गर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण, रानगर फॅारेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

सेवा में.

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक (केन्द्रीय) भारत सरकार पर्यावरण, वन एंव जलवायू परिवर्तन मंत्रालय क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, (उत्तर—मध्य क्षेत्र), 25 सुभाष रोड़, देहरादून।

विषयः– जनपद–चमोली के अन्तर्गत थराली में सरकोट–देवसारी मोटर मार्ग का 2 सेतु के निर्माण के सम्बन्ध में। FP/UK/ROAD/20189/2015

सन्दर्भः– भारत सरकार पर्यावरण, वन एव जलवायू परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, देहरादून के EDS दिनांक – 01/03/2018

महोदय,

विषयांकित प्रकरण पर भारत सरकार के उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित EDS दिनांक 01/03/2018 के सम्बन्ध में वन संरक्षक, गढ़वाल वृत्त, पौड़ी, उत्तराखण्ड़, से प्राप्त आख्या के क्रम में प्रयोक्ता एजेन्सी द्वारा प्रेषित बिन्दुवार आख्या सहित निम्न प्रकार प्रेषित की जा रही है :--

क्र0सं0	प्रश्न	उत्तर Instification for projects have been
1	Justification for locating the project in forest land not authenticated by DFO.	uploaded at section D in Form A, part I.
2	The cost benefit analysis provided in hard copy and uplaoded at para 'G' Part-I is in complete and not in prescribed format.	Cost benefit analysis has been uploaded with new format at section G in Form A, Part I.
3	As per DSS analysis of the CA area complete 12.00 ha area falls in Moderately Dense Forest (MDF).	DFo has been mentioned that the Plantation scheme of 12000 trees in Lieu of this MDF area @ of 1000 trees per ha. With details of the area selected for plantation as per prescription of working plan is uploaded a para-I and Afforestation Plantation utilization certificate uploaded at para-13 and additional information SR.No-04 in Form A,Part II
4	Employment likely to be generated during the construction of project at para E Part-I seems to be incorrect.	Employment generation details have been uploaded at section E in Form A part I.
5	All the documents uploaded online as addl. document in Part-I is though signed but name and stamp of the person signing the document is not found.	information in form A part I.
6	Muck disposal is proposed in Reserve forest and only photographs are attached in muck management plan.	information SR.No-20 in FormA, part I.
7	Density mentioned in Part-II is 0.4 for 1038 trees in 5.964 ha area, which should be higher than this and in NPV calculation sheet it is mentioned as 0.6.	
8	SIR at para-15 Part-II is not clear and legible.	SIR of DFO has been uploaded at Para 16 Form A, part II.

e	Recommendation of DFO at para-16 Part-II is not clear and legible.	uploaded at Para 16 in FormA, part II.
10	FRA uploaded online is of dt. 22/04/2016 but in hard copy it is for 07/04/2016 and on format for non-linear project.	
11	Undertaking by User Agency to bear the cost of CA, NPV and reclamation cost is not found uploaded.	

भवदीय, (एच.के. सिंह) वन संरक्षक