



कार्यालय वन संरक्षक, पश्चिमी वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड,  
हल्द्वानी (नैनीताल)



अरण्य भवन रामपुर रोड, हल्द्वानी (नैनीताल) दूरभाष/फैक्स : 05946-220003, ई.मेल : cfwkum-forest-uk@nic.in.

पत्रांक- 178

/ 12-1

हल्द्वानी, दिनांक, अगस्त, 05 2022.

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी,  
वन संरक्षण, इन्द्रानगर फॉरेस्ट कालौनी,  
उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

विषय :- जनपद उधमसिंहनगर के अन्तर्गत आरक्षित वन क्षेत्र में कोसी दाबका भाग-2 से 150 है0 वन भूमि में उपखनिज चुगान (रेता, बजरी, बोल्टर) हेतु उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम को दस वर्षों की अवधि के लिए अनुमति दिये जाने के सम्बन्ध में।

सन्दर्भ :- भारत सरकार पर्यावरण एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय की पत्र संख्या-8-30/2021-FC दिनांक 10.01.2022।

महोदय,

विषयांकित प्रकरण में भारत सरकार पर्यावरण एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय द्वारा सन्दर्भित पत्र से आपत्तियां लगायी गयी थी, जिनका निराकरण कर प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, तराई पश्चिमी वन प्रभाग, रामनगर द्वारा अपनी पत्र संख्या-482/12-1 दिनांक 30.07.2022 से बिन्दुवार आख्या इस कार्यालय को उपलब्ध करायी गयी है, जो निम्न प्रकार है:-

S.NO	Information Rased By Moef	Answer
i.	Forest area proposed for mining is located at an aerial distance of approximately 8.50 km from the boundary of Corbett Tiger Reserve therefore comments of Chief Wildlife Warden of the state may be obtained and submitted to the Ministry.	Forest area purposed for mining is located at an Aerial distance of approximately 11.35 km from the boundary of Corbett Tiger Reserve & 16.50 km from the boundary of Corbett National Park.(chief wild life warden certified part-1 & Map are attached ) (Annexure-I)
ii.	Status of District Survey Report, if any, prepared for Ramnagar and Udham Singh Nagar Districts of the State in accordance with the Guidelines on Sustainable Sand Mining-2016 issued by the Ministry in January 2020 vis-à-vis recommendation made thereof on the mining of RBM proposed in the extant proposal.	District Survey Report as per Guidelines on Sustainable Sand Ministry in January 2020 is attached.(Annexure-II)
iii.	The State Government may submit its comments whether the report prepared by the Indian Institute of Soil and Water Conservation is in conformity with the Sustainable Sand Mining Guidelines 2016 or otherwise.	Survey report Prepared by Indian Institute of Soil Water Conservation as per the mining guide line 2016. Survey report and Letter of Indian Institute of Soil Water Conservation are attached.(Annexure-III & IV).
iv.	Purpose wise breakup of forest area, as well as non-forest and, if any involved, as envisaged in the approved Mining Plan, may be provided by the State needs to submit by the state.	Purposed forest land for mining area is in 150 ha. No any civil & other forest land included for the project.
v.	A copy of the Mining Plan and status of its approval by the concerned competent authority in the State needs to submitted by the state.	Preparation of Mining Plan is under process.
vi.	The State Government may also intimate to the Ministry, methods of mining envisaged in the proposal i.e. whether mechanized or manual?	Methods Of Mining is done manually by Hand Tool.
vii.	As the mining has been proposed over and area of 150 ha which may result into additional transportation loads and increased biotic pressure on the surrounding resources, therefore, evacuation plan of the RBM to be mined out from the area may be intimated by the State.	The mining area has been purposed for 150 ha area but as per the mining rules actual mining would be carried out only on 50% of the purposed area which would be less than 150 ha area.
viii.	Estimation of cost benefit ratio does not account for all parameters specified in the Guidelines dated 01.08.2017 issued by the Ministry, incorporated at Annexure-III of	As per guideline Copy of Estimation of cost benefit ratio is attached. (Annexure-V)

	Handbook of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980. Therefore, cost benefit analysis needs to be re-visited by the State to ensure accounting of all specified parameters using appropriate techno-economic tools.	
ix.	Details of approvals, if any, granted by the Ministry in the past in Ramnagar and Udham Singh Nagar Districts in the river beds of Kosi and Dabka Rivers may be intimated by the state along with approved capacity of RBM as envisaged in the EC.	Approval of EC of Kosi and Dabka rivers at Nainital district are attached (Annexure-VI, VII) but purposed sight is in district Udham Singh Nagar.
x.	A detail of employment potential of the project has not been provided in the online part-I of form-A. Similarly Part-IV does not have date recorded on it. Necessary rectifications need to be made and accordingly revised part-I and part-IV may be updated online in form-A.	Detail of employment potential are enclosed in form-A part-I and uploaded (Annexure-VIII)
xi.	Relevant portion of the Management plan which prescribed mining activities in the area in question be provided. Besides this, the relevant portion of prescription such as wildlife overlapping Working circle of the approved working plan should also be referred to cross check the claims regarding presence of wildlife and wildlife corridors in the area.	Purposed area does not belong to any wild life corridors. (Annexure-IX, X)
xii.	As per supreme court order the revenue earned in such cases should go for conservation work. In that case proposal of DFO for creating SPV and depositing money is tenable or not need to be clarified by the state.	Once the FC is granted the SPV would be created by DFO after getting approval from Govt. of Utrakhhand.
xiii.	The CA land is being done in double degraded forest land. Does it a civil soyam land? If so would it be mutated in the name of forest department and handed over for management?	(Annexure-XI enclosed) Letter No-3990/12-1 Dated 06/06/2022 Office Divisional Forest Office Narendra Nagar.
xiv.	It may be clarified whether the CA land proposed is covered with any working plan if so whether these lands are recommended for plantations?	
xv.	The report of DFO tells there are crushers established along the river and it would not be possible to check the illegal activity. What kind of crushers are they? Can they lead to illegal mining in the area? Is there any case related to Illegal mining in the range or land in question need to be looked into.	प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी द्वारा अवगत कराया गया है कि प्रश्नगत क्षेत्र में बहुत से स्टोन कशर स्थापित हैं, जो नदी तल से प्राप्त आर0वी0एम0 को कश करके उसे रेत, बजरी व अन्य में परिवर्तित करते हैं। उत्तराखण्ड शासन औद्योगिक विकास अनुभाग-1 के आदेश सं0 905/VII-1/2020/68-Rit/08TC देहरादून दि0 12.07.2020 द्वारा प्रख्यापित उत्तराखण्ड स्टोन केशर, स्कीनिंग प्लान्ट, मोबाईल स्टोन केशर, मोबाईल स्कीनिंग प्लान्ट, हाट मिक्स प्लान्ट, रेडिमिक्स प्लान्ट अनुज्ञा निति 2020 के अध्याय-1 (स्टोन कशर /स्कीनिंग प्लांट) के बिन्दु सं0 03 में स्टोन कशर की न्यूनतम दूरी आरक्षित वन से 100 मी0 निर्धारित है। जिस कारण से उक्त क्षेत्र में स्टोन कशर अत्याधिक संख्या में स्थापित हैं। प्रायः उक्त क्षेत्रों में अवैध खनन व अभिवहन में स्टोन कशरों की संलिपत्ता पायी जाती है। उक्त परिपेक्ष्य में उक्त क्षेत्र को उप खनिज चुगान हेतु खोले जाने पर अलग से पर्याप्त स्टाफ एवं संसाधनों की नितान्त आवश्यकता होगी।

अतः प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी की आख्या संस्तुति सहित दो प्रतियों में संलग्न कर प्रेषित की जा रही

है।

संलग्न :- उपरोक्तानुसार।

भवदीय,

(दीप चन्द्र आर्य)

वन संरक्षक,

पश्चिमी वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, हल्द्वानी।

पत्रांक 178 / .....उक्तदिनांकित

प्रतिलिपि:— प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, तराई पश्चिमी वन प्रभाग, रामनगर को उनकी पत्र संख्या-842/12-1 दिनांक 30.07.2022 के कम में सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित।

(दीप चन्द्र आर्य)

वन संरक्षक,  
पश्चिमी वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, हल्द्वानी।



कार्यालय, प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, तराई पश्चिमी वन प्रभाग, रामनगर (नैनीताल)

पत्रांक  
सेवा में,

492/12-1

दिनांक, रामनगर, 30-7-2022

वन संरक्षक  
पश्चिमो वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड  
हल्द्वानी, नैनीताल

पंजीकरण नं०... 675  
पंजी० नं०... 12-1  
दिनांक... 3-8-22

विषय:

जनपद- उधम सिंह नगर के अन्तर्गत आरक्षित वन क्षेत्र में कोसी दाबका भाग-2 से 150 हे० वन भूमि में उप खनिज चुगान (रेता, बजरी, बोल्टर) हेतु उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम को 10 वर्षों की अवधि के लिये अनुमति दिये जाने के संबंध में लगाई गयी EDS आपत्ति के संबंध में।

संदर्भ:

वन एवं पर्यावरण मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार, का पत्रांक No-8-30/2020/21-F.C./ दिनांक 10-01-2022 व आपका पत्रांक 84/12-1, दिनांक 14-07-2022

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयक संदर्भित वन एवं पर्यावरण मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार, का पत्रांक No-8-30/2020/21-F.C./ दिनांक 10-01-2022 पत्र द्वारा विषयगत प्रस्ताव पर 15 बिन्दुओं की आपत्ति लगाई गयी थी, जिसके संबंध में याचक विभाग को लिखा गया, याचक विभाग द्वारा आपत्तियों का निराकरण करते हुए इस कार्यालय को लिखा गया है। याचक विभाग द्वारा प्रस्तुत बिन्दुवार आख्या निम्न प्रकार है:-

S.NO	Information Rased By Moef	Answer
i.	Forest area proposed for mining is located at an aerial distance of approximately 8.50 km from the boundary of Corbett Tiger Reserve therefore comments of Chief Wildlife Warden of the state may be obtained and submitted to the Ministry.	Forest area purposed for mining is located at an Aerial distance of approximately 11.35 km from the boundary of Corbett Tiger Reserve & 16.50 km from the boundary of Corbett National Park.(chief wild life warden certified part-1 & Map are attached ) (Annexure-I)
ii.	Status of District Survey Report if any, prepared for Ramnagar and Udhm Singh Nagar Districts of the State in accordance with the Guidelines on Sustainable Sand Mining-2016 issued by the Ministry in January 2020 vis-à-vis recommendation made thereof on the mining of RBM proposed in the extant proposal.	District Survey Report as per Guidelines on Sustainable Sand Ministry in January 2020 is attached.(Annexure-II)
iii.	The State Government may submit its comments whether the report prepared by the Indian Institute of Soil and Water Conservation is in conformity with the Sustainable Sand Mining Guidelines 2016 or o.herwise.	Survey report Prepared by Indian Institute of Soil Water Conservation as per the mining guide line 2016. Survey report and Letter of Indian Institute of Soil Water Conservation are attached.(Annexure-III & IV).
iv.	Purpose wise breakup of forest area, as well as non-forest and, if any involved, as envisaged in the approved Mining Plan, may be provided by the State needs to submit by the state.	Purposed forest land for mining area is in 150 ha. No any civil & other forest land included for the project.
v.	A copy of the Mining Plan and status of its approval by the concerned competent authority in the State needs to submitted by the state.	Preparation of Mining Plan is under process.
vi.	The State Government may also intimate to the Ministry, methods of mining envisaged in the proposal i.e. whether mechanized or manual?	Methods Of Mining is done manually by Hand Tool.
vii.	As the mining has been proposed over and area of 150 ha which may result into additional transportation loads and increased biotic pressure on the surrounding resources, therefore, evacuation plan of the RBM to be mined out from the area may be intimated by the State.	The mining area has been purposed for 150 ha area but as per the mining rules actual mining would be carried out only on 50% of the purposed area which would be less than 150 ha area.
viii.	Estimation of cost benefit ratio does not account for all parameters specified in the Guidelines dated 01.08.2017 issued by the Ministry. incorporated at Annexure-III of Handbook of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980. Therefore, cost benefit analysis needs to be re-visited by the State to ensure accounting of all specified parameters using appropriate techno-economic tools.	As per guideline Copy of Estimation of cost benefit ratio is attached. (Annexure-V)

त्रा०चि०

वपु०स०

ix.	Details of approvals, if any, granted by the Ministry in the past in Ramnagar and Udham Singh Nagar Districts in the river beds of Kosi and Dabka Rivers may be intimated by the state along with approved capacity of RBM as envisaged in the EC.	Approval of EC of Kosi and Dabka rivers at Nainital district are attached (Annexure-VI, VII) but purposed sight is in district Udham Singh Nagar.
x.	A detail of employment potential of the project has not been provided in the online part-I of form-A. Similarly Part-IV does not have date recorded on it. Necessary rectifications need to be made and accordingly revised part-I and part-IV may be updated online in form-A.	Detail of employment potential are enclosed in form-A part-I and uploaded (Annexure-VIII)
xi.	Relevant portion of the Management plan which prescribed mining activities in the area in question be provided. Besides this, the relevant portion of prescription such as wildlife overlapping Working circle of the approved working plan should also be referred to cross check the claims regarding presence of wildlife and wildlife corridors in the area.	Purposed area does not belong to any wild life corridors. (Annexure-IX, X)
xii.	As per supreme court order the revenue earned in such cases should go for conservation work. In that case proposal of DFO for creating SPV and depositing money is tenable or not need to be clarified by the state.	Once the EC is granted the SPV would be created by DFO after getting approval from Govt. of Uttarakhand.
xiii.	The CA land is being done in double degraded forest land. Does it a civil soym land? If so would it be mutated in the name of forest department and handed over for management?	(Annexure-XI enclosed) Letter No-3990/12-1 Dated 06/06/2022 Office Divisional Forest Office Narendra Nagar.
xiv.	It may be clarified whether the CA land proposed is covered with any working plan if so whether these lands are recommended for plantations?	
xv.	The report of DFO tells there are crushers established along the river and it would not be possible to check the illegal activity. What kind of crushers are they? Can they lead to illegal mining in the area? Is there any case related to Illegal mining in the range or land in question need to be looked into.	प्रश्नगत क्षेत्र में बहुत से स्टोन क्रशर स्थापित हैं, जो नदी तल से प्राप्त आर०वी०एम० का क्रश करके उससे रेत, बजरी व अन्य में परिवर्तित करते हैं। उत्तराखण्ड शासन औद्योगिक विकास अनुभाग-1 के आदेश सं० 905/VII-1/2020/68-Rit/08TC देहरादून दि० 12/07/2020 द्वारा प्रख्यापित उत्तराखण्ड स्टोन क्रशर, स्क्रीनिंग प्लान्ट, मोबाईल स्टोन क्रशर, मोबाईल स्क्रीनिंग प्लान्ट, हाट मिक्स प्लान्ट, रेडिनिक्स प्लान्ट अनुज्ञा निधि 2020 के अध्याय-1 (स्टोन क्रशर / स्क्रीनिंग प्लान्ट) के बिन्दु सं० 03 में स्टोन क्रशर की न्यूनतम दूरी आरक्षित वन से 100 मी० निर्धारित है। जिस कारण से उक्त क्षेत्र में स्टोन क्रशर अत्याधिक संख्या में स्थापित हैं। प्रायः उक्त क्षेत्रों में अवैध खनन व अन्वेषण में स्टोन क्रशरों की सहायता पायी जाती है। उक्त परिस्थिति में उक्त क्षेत्र को उप खनिज चुगान हेतु खोले जाने पर अलग से पर्याप्त स्टाफ एवं संसाधनों की गतिान्त आवश्यकता होगी।

उक्त आपत्तियों के संबंध में याचक विभाग द्वारा उपलब्ध कराये गये संलग्नों को आपको आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित किया जा रहा है।  
संलग्न- उपरोक्तानुसार

भवदीय

(कुन्दन कुमार)

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी  
तराई पश्चिमी वन प्रभाग, रामनगर

पत्रांक

/दिनांकित

प्रतिलिपि प्रभागीय प्रबंधक खनन, उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम, रामनगर को उनके पत्रांक 889/कोसी दावका भाग-2, दिनांक 26-07-2022 के क्रम में सूचनार्थ प्रेषित।

(कुन्दन कुमार)

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी  
तराई पश्चिमी वन प्रभाग, रामनगर