

कार्यालय मुख्य वन संरक्षक, कानपुर मण्डल, कानपुर

पत्रांक 5447 / 14-1 दिनांक, कानपुर, मई, 15 2018

सेवा में,

मुख्य वन संरक्षक/नोडल अधिकारी
उ०प्र०, लखनऊ

Subject :-

Proposed forest land diversion (Protected Forest) for widening of 4-lane of NH-91 from km 356.000 to 414.000 km Mitrasenpur Road to Kanpur Road having extent 93.975 ha with removal of 4800 trees and 17 poles-reg.

Reg.

Letter no. 8B/UP/06/24/2018/FC/199 Dated 23/03/2018, Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, Regional Office, (Central Region), Lucknow.

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषय सन्दर्भित पत्र द्वारा भारत सरकार ने विषयक प्रकरण में उठायी गयी आपत्तियों की वॉछित बिन्दुवार आख्या प्रभागीय निदेशक, कानपुर द्वारा अपने पत्रांक संख्या 3542/14-1 दिनांक 15.05.2018 द्वारा उपलब्ध करायी गयी है, जिसका विवरण निम्नानुसार है-

S. No.	Comments	Reply
1	Three other proposals FP/UP/ROAD/ 24596/ 2017, FP/UP/ROAD/23288/2016 and FP/LIP/ ROAD/22374/2016 appears to be part of widening of National Highway 91 and total extent of diversion is more than 100 ha which requires site inspection from Regional Office, MoEF&CC as per guidelines of FCA 1980.	The site inspection of CF (MOEF & CC) , with forest department and NHAI department has been on 09.04.2018. And the all point discussed in these site visit with CF (moef).
2	There exists one approval from MoEF&CC for widening from two lane to four lane of NH 91 i.e. the same road compliance status of conditions imposed have not been provided as well as details have not been provided uploaded online at B1 of part 1.	The all approval from MOEF& CC for widening from two lane to four lane of NH-91 has been uploaded in online part-1.
3	Competent wise break of forest land proposed for diversion is only for widening but other details like location of toll plaza, truck lay bye etc. have not been provided.	Component wise break up has been uploaded in online part -1 in B-2-4.
4	As per GIS DSS analysis of proposed forest land for diversion, following issues need rectification: f) ml files of proposed forest land for diversion are in polyline format which is not amenable to GIS DSS analysis. g) OI topo-sheets have not been provided with the proposal. h) ey geo coordinates defining the shape of proposed forest land diversion have not been provided. i) ome of the proposed compensatory afforestation patches fall in flood plains and some of them are agricultural fields. Suitability of sites need recheck as the proposal of compensatory is based on double degraded forest land. j) he extent of suitable patches for plantation is 176 ha which is less than $2 \times 93.975 = 187.95$ ha.	As per GIS DSS analysis of proposed forest land for diversion, DGPS Map with Key point and kml file has been uploaded in online part-1. CA land related certificate has been uploaded online part-2 and in this certificate are showing no any CA patches falls in flood plains and in these patches no any structure and road etc are not falling in CA patches. (D) The proposed compensatory afforestation patches Recheak by DFO Etawah. (Certificate Attached)
5	Benefit Cost analysis of the proposal is not as per latest guidelines of MoEF&CC. This needs revision.	Cost benefit analysis according to new guideline has been uploaded in online part-1.
6	Exemption certificate issued by the concerned DM in FRA 2006 is silent on rights of pre- agriculture forest dwelling communities.	According to these issues, Revised FRA has been uploaded in online Part-1 & Part-2.

S. No.	Comments	Reply
7	As the proposal is continuous stretch of 262.8 km may require clearance under provisions Environment (Protection) Act 1986.	Package wise breakup of project has been uploaded in online part-2, additional data.
8	he land schedule provided for area calculation does not provide existing width. Required width and balance width chainage wise along with details for both RHS & LHS. he area calculation does not segregate between fresh diversion and re diversion already existing. Area requirement is not based as per typical cross section uploaded with the proposal.	Area calculation detail has been uploaded in part-II additional data. TCS has been changed in online part-1.
9	The certificates submitted by user agency for NPV payment, balance PF etc. are on a general format without even mentioning the name of project.	NPV certificate and Balance pf Land Certificate uploaded in online part-1.
10	Online enumeration list shows number of trees in negative number which is impossible situation and clearly shows casual attitude in filling part II without being detected at other higher levels.	Online enumeration Tree List has been changed in online part-II that is in Possitive.
11	Site Inspection Report of concerned CF is not on approval format of Gol. The same needs revision.	Site inspection report of CCF has been changed in prescribed formate.
12	Few documents of the proposal are without seal of signing authority.	All documents with sign and seal has been uploaded and attach in hard copy of proposal.

भयदीय

(रमेश चन्द)

मुख्य वन संरक्षक

कानपुर मण्डल, कानपुर

पत्रांक: / समदिनांकित।

प्रतिलिपि:- प्रभागीय निदेशक, सामाजिक वानिकी प्रभाग, कानपुर को उनके पत्रांक 3542/14-1 दिनांक 15.05.2018 के क्रम में सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित।

(रमेश चन्द)

मुख्य वन संरक्षक

कानपुर मण्डल, कानपुर