E-mail Id-cfaligarh@gmail.com

पत्रांक क्षेत्र / 14-1, दिनांकःअलीगढ़ः सितम्बरः 15 2017

सेवा में.

मुख्य वन संरक्षक / नोडल अधिकारी, वन (संरक्षण) अधिनियम, 1980

उत्तर प्रदेश, लखनऊ।

विषय:-

जनपद अलीगढ़ में 400 के0वी0 डबल सर्किट अलीगढ़-प्रिथला पारेशण लाइन के निर्माण हेतु 0.1564 है0 संरक्षित वनभूमि के गैर वानिकी प्रयोग एवं उस पर अवस्थित 14 वृक्ष व 2 पौघों के पातन की अनुमति।

संदर्भ-

आपका कार्यालय का पत्रांक- 2185/अलीगढ़/22272/2016 दिनांक 25.04.2017.

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयक संदर्भित पत्र के कम में प्रभागीय निदेशक, सामाजिक वानिकी प्रभाग, अलीगढ़ ने अपने पत्रांक 826 / अलीगढ़ / 22272 / 2016, दिनांक 07.09.2017 द्वारा विषयक प्रस्ताव में लगायी गई आपित्तियों का निराकरण कर आख्या इस कार्यालय को उपलब्ध कराई गई है। अतः आपत्तियों पर बिन्दुवार आख्या निम्न प्रकार प्रेषित की जा रही है:--

S.no.	Observation	Reply
1	As per the acknowledgement slip issued with the proposal the proposed forest land diversion applied is 0.3036 ha. whereas the proposal submitted is of 0.1564 ha. This issue needs clarification.	Earlier, user agency have submitted Forest Divisionhaving area 0.3036 ha. This proposal was a combination of 0.1472 ha. forest in Gautambuddha nagar Forest Division & 0.1564 ha. forest in Aligarh Division. After the field inspection of the DFO, Gautambuddhanagar vide his letter no. 1915/14-1 dated 21.01.2017 (Copy enclosed) informed the user agency that the proposed land (0.1472 ha.) is not a notified Reserve/Protected Forest. Hence this area (0.1472 ha.) has been deducted from the total proposed forest area i.e. 0.3036 ha. Therefore, the final area proposed for forest diversion is 0.1564 ha. pertaining to the said proposal.
2	Information uploaded regarding copy of map indicating location of alternatives examined at D (ii) (a) of part 1 is incorrect.	Corrected map showing alternative routes with area description examined by user agency has been uploaded.
3	Information provided at Sr. No. 5 of part II regarding "Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion" mentions that "forest land proposed to be diverted will be incorporated in the existing working plan after prior approval of project". This implies that the proposed forest land is not part of working plan whereas forest Gazette notification (attached at page 50 to 53 of notification) is of 10th February 1960. Clarification regarding this issue needs comprehension.	
4	Information provided at Sr. no. 06 regarding brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion mentions 'Soil in the proposed forest area is not exposed to erosion' which is beyond comprehension.	not exposed to erosion'.
5	Filled up part III & V needs uploading with the proposal.	Filled up part III & V has been uploaded with the proposal.

भवदीय,

(बी0 प्रभाकर) वन संरक्षक, अलीगढ़ वृत्त, अलीगढ़।