## कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण, इन्दिरानगर फॅारेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक भारत सरकार पर्यावरण, वन एंव जलवायू परिवर्तन मंत्रालय क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, (उत्तर—मध्य क्षेत्र), 25 सुभाष रोड़, देहरादून।

विषय:- जनपद-रुद्रप्रयाग के अन्तर्गत तिलवाड़ा-तोलब-मयकोटी व मालकोटी-डांगी- गुनांउ हल्का वाहन मोटर मार्ग में परिवर्तन कर सुधार एवं डामरीकरण हेतु। FP/UK/ROAD/11810/2015

सन्दर्भः– भारत सरकार पर्यावरण, वन एंव जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, देहरादून के EDS दिनांक 21–02–2018 महोदय,

विषयांकित प्रकरण पर भारत सरकार के उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित EDS दिनांक— 21—02—2018 के सम्बन्ध में वन संरक्षक, गढ़वाल वृत्त, पौड़ी, से प्राप्त आख्या बिन्दुवार सहित प्रेषित की जा रही है :-

क्र0सं0	प्रश्न	उत्तर
1	With respect to Point No 3 of previous EDS related to village-wise break-up, it is seen names of both the villages are mentioned in one column without giving break-up of each village showing forest area involved.	Correct Details have been filled at section B-2.3 in Form A, Part I.
2	With respect to Point No 4 of previous EDS on employment data it is seen that it shows 10 number of permanent employment and 15 number of man days to be generated which does not seem to be correct.	Correct Employment details have been filled at section E in Form A part I.
3	With respect to Point No 5 & 6 of previous EDS related to FRA certificate it is seen that proceedings of VLC meeting for Tolab village is still not uploaded. Besides, FRA certificate in original and its annexure (attested/ in original) is required in hard copy.	Relevant information attached with FRA at section K in is Form A, Part I.
4	With respect to point No 7 of previous EDS, hard copy of CA is still not received.	Hard copy of Relevant document has been sent by post.
5	With respect to point No 8 of previous EDS on submission of original copy of Part III, IV & V it is seen that hard copies are still not received.	Original hard copy of the proposa have been sent by post.
6	In response to EDS Point No. 4, it is observed that the patches of CA are not marked on Survey of India toposheet map uploaded online in Part –II.	Correct SOI, toposheet, map have been uploaded in form A, Part II.
7	Upon DSS analysis, it is found that CA area marked as 8.715 ha comes to 4.829 ha instead. Therefore, as per the software conclusions, the area is far shorter than the required area. It is, therefore, requested to submit correct KML file of CA having area of 8.715 ha.	Relevant document have beer uploaded in Form A, part I.
8	Hard copy of the proposal has not been submitted so far.	Hard copy of the proposal have been sent by post.

भवदीय,

