

Dated Bilaspur, the

15/09/2023

From:

D.F.O. Bilaspur (H.P.)

To:

C.F. Bilaspur (H.P.)

Subject:

Diversion of 8.1683 hectare of forest land in favour of M/S Shyam Kumar r/o Village Tikkari PO Panjgain Tehsil Sadar Distt. Bilaspur HP for collection/extraction of sand, stone & Bajre within the jurisdiction of Bilaspur Forest Division.

Memo,

Kindly refer to online EDS Dated 21.11.2024 raised by CF Bilaspur on the subject cited above.

The point wise reply to the observations raised by you vide your letter quoted above is furnished as under:

Sr. No.	observations	Answer
1	The documents as uploaded online Part-I against additional information detail are still not arranged as per the check list due to this, all documents are not able to scrutinized same may be reviewed and uploaded accordingly	The user agency has intimated the documents strictly as per the checklist and the additional information requirements. All documents have been clearly labeled and uploaded in the prescribed format to facilitate smooth scrutiny.
2	The Lol as uploaded in Part-I is not valid. Further, the KML file in column C (ii) (a) is found missing and instead of uploading the digital map of project copy of google map has been found uploaded. The revenue paper of the proposed area is uploaded but the field book has not uploaded.	The user agency has intimated that the valid Lol has now been uploaded in Part-I. The missing KML file under column C (ii) (a) has also been uploaded as per the required format. Additionally, the correct digital map of the project area (in prescribed format has been uploaded in place of the Google map image. The revenue papers were already uploaded earlier, and the field book has now also been scanned and uploaded for complete submission.
3	The Employment detail in column E (iii) is not correct further, page No. 18 of Mining Plan is tempered and not readable.	The user agency has intimated that the employment details under column E(iii) was already correct as project includes 10 permanent employees comprising company drivers, clerical staff, and miscellaneous support staff. Additionally, 20 temporary laborers have been proposed for regular mining operations, which is adequate for the scale of the project. Page No. 18 of the Mining Plan has also been re-scanned and uploaded in a clear, untampered, and readable format for verification.
4	The KML file in M2 (vii) as uploaded in Part-I is overlapping with field hence, the same may be clarified/rectified moreover, if the area is encroached, then action may be taken and detailed report be submitted. Further, as per the Mining Plan, 1 KM road is required but neither has been mentioned. Further, as per the Mining Plan, 1KM road is required but neither has been mentioned in this regard in the online Part-I not same has been marked in KML file, if the road is to be constructed in private land same may be mentioned in online Part-I and KML file and gift deeds of the land owners may also be supplied.	The previously uploaded KML file had an error due to oversight. A fresh DGPS survey has now been conducted, and the corrected KML file has been uploaded by the user agency. Regarding the 1 KM road mentioned in the Mining Plan, we would like to clarify that an existing road is already available, which was originally constructed by the local villagers/gram panchayat for access to the shamshanghat. This same road will be utilized for transportation purposes

		related to the mining activity. The road alignment has been properly marked in the updated KML file. As supporting evidence, a report from the Naib Tehsildar has been attached under additional documents at Sr. No. 28, titled "Details/Report of Naib-Tehsildar regarding approach access road to Mining Lease also revenue record of Existing Road".
5	The detail of estimated reserve in M5-2 and M7 are still not correct as per the mining plan. Further, the user agency may confirm that the proposed mining conforms to the DSR of the concerned districts issued by Competent Authority.	The estimated reserve details in M5-2 and M7 appear inconsistent due to a unit mismatch. In the Mining Plan, the unit used is metric tons, whereas in Parivesh 1.0 the unit is million tons. However, the actual figures are correct and consistent with the approved Mining Plan. Furthermore, we confirm that the proposed mining activity conforms to the District Survey Report (DSR) of the concerned district, as issued by the Competent Authority. As supporting evidence, a report from the Mining Officer, District Bilaspur has been uploaded by the user agency additional documents at Sr. No. 24, titled "District Survey Report as per EMSMG 2020 & SSMMG 2016 duly issued by the Mining Officer, District Bilaspur.
6	The KML file of the CA area reveals that most of the area is proposed on Moderate Dense Forests to Dense Forests hence, the area may be revised, further the CA scheme is prepared for mixed for normal and tall plants and an amount of 12022910/- has come out for 16.3 ha. which does not seem justified please recheck the CA scheme and make necessary correction. Further, NPV bill is still not prepared on current rates.	The Revised KML file, Digital map, Toposheet, Land Suitability Certificate, CA scheme & NPV bill are uploaded online in Part-II
7	The documents as mentioned uploaded in reply to point No. 7-10 of this office memo dated 13.12.2023 was not found uploaded please specify the Sr. No. of the same while uploading the requisite documents.	Proceeding of Public Hearing uploaded online against additional information by the user agency.

Encls: As above

Divisional Forest Officer,
Bilaspur Forest Division,
District Bilaspur, HP