

**कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण,
इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।**

Email- Nodalofficerddn@gmail.com

Phone/Fax-2767611

संख्या:- 1132 / FP/UK/ROAD/41672/2019 देहरादून: दिनांक: 14 अक्टूबर, 2020

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक,
भारत सरकार,
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय,
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, 25-सुभाष रोड़,

विषय:-जनपद-नैनीताल में टकनागूठ से डांडामल्ला मोटर मार्ग के सम्बन्ध में ।

(FP/UK/ROAD/41672/2019)

संदर्भ:- भारत सरकार पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, देहरादून के EDS दिनांक
26.02.2020

महोदय,

विषयांकित प्रकरण पर भारत सरकार के उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित EDS दिनांक 26.02.2020 के सम्बन्ध में वन संरक्षक, उत्तरी कुमाऊँ वृत्त, अल्मोड़ा से प्राप्त आख्या प्रेषित की जा रही है :-

क्र०सं०	प्रश्न	उत्तर
1	CA area is proposed in degraded forest land. As per DSS analysis 5 ha area found in VDF and 12 ha area found in MDF. State Govt. may change the area with some other area suitable for CA.	Correct CA area has been uploaded in Form A part-II by the name of "EDS reply 18 points"
2	Out of 9.14 ha area 2 ha area proposed for muck disposal (1 ha in reserve forest land). State Govt. may identify some non-forest land for muck disposal.	User Agency mention that the Starting of road upto 2.00 Km. comes under forest land by which no option to provide another place for muck dumping and where the land comes under revenue land is highly agricultural area and residential area for that dumping zone provided in forest land.
3	CA scheme prepared for Rs. 1,36,45,786 for 18.28 ha area @ Rs. 7,46,487/- per ha. State Govt. may examine the rate prescribed and submit revised CA scheme.	Revised CA scheme has been uploaded in Form A, Part-II as additional information by the name of "EDS reply 18 points".
4	Shape of proposed road found different in KML file and Digital map. Also digital map and SOI toposheet are not C/s by DFO. State Govt. may review the same and submit/upload the correct documents duly C/s by DFO.	Correction has been done in Form A, Part-I & II.
5	In Justification it is mentioned that 1 more road is proposed form PWD in the alignment. State Govt. may submit details of the same and also mark that alignment in KML.	Revised Kml File with mark alignment has been uploaded in Form A Part-I
5	Details of 775 trees found uploaded at para-4 in Part II however details of 827 trees submitted in hard copy, it is mentioned in the proposal that all trees will be cut and in another document of the proposal mentioned that only 408 trees will be cut and 434 will be transplanted. State Govt. may clarify the discrepancy and submit/upload the correct information.	Correction has been done in Form A, Part-II at Para-4. letter regarding same has been uploaded as additional information in part-II by the name of "EDS reply 18 points".
6	Aerial distance of the proposed area from the wild life sanctuary/national park is submitted as 2.8 Km. State Govt. may submit the comments of Chief wildlife warden in this regard.	Certificate regarding CWLW comments has been uploaded in Form A, Part-II as additional information by the name of "EDS reply 18 points".

7	Geologist report submitted/uploaded for some other proposal. State Government may do the necessary correction and upload/submit the correct copy of geologist report in original.	User agency mention that the road proposed in the name of Tand Kaknai -Danda Kathuth, length 21.00 Km. which is constructed in 5.00 Km. length by PWD after that it is proposed in PMGSY in the name of Taknagunth to Dandamalla motor road, length 16.00 Km. but the geologist report for complete 21.00 Km. length in the name of Tand Kaknai-Danda Kathuth motor road. Geologist report has been uploaded in FormA, Part-II, as additional information by the name of "EDS reply 18 points".
9	State Government may submit/upload the SIR again, as no comments have been made by DFO against each column in his SIR.	SIR has been uploaded in FormA, Part-II, as additional information by the name of "EDS reply 18 points".
10	In NPV calculation sheet the area is taken as 3.94 ha instead of 9.14 ha. Further density is mentioned as 0.4 but the NPV rate is charged for VDF category of eco-class-V i.e. @ 9.39 lakh/ha. State Govt. may clarify the discrepancy and submit/upload the correct information.	NPV Calculation sheet has been uploaded in FormA, Part-II, as additional information by the name of "EDS reply 18 points".
11	The width of the proposed road has been halved twice for calculation of muck likely to be generated which has resulted into underestimation of muck likely to be generated. State Govt. may clarify the discrepancy and submit/upload the correct information.	User agency mention that the cross-section of road is taken triangle in hilly area for hill side cutting and the quantity for cutting has been calculated by taken the average of area of two x-sections.
12	As per dimensions of the muck disposal sites the total area of muck disposal yards comes to 2.24 ha instead of 2.00 ha mentioned in the proposal. State Govt. may do the needful in this regards.	Correction have been made only 2.00 ha area required for muck dumping. Document regarding same has been uploaded in FromA, Part-I, by the name "EDS reply by UA dated 29-7-2020".
13	It is mentioned against para-6 of Part II that the hill slope is steep and the area is prone to erosion but the protection measures required to stabilize the slope have not been suggested. State Govt. may submit the detailed justification and do the needful in this regard.	User agency mention that the protection of side slope done by providing wire creates, RR dry wall, RR mesonary work, Plum concerte and by seeding.
14	It is seen form details given at para-14 of Part II that the CA stipulated is not commensurate to the area of forest land diverted. Further the progress of CA is 50% which is very poor; State Govt. may review the same and submit correct information.	Correction has been done in From A, Part-II at Para-14.
15	DFO in his site inspection report mentioned three conditions and requested for Rs. 45 lakh for some work in the area. State Govt. may submit the comments in this regard.	The SIR was examined and the required amount can not be recommended because as per the law these requirements are not part of mandetry dues required under FCA, 1980 by user agency.
16	In the SIR of DFO has mentioned that the construction of road in the mid of forest will lead to fragmentation of forest and will affect wildlife but the distance of proposed site for diversion form boundary of forest mentioned as 0.10 Km. which appear contradictory. DFO should submit a detailed wildlife management plan based on scientific basis duly approved by the competent authority only.	The mitigation plan has been uploaded in FormA, Part-II, as additional information by the name of "EDS reply 18 points".
17	'No' mentioned against para-8 (iii) of Part II but the aerial distance of proposed site for diversion in mentioned as 2.80 Km forms the boundary of national park, wildlife sanctuary which is contradictory. State Govt. may review the same and submit correct information.	Aerial distance certificate has been uploaded in FormA, Part-II, as additional information by the name of "EDS reply 18 points".

18	State Government may submit the hard copy of the above mentioned points.	All the required documents have been uploaded online portal.
----	--	--

अतः अनुरोध है कि प्रकरण में वन संरक्षण अधिनियम 1980 के अन्तर्गत स्वीकृति निर्गत करने का कष्ट करें।

संलग्न-यथोपरि।

भवदीय,
L m i l l m i l l
(डी०जे०के० शर्मा) 14/10/20
अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी
12