been attached with the proposal.
7	The kml file for proposed forest land diversion (uploaded with online proposal) is in poly line rather polygon format. This needs revision.	The .kml file for proposed forest land diversion (uploaded with online proposal) is in poly line format.

8	As per GIS DSS analysis of proposed compensatory afforestation patches of Datiyana shows existence of Medium Dense Forest. This needs to be changed.	Not related to this division
9	The topo sheets uploaded for location of proposed forest land diversion do not show location of diversion and legend is also incorrect.	The topo sheets showing location of proposed forest land diversion is now showing location of diversion and legend has also been mentioned. The same has been uploaded online.
10	The geo referenced maps uploaded for proposed forest land diversion are without geo coordinates of key points.	The geo referenced maps uploaded for proposed forest land diversion are now with geo coordinates of key points.
11	The cost benefit analysis attached with the proposal is not correct and needs revision.	Revised cost benefit analysis has been attached.
12	Some pages of forest land gazette notification are not legible and are without authentication.	Forest land gazette notification is attached is now with authentication and complete in all respects.
13	The land schedule for various districts shows requirements of different width of PF on different chainages. The variation in width requirement at various chainages has not been explained.	NHAI has informed that variation in width requirement at various chainages is justified as road widening against this project involves bye passes, toll plazas etc at many places.
14	The certificate regarding proposed diversion having minimum of 10 km distance from protected area has not been uploaded for Social Forestry Division, Bulandshahar.	Not related to this division
15	The crown density for proposed forest land diversion has been shown 1.457 which is incorrect. The value varies from 0 to 1.	The crown density for proposed forest land diversion has been updated to 0.45.
16	The compensatory afforestation scheme for Social Forestry Division, Aligarh is without incorporating cost escalation due to inflation.	The cost escalation due to inflation is incorporated in the compensatory afforestation scheme.
17	NPV calculation of proposed forest area for Social Forestry Division, Aligarh is incorrect.	Necessary correction has been made in NPV calculation of proposed forest land.
18	In Social Forestry Division, Aligarh case additional compensatory afforestation on blank patches near road side has been provided. The additional compensatory afforestation proposed is without specific recommendation of the State Government.	Additional Compensatory afforestation scheme has been removed from the proposal as per the directions made by Divisional Director, Social Forestry Division, Moradabad by their letter number 1213/14-1 dated 23.11.2016
19	As per the District mentioned at Sr. no. 14 of part II	
	a. The forest area of Social Forestry Division, Moradabad is less than the forest area diverted since 1980.	Not related to this division
	b. The forest area of Social Forestry Division, Sambhal is mentioned as zero, then why proposal for forest land diversion has been sent?	Not related to this division
20	The site inspection report of DFO Moradabad has not been uploaded.	Not related to this division
21	The site inspection report of CF (Aligarh) is not in prescribed format.	Related to Aligarh Circle.

संलग्नक- उपरोक्तानुसार।

भवदीय,

(एस0डी0त्रिपाठी)

प्रभागीय निदेशक,

सामाजिक वानिकी प्रभाग, अलीगढ़।

पत्रांक 1760 / 14-10दिनांकित।

प्रतिलिपि:- निम्नलिखित को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित।

- 1- परियोजना प्रबन्धक, भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण, परियोजना कार्यालयन इकाई, 3-सी/446, बौद्ध विहार (सिप्रंगफील्ड कालेज के पीछे), दिल्ली रोड़, मुरादाबाद-244001 (उ0प्र0)।
- 2- प्रभागीय निदेशक, सामाजिक वानिकी प्रभाग, मुरादाबाद।

(एस0डी0त्रिपाठी)

प्रभागीय निदेशक,

सामाजिक वानिकी प्रभाग, अलीगढ़।

Received by
Copy of NHAI & DFO
Moradabad
R.P.L.