

कार्यालय प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, पिथौरागढ़ वन प्रभाग, पिथौरागढ़।

E-mail: dfopithoragarh@rediffmail.com Fax & 05964- 225234

पत्रांक 2948 /12-1 दिनांक, पिथौरागढ़, 13 फरवरी, 2020।

सेवा में

वन संरक्षक,
उत्तरी कुमाऊँ वृत्त,
उत्तराखण्ड, अल्मोड़ा।

Subject:- Regarding transfer of 9.397 hac forest land for construction of 4 x 3 MW Tankul SHP at tehsil Dharchula of district Pithoragarh in the name of UJVN Limited.

Sir,


प्रस्ताव संख्या (FP/UK/HYD/42080/2019) में लगायी गयी आपत्तियों का निराकरण कर प्रेषित है:-

S. No.	Observation	Reply
1.	In para-A-1(vi) of Part-1, the shape of the forest land proposed to be diverted is mentioned as 'Linear instead of Non-Linear'. State government may correct the same online.	Category of the project has been changed from Linear to Non-Linear.
2.	In para-C of Part-I, KML file of proposed CA area has been found uploaded instead of KML file of the forest land proposed to be diverted for the project. State Government may upload the KML file of the area proposed for diversion at para C(ii), Part I online.	KML file of the forest land proposed to be diverted has been uploaded in place of KML file of proposed CA area.
3.	In para-E of Part-I regarding employment likely to be generated, permanent/regular employment is mentioned as '0' and temporary employment (number of person days) is mentioned as 2000 which does not appear to be correct keeping in view the cost of the project which is mentioned as Rs 11,84,276 lakhs in para-A-I (vii). State Government may submit the clarification in this regard and provide the correct information.	Permanent/regular employees to be engaged after commissioning of the project are around 20. Mandays to be generated during construction period are around 374125.
4.	In para-G of Part-I, the cost benefit analysis has been uploaded in the old format. State government may submit the clarification in this regard and provide the correct information.	Cost benefit analysis as per new format has been uploaded.
5.	In para-K of Part-I, FRA certificate has been provided in the format meant for linear projects instead of Form-II meant for projects other than linear projects. State government may upload the FRA certificate meant for the projects other than linear projects.	FRA certificate for non-linear projects has been uploaded.

6.	In para-L(vi) of part_I, map in Survey of India toposheet showing the project components has been found uploaded instead of map showing the civil land proposed for CA. Moreover, KML file of the proposed CA area and other relevant document viz., ownership proof, non-encumbrance certificate, MoU agreement have not been found uploaded at para-I. State government may do the needful in this regard.	Map showing the civil land proposed for CA has now been uploaded. Allotment letter from GoU has been uploaded for proof of ownership. Further, UJVNL being a nodal agency for development of hydro projects in the state, there is no need to sign the MoU agreement.
7.	Although, the CAT plan has been uploaded at para-M of part-I but the hard copy of the same has not been provided. State government may submit the hard copy of the CAT plan.	The hard copy of CAT plan is hereby enclosed.
8.	In the documents showing length and width of the project uploaded at Sl No. 30 of additional documents in Para-1, the total forest land involved is mentioned as 10.236 instead of 9.397 ha. State Government may submit the revised documents showing forest and non-forest area.	It is to kindly clarify that under Sl. No. 30 the total project land area is 10.236 ha out of which 9.397 ha is forest land and 0.837 ha is non forest land. Revised and correct document is enclosed.
9.	In para-4(ii), 4(iii) & 4(iv) of Part-II, the number of trees at FRL, FRL-2 mtr and FRL-4 mtr is mentioned as 16 trees, 216 trees and nil trees respectively which is not correct. Government may submit clarification and provide correct information in this regard.	The correction has been done by DFO-Pithoragarh.
10.	In para-13 of Part-II, details of the proposed CA area has not been filled up in the table and KML file, geo-referenced map and the map in SoI toposheet have also not been uploaded. State government may fill the all the detail at para 13 and upload maps and KML file at para 13 Part II online.	The correction has been done by DFO-Pithoragarh.
11.	The area of land required for 3 no of muck disposal sites mentioned in component wise breakup at para – B – 2.4 of Part-1 does not match with the area and dimensions of the 3 no. of muck disposal sites given in the Muck Disposal Plan provided with the hard copy of the proposed. State Government may remove this discrepancy and submit the actual detail of the same.	Discrepancy in area of 3 number of muck disposal sites has been removed. Accordingly, muck disposal plan has been revised. Revised and correct document is enclosed.
12.	State government as well as the user agency has not mentioned anything about the Environment flow (E-flow) required to sustain forest water ecosystem etc. downstream of diversion weir upto TRT. State government may do the needful in this regard.	Provision for release of adequate e-flow has been made in the DPR. Further, all the necessary steps shall be taken during construction of project to comply the latest orders of Honorable National Green Tribunal.
13.	It is seen from DSS analysis of the area proposed for CA that 2.00 ha area of Patch –I (7.984 ha) falls in MDF. Similarly 3.00 ha area of Patch-4 (4.872 ha) also falls in MDF. State government may submit the plantation scheme in lieu of area falling in MDF/VDF.	The correction has been done by DFO-Pithoragarh.

14.	Copy of TEC and implementation agreement has not been submitted with the proposal. State government may submit the hard copy of TEC and implementation agreement.	<p>As per guidelines of Central Electricity Authority, a project having capital expenditure less than Rs. 1000.00 Crores does not require concurrence (TEC) from CEA. Further, the project was allotted to UJVNL by GoU and UJVNL being the nodal agency of GoU for development of hydro projects in the state, therefore, there is no need to enter into implementation agreement. Generally, MoU is signed between GoU & CPSUs/Private developers, in case of allotment.</p> <p>DPR of the project had already been approved by the board of UJVNL, which is competent authority in the state for approval of DPRs of hydro electric projects.</p>
15.	Administrative approval, geologist report and aerial distance certificate not found uploaded.	Requisite approval/reports are enclosed.

भवदीय


प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी,
पिथौरागढ़ वन प्रभाग, पिथौरागढ़।