

राजस्थान सरकार
कार्यालय उप वन संरक्षक, प्रतापगढ़ (राज.)

Tele-01478-294141 E-Mail ID dcfptg123@gmail.com / dcf.pratpgh.forest@rajasthan.gov.in
क्रमांक:-एफ./तक./उवसं/2022-23/1040 दिनांक :- 17-02-2023

निमित्त,

संभागीय मुख्य वन संरक्षक महोदय
उदयपुर (राजस्थान)

विषय: Diversion of 74.2490 Ha. Forest Land In Favour Of RDSA MINING LLP For pencast Marble Mining in Revenue Village - Danta, Tehsil- Pipalkhunt, Dist. – Pratapgarh, Rajasthan (Proposal no. FP/RJ/MIN/142599/2021)

प्रसंग- आपका पत्रांक 1167 दिनांक 09.02.2023 के क्रम में।

महोदय,

विषयान्तर्गत निवेदन है कि आलोच्य वनभूमि विपथन प्रकरण में उप वन महा निरीक्षक, पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार, नई दिल्ली के पत्रांक 02.02.2023 के द्वारा लगाये गये आक्षेपों की पूर्ति करके यूजर एजेन्सी की बिन्दुवार पालना रिपोर्ट उनके पत्रांक -33 दिनांक 15.02.2023 से इस कार्यालय में प्रस्तुत की गई है जो निम्नानुसार प्रत्युत्तर के साथ संलग्न कार्यालय आप में अग्रिम एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रस्तुत है।

S. No.	Observation of the Ministry	Reply																							
1	Compensatory Afforestation (CA) towards instant proposal has been proposed to be carried out in three patches and one of the CA patch namely Village: Pahada comprising 13.5 ha land is within the forest boundary as per DSS analysis.	<p>The District Collector vide letter No. 34-35 dated 12.01.2022, letter No 1629-30 dated 11.02.2022 and letter No. 1734-35 dated 25.03.2022 allotted the 75 ha Govt. Revenue land in favour of M/s RDSA (user agency) for CA. The details of Revenue land situated in various Khasra of villages are as follows;</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>S. No.</th> <th>District</th> <th>Village</th> <th>Khasra No</th> <th>Area (Ha)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td rowspan="3">Pratapgarh</td> <td>Aamlikhora</td> <td>429/83, 88, 89</td> <td>16</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Miyala</td> <td>10</td> <td>13.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Pahada</td> <td>964,964/1, 1457, 1457/10, 2070</td> <td>45.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Total</td> <td></td> <td>75</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>1. While examining with the forest block KML boundaries available on State Forest Department portal, the KML file of 45.50 ha. revenue land in Village Pahada was shown within the forest area of Meva Block. 2. As per the revenue records the 45.5 ha. land for CA falls in khasra nos 964,964/1, 1457,1457/10, 2070 of revenue village Pahada and the land belongs to State revenue department (copy of jamabandi enclosed). Proper analysis of the area was carried out by cross checking all adjoining block files and maps of Pahada Block, Mobi Block and Meva Block. Superimposing the revenue maps and forest maps in scale was carried out and it was found that earlier shown forest department portal KML of particular area which is now suggested by revenue authority for NFL, was wrongly incorporated.</p>	S. No.	District	Village	Khasra No	Area (Ha)	1	Pratapgarh	Aamlikhora	429/83, 88, 89	16	2	Miyala	10	13.5	3	Pahada	964,964/1, 1457, 1457/10, 2070	45.5	Total				75
S. No.	District	Village	Khasra No	Area (Ha)																					
1	Pratapgarh	Aamlikhora	429/83, 88, 89	16																					
2		Miyala	10	13.5																					
3		Pahada	964,964/1, 1457, 1457/10, 2070	45.5																					
Total				75																					

		<p>3. Further, 45.5 ha of revenue land for CA in Village Pahada has been again jointly verified on ground with the revenue and forest officers and it is found that above said land belongs to the revenue department and located completely outside the forest boundary.</p> <p>4. Therefore, a request for correction in KML of forest boundaries was made to the state authorities. In this regard, it has now been informed that the essential correction has been done in the forest block boundaries and the corrected forest block boundaries have been uploaded on the State Forest Department website. Earlier shown non forest land of Pahada village was excluded from updated KML of forest areas.</p> <p>5. A CD containing the KML of 75 ha revenue land for CA and the KML of corrected forest block boundaries revised KML file showing this proposed non forest land is submitted herewith for your reference. It is further requested that the corrected KML forest boundaries may kindly be forwarded to the Forest Survey of India (FSI) for its uploading on the DSS portal.</p>
2	<p>Few settlements, small area under agriculture and existence of road are found in the proposed forest land for diversion. Moreover, encroachment in form of agriculture and the settlements are also visible just outside the boundary of proposed forest land for diversion. This requires justification and clarification.</p>	<p>1. Being tribal dominated area, sometimes local people encroach on the forest and other lands and grow some agriculture crops seasonally and erect some temporary hutments. The State Forest Department time to time takes penal action against the encroachers and tries to evacuate the unauthorised possessions on the forest land.</p> <p>2. In the current proposal, the State Mining Department vide letter No. प.10(6)खान/ग्रुप-2/2019 dated 12.05.2021 has issued a LoI in favour of M/s RDSA Mining LLP (user agency) for the marble mining in the proposed 74.249 ha Protected forest land. Though in satellite imagery past land use is visible in which some micro area in fringe is visible as used for agricultural purpose. This small infringement on forest boundary happened because proper survey of land was not done in past so many years. Now after proper survey exact land boundary is clear and these infringements got removed from that small strip of forest land. Moreover, the user agency also agrees to protect the proposed 74.249 ha forest land from encroachment through proper fencing work and deal with these temporary encroachments.</p> <p>3. An approach road already existing since many years which has been in use by the habitants of the Danta village. The road is partially passing through the fringe of the proposed forest area and connects the school. The user agency will not block the said approach road and the road will always be available for use of general public. An undertaking submitted by user agency in this regard is attached here with.</p>
3	<p>As per DSS analysis, out of identified 75 ha. non-forest land for CA, 13 ha. is Moderately Dense (MDF), 39 ha. is Open Forest (OF), 02 ha is Scrub and</p>	<p>Three non forest lands comprising total 75 ha. area identified in 3 different villages were proposed by district revenue authorities for CA purpose. Some non forest land in Praptapgarh has excellent vegetation cover. It is to be noted that Pratapgarh receives around 1300 mm rainfall annually. Selected 75 ha. NFL for this project do support the vegetation growth. To compensate forest for diversion, it is always good to have forest back. Along with 45.50 ha of Pahada revenue land as CA-NFL, 16. ha. area of of Amblikhora CA-NFL are in close proximity of forest</p>

<p>remaining 21 ha is Non-forest. Further, the State Govt has submitted the site Suitability certificates intimating that the NFL proposed for CA is suitable for planting. Keeping this in view the justification for submitting details of 85 ha DFL for the purpose of CA is not understood. This needs clarification.</p>	<p>areas. To further enrich this area with vegetation and soil moisture conservation, assisted natural regeneration (ANR) model was considered and planting of 200 plants/ha was proposed in these 75 ha non forest lands. Although, as per MoEF&CC, New Delhi guideline a C A model is to be prepared @ 1000 plants/ha. Therefore, in order to compensate the balance plants, 85 ha degraded forest land was identified wherein planting at 800 plants/ha will be carried out by the Forest Department. The User agency is agreed to bear the additional cost of compensatory afforestation. A copy of the same is attached herewith.</p>
<p>4 The State Govt. has not provided the KML file of 85 ha degraded forest land identified for the purpose of CA in forest block-Sukad (RF) of village Dhawada, Tehsil-Chotisadri, District Pratapgarh, Rajasthan.</p>	<p>KML of 85 ha degraded forest land has been uploaded at S. No. 09, PART-II form on PARIVESH and the same is also submitted herewith in CD.</p>
<p>5 An area of 0.75 ha is proposed to be used for Roads and Buildings, however the nature of buildings proposed to be constructed has not been given. The State Govt. shall explore the possibility of carrying out building construction</p>	<p>In this regard a detailed reply from user agency was sought of. It is submitted by user agency that out of 0.75 ha forest area proposed for roads and buildings in the proposal, 0.71 ha will be used for roads essentially required to carry out mining activities such as extraction and transportation of mined out mineral while only 0.04 hectare (400 square metre) area is to be used for temporary portable structures such as workshop, storage of tools and plants, rest Shelters and small office essential on site to carry out mining activities. All these structures will be temporary in nature and will be removed at the time of closure of mining activities and before handing over the proposed forest land back to the State Forest Department. An undertaking submitted by user agency in this regard is attached here with.</p>

भवदीप,
 (सुनील कुमार, भा.घ.से.)
 उप वन संरक्षक,
 प्रतापगढ़ 01