

**GOVERNMENT OF TELANGANA  
FOREST DEPARTMENT**

From **Sri R.M.Dobriyal,**  
**IFS,**  
Principal Chief  
Conservator of  
Forests  
& Head of Forest  
Force, (FAC)  
Telangana State,  
"Aranya Bhavan",  
Saifabad,  
**Hyderabad.**

To  
**Director General of Forests & Special Secretary to the  
Government,** Ministry of Environment and Forests and Climate  
Change, Indira Paryavaran Bhawan, Jorbagh Road, New Delhi -  
110003

**Ref.No.FC4/FC29/1/2022 Dated: 04/12/2022 .**

Sir,

**Sub:** TSFD - F(C) Act, 1980 - Diversion of 151.8517 Ha of forest land falling in Yellandu division for proposed Jawahar Khani OC project at Yellandu division in favour of M/s Singareni Collieries Company Limited - **Requested to process the proposal as per the new F(C) Rules, 2022 and as per the guideline dated 14.11.2022** - Regarding.

- Ref:**
1. General Manager, Yellandu Area, SCCL, Bhadadri Kothagudem District Ref.No.YLD/EST/185 dt.25.04.2021.
  2. PCCF RC.No.20207/2019/FCA-1/PS Dt.18.05.2021.
  3. DFO, Bhadradri Kothagudem Rc.No. 109/2020/D1 Dt. 19.06.2021 addressed to CF,Kothagudem.
  4. PCCF RC.No.20207/2019/FCA-4 Dt.09.08.2021.
  5. CCF, Kothagudem Rc.No.125/2020/M3 dt:02.12.2021.
  6. CCF.Kothagudem Rc.No.168/2022/M11 dt:06.04.2022.
  7. PCCF Rc.No.FC4/FC29/1/2022 Dt.26.04.2022.
  8. GoTS Lr.No.3219/For.I(1)/2022 dated 06.06.2022.
  9. AIGF, Gol, MoEF & CC, New Delhi FC division F.No.8-260/1985-FC(Vol.I) dated 27.07.2022.
  10. Forest (Conservation) Rules,2022 published vide MoEF&CC, Gol, New Delhi Gazette Number GSR 480 (E), Dt.28.06.2022 and communicated in MoEF&CC, Gol, New Delhi F.No.FC-11/118/2021-FC, Dt.29.06.2022
  11. MoEF&CC, Gol, New Delhi F.No.FC-11/118/2021-FC, Dt.18.07.2022.
  12. Minutes of Meeting of Forest Advisory Committee Dt.15.07.2022 communicated in MoEF&CC, Gol, New Delhi File No.11-91/2012FC on 27.07.2022.
  13. Director (Planning & Projects), SCCL ref.no.CRP/EST/F/164/849 dated 01.08.2022.
  14. PCCF-FC4/FC29/1/2022-FCA Section (20207/2019/FCA-4), Dated: 23.08.2022.
  15. AIGF, Gol, MoEF & CC, New Delhi FC division F.No.8-260/1985-FC(Vol.I) dated 13.09.2022
  16. PCCF Rc.No.FC4/FC29/1/2022 dated 20.10.2022.
  17. Gol, MoEF & CC, New Delhi FC division F.No.FC-11/118/2021-FC dated 14.11.2022.

**File No.PCCF-FC4/FC29/1/2022-FCA SECTION**

18.Minutes of 1<sup>st</sup> project Screening Committee (PSC) meeting held on 19.11.2022.

19.AIGF, Gol, MoEF & CC, New Delhi FC division F.No.8-260/1985-FC(Vol.I) dated 29.11.2022

Kind attention is invited to the subject and references cited.

In continuation to the ref 16<sup>th</sup> cited regarding the clarification requested by the PCCF office, the Gol, MoEF & CC, New Delhi vide ref 19<sup>th</sup> cited has informed that on 14/11/2022, clarification has already been issued on processing the proposals in parivesh 1.0 for want of availability of complete end-to-end functionality of FC module in parivesh 2.0 as per the F(c) Rules, 2022. In the clarification issued it was instructed to attach the minutes of meeting of Project Screening Committee(PSC) with Part-IV of forms.

*Further, it is submitted that as per the new F©Rules, 2022, the projects above 5.00 Ha, shall be recommended in the Project Screening Committee (PSC), before forwarding of proposal to the Gol.*

Accordingly, the 1<sup>st</sup> project Screening Committee (PSC) for the month of November was held on 19.11.2022 through virtual mode as per the New F(C)Rules, 2022. In the meeting the subject proposal was discussed and the committee after deliberations with the user agency and field officer has recommended the proposal. The minutes of PSC meeting has been approved on 24.11.2022. (Copy enclosed).

In view of the above, the Gol, MoEF & CC, New Delhi is requested to process the proposal as per the new F(c)Rules, 2022 and guideline dated 14.11.2022, as the 1<sup>st</sup> Project Screening Committee of November held on 19.11.2022 approved on 24.11.2022 duly recommending the project, for according necessary Stage-I approval.

Encl: As above.

Yours faithfully,

Signed by Rakesh Mohan  
Dobriyal  
Date: 04-12-2022 11:52:33  
Reason: Approved

Prl. Chief Conservator of Forests.  
(Head of Forest Force) (FAC)

File No.PCCF-FC4/FC29/1/2022-FCA SECTION

**Copy to the Spl. Chief Secretary to Government,** Forests department, Telangana State, Hyderabad for information.

Copy to Sri Surendra pandey, IFS (Retd.), Advisor (Forestry), The SCCL, Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, PB.No.18, Khairatabad(Post). Hyderabad - 500004 for information.

Copy to the General Manager, M/s Singareni Collieries Corporation Limited, Yellandu Area, Bhadradi Kothagudem District for information.

// t. c. b. o. //


*Alankar  
7/12/22*

*D. Subramanian*  
7/12/22  
Superintendent

**FIELD INSPECTION NOTES OF SRI. M.C.PARGAIEN, IFS; PCCF (FCA)&NODAL OFFICER ON 22.11.2022 IN MAHABUBABAD AND KOTHAGUEDEM DISTRICTS.**

Inspected Chinthaguda Reserve Forest Block of Mahabubabad division spread over in various compartments (925,912,911,898,913,928 and 923) wherein an area of 156.27 Ha has been identified for raising compensatory Afforestation in degraded Forest land. This is related to proposal of diversion of 151.8519 Ha of Forest Land (Jawahar Khani OC) in favour of SCCL. The area is undulating and having open blanks of various sizes and having density less than 0.4. There are few standing trees here and there Vepa (*Azadirachta indica*), Nallamaddi (*Terminalia tomentosa*), Chennangi (*Lagerstromia parvifolia*), and Billudu (*Chloroxylon swietenia*). As evident from the field, this area was earlier attempted for encroachment by local villagers however the Field Staff has now stopped it and controlled it and area is under full control of the Department. In order to improve water regime, staggered trenches also have been made in the proposed area. The area is suitable for taking Compensatory Afforestation duly including soil moisture conservation measures depending upon the local terrain.

Then proceeded for inspection of Compartment No. 49 and 51 Yellandu Reserve Forest in Yellandu Division wherein an area of 151.8519 Ha is proposed for diversion in favour of M/s SCCL. The area is well demarcated on the ground and the Forest is mainly open to scrub type with varying density ranging from 0.1 to 0.4 here. There are coppices of Teak and Miscellaneous species spreading over the area. The soil is Red Loam with occasional patches of Morram here and there. The other species available in this area are 1. Thuniki (*Diospyros melanoxylon*), 2. Chennangi (*Lagerstromia parvifolia*), 3. Kodisa (*Cleistanthus collinus*), 4. Billudu (*Chloroxylon swietenia*), 5. Vepa (*Azadirachta indica*), 6. Pachari (*Dalbergia paniculata*), 7. Udugu (*Alangium lamarckii*), 8. Bojja (*Aydia xeiocarps*), 9. Barre Duddi (*Saccosetalnam tomentosum*), 10. Musti (*Strychnos nuxvomica*), 11. Nallamaddi (*Terminalia tomentosa*), 12. Ellintha (*Diospyros chloroxylon*) 13. Bontha (*Mimosa odoratissima*). As reported by DFO, no work of violation has been carried by User Agency in this area.

  
(Mohan Chandra Pargaien)

**Minutes of the 1st Project Screening Committee (PSC) meeting of  
Telangana State Forest Department held on 19.11.2022 at 3:00 PM at  
Aranya Bhavan, Saifabad, Hyderabad.**

The 1st Project Screening Committee (PSC) meeting of Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (HoFF), Telangana held on 19.11.2022.

The following members / special invitees were present during the meeting.

1.	Sri.M.C.Pargaien, IFS PCCF (FCA) O/o PCCF, Telangana	Chairman
2.	Sri A. Shankaran, IFS OSD (WL)	Special Invitee
3.	Smt. Samhitha, ACF (WL)	Special Invitee
4.	Concerned District Collector's	Member
5.	Concerned Conservator of Forests	Member
6.	Concerned District Forest Officer's	Member
7.	Smt. P.Sri Lakshmi, IFS DCF(IT) O/o PCCF, Telangana	Member Secretary

At the outset, Chairperson extended a warm welcome to the members of PSC and other participants. It was appraised to the members that 10 proposals are placed for discussion in the current meeting. Chairman PSC requested the Deputy Conservator of Forests (IT) to carry forward the agenda items for further discussion by the Committee. The detailed discussions held and the recommendation of the committee are as follows.

**Agenda-1**

**Name of the Proposal:** Diversion of 5.6901 Ha of forest land in Kagaznagar division for Erection of 33KV Interlinking Line at Sulugupally village in Bejjur (M) of Komarambheem Asifabad in favour of Divisional Engineer, Operation, M/s.TSNPDCL.

1.	<b><u>Online Proposal No.</u></b>	FP/TG/TRANS/146646/2021 in parivesh 1.0 FP/TG/TRANS/407005/2022 in parivesh 2.0
2.	Name of the User Agency	M/s.TSNPDCL
3.	Category of the Project	Transmission Line, 33KV
4.	Location details	Kagaznagar division - 5.6901 Ha
5.	Component wise breakup	Laying of Transmission line Length - 3793.38 mts Width - 15.00 mts
6.	Cost of the Project	1.05 Crores
7.	Compensatory Afforestation details, if any	Yes, as per the guidelines the CA shall be raised in degraded forest land.
8.	Alternatives Examined, if any	-
9.	Falling in any Protected area, if any	Yes, Part of Tiger Corridor area.
10.	Environment Clearance, if any for the project	No

<b>Diversion area particulars by the DFO/CF</b>		
11.	Legal Status of Forests	<b>Bejjur RF:</b> Notified Under Section 15 vide Notification No.50, dt. 24.05.51.
12	Density of Vegetation	<b>0.1 to 0.3</b>
13	No of trees proposed to be felled / Translocation of trees proposed	1474 No's of trees including 1217 poles
14	Vulnerability of the forest area to erosion	Area proposed is not prone for soil erosion
15	Whether any rare/endangered/ unique species of flora and fauna found	No
16	Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/ No).	No
17	Compensatory Afforestation Scheme and details	DFL area proposed in Compt.No. 221, Bejjur RF, Jahindpur beat , Kuntalamanapally section, Bejjur Range to an extent of 11.40 Ha for an financial outlay of 109.167 Lakhs for a period of 7-10 years of maintenance.
18	Dwarf Species plantation under the RoW	The Scheme for raising the medicinal and dwarf species within the right of way of the transmission line will be prepared after Stage- I approval.
19	Wildlife Mitigation measures for the proposal falling in PA's and its ESZ, if any.	Since, the area is falling in Tiger Corridor, the Wildlife mitigative measures are proposed for an amount of Rs.15.78 Lakhs
20	RoFR certificates in Form-I & II for linear and Non-linear projects.	District Collector, Kumuram Bheem Asifabad District vide Rc.No.E2/987/2021 dated: 16.02.2022 has issued Certificate in Form-I (for linear projects)
21	Inspection date of DFO	18.05.2022
22	Recommendation of DFO	The DFO has recommended the proposal for <b>5.6901 Ha</b> and stated that the proposed diversion area is falling "Corridor of Kawal Tiger Reserve, which is noticed with Tiger Movement in this area and also Wildlife movement as this area". <b>Therefore transmission lines in forest areas should be insulated (Aerial Bunched Cable) instead of overhead lines, due to protection of Wildlife.</b>
23	Recommendation of CF	Yes
24	Recommendation of PCCF	Yes
25	Recommendation of State Govt	Yes

**Discussions:**

<b>Deliberation:</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Initially, the proposal has been forwarded to State govt with recommendation of PCCF on 23.06.2022 and the State Govt has forwarded the proposal to IRO, Hyderabad on 17.07.2022 but uploaded on 21.07.2022.</li> <li>2. Later, the IRO, Hyderabad has 12.08.2022 requested to resubmit the proposal as per new provisions and procedures mentioned in the Forest (Conservation) Rules, 2022.</li> <li>3. Further, the GoI, MoEF &amp; CC, New Delhi on 14.11.2022 has issued a guideline on processing of the proposals. For the Proposals, already submitted in the PARIVESH 2.0 at various levels, may be processed in offline mode by the processing authorities of the State/UT concerned following the statutory process provided in the Forest (Conservation) Rules, 2022.</li> <li>4. As per the new F(C)Rules, 2022, the proposal shall be recommended in the PSC meeting for recommending to the GoI/SG.</li> <li>5. The committee examined the proposal in detail and the informed the User Agency agreed for laying of insulated ABC cable instead of overhead lines due to protection of wildlife duly submitting undertaking to the DFO.</li> <li>6. Further, there is no specific width mentioned for 33 KV for laying ABC cables, the UA agreed for taking 15 mts width for laying the cables.</li> <li>7. Wildlife proposals has been forwarded to NBWL for its consideration.</li> </ol>
<b>Recommendation:</b>	<p>After detailed deliberation on facts of the proposal and discussion with the representative of the User Agency and the PCCF(FCA), the committee <b>RECOMMENDED</b> the proposal for diversion of the proposal for laying <b>insulated (Aerial Bunched Cable) instead of overhead lines, due to protection of Wildlife.</b></p>

**Following participants attended through VC for the above subject proposal.**

<b>Members</b>	
<b>Conservator of Forests (Kawal Tiger Reserve)</b>	<b>Addl. Collector (Komarambheem-Asifabad)</b>
<b>Sri Mohan Reddy, Project Director, TSNPDCL, (User Agency)</b>	<b>District Forest Officer (Komarambheem-Asifabad)</b>

**Agenda-2**

**Name of the Proposal:** Diversion of 6.6766 Ha of forest land in Kagaznagar division for Erection of 33KV Interlinking Line from 33/11 kV SS Penchikalpet to Loadpally 33 kv Cut point in Penchikalpet (M) of Komarambheem Asifabad in favour of Divisional Engineer, Operation, M/s.TSNPDCL, Kagaznagar.

1.	<b>Online Proposal No.</b>	FP/TG/TRANS/146651/2021 in parivesh 1.0 FP/TG/TRANS/406983/2022 in parivesh 2.0
2.	Name of the User Agency	M/s.TSNPDCL
3.	Category of the Project	Transmission Line, 33KV
4.	Location details	Kagaznagar division – 6.6766 Ha
5.	Component wise breakup	Laying of Transmission line Length - 4451.08 mts Width – 15.00 mts
6.	Cost of the Project	107.00 Lakhs
7.	Compensatory Afforestation details, if any	Yes, as per the guidelines the CA shall be raised in degraded forest land.
8.	Alternatives Examined, if any	-
9.	Falling in any Protected area, if any	Yes, Part of Tiger Corridor area.
10.	Environment Clearance, if any for the project	No
<b>Diversion area particulars by the DFO/CF</b>		
11.	Legal Status of Forests	1. <b>Kadamba RF:</b> Notified U/s 15 vide Notification No. Nil dt. 24-5-51. 2. <b>Kadamba Ext-VI RF:</b> Notified U/S 15 vide. G.O Ms No.283 F&RD dt. 25-7-82 3. <b>Bejjur Ext-VII RF:</b> Notified U/s 15 vide G.O. Ms No.419 F&RD For.III Dept. dt. 9-9-74.AP.Gazette No.488. dt. 12-12-74.Add.Dist Gazette No.17-1-75. 4. <b>Bejjur RF:</b> Notified U/s 15 vide Notification No.50, dt. 2-5-51
12	Density of Vegetation	<b>0.1 to 0.3</b>
13	No of trees proposed to be felled / Translocation of trees proposed	150 No's of trees including 69 poles
14	Vulnerability of the forest area to erosion	Area proposed is not prone for soil erosion
15	Whether any rare/endangered/ unique species of flora and fauna found	No
16	Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/ No)..	No
17	Compensatory Afforestation Scheme and details	DFL area proposed in Compt.No. 221, Bejjur RF, Jahindpur beat , Kuntalamanapally section, Bejjur Range to an extent of 13.4 Ha for an financial outlay of 121.126 Lakhs for a period of 7-10 years of maintenance.
18	Dwarf Species plantation under the RoW	The Scheme for raising the medicinal and dwarf species within the right of way of the transmission line will be prepared after Stage-I approval.



19	Wildlife Mitigation measures for the proposal falling in PA's and its ESZ, if any.	Since, the area is falling in Tiger Corridor, the Wildlife mitigative measures are proposed for an amount of Rs.16.00 Lakhs
20	RoFR certificates in Form-I & II for linear and Non-linear projects.	District Collector, Kumuram Bheem Asifabad District vide Rc.No.E2/987/2021 dated: 16.02.2022 has issued Certificate in Form-I (for linear projects)
21	Inspection date of DFO	18.05.2022
22	Recommendation of DFO	The DFO has recommended the proposal for <b>6.6766 Ha</b> and stated that the proposed diversion area is falling "Corridor of Kawal Tiger Reserve, which is noticed with Tiger Movement in this area and also Wildlife movement as this area". <b>Therefore transmission lines in forest areas should be insulated (Aerial Bunched Cable) instead of overhead lines, due to protection of Wildlife.</b>
23	Recommendation of CF	Yes
24	Recommendation of PCCF	Yes
25	Recommendation of State Govt	Yes

#### Discussions:

<b>Deliberation:</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Initially, the proposal has been forwarded to State govt with recommendation of PCCF on 22.06.2022 and the State govt has forwarded the proposal to IRO, Hyderabad on 15.07.2022 but uploaded on 21.07.2022.</li> <li>2. Later, the IRO, Hyderabad has 12.08.2022 requested to resubmit the proposal as per new provisions and procedures mentioned in the Forest (Conservation) Rules, 2022.</li> <li>3. Further, the GoI, MoEF &amp; CC, New Delhi on 14.11.2022 has issued a guideline on processing of the proposals. For the Proposals, already submitted in the PARIVESH 2.0 at various levels, may be processed in offline mode by the processing authorities of the State/UT concerned following the statutory process provided in the Forest (Conservation) Rules, 2022.</li> <li>4. As per the new F(C)Rules, 2022, the proposal shall be recommended in the PSC meeting for recommending to the GoI/SG.</li> <li>5. The committee examined the proposal in detail and the informed the User Agency agreed for laying of insulated ABC cable instead of overhead lines due to protection of wildlife duly submitting undertaking to the DFO.</li> <li>6. Further, there is no specific width mentioned for 33 KV for laying ABC cables, the UA agreed for taking 15 mts width for laying the cables.</li> </ol>
----------------------	---

	7. Wildlife proposals has been forwarded to NBWL for its consideration.
<b>Recommendation:</b>	After detailed deliberation on facts of the proposal and discussion with the representative of the User Agency and the PCCF(FCA), the committee <b>RECOMMENDED</b> the proposal for diversion of the proposal for laying <b>insulated (Aerial Bunched Cable) instead of overhead lines, due to protection of Wildlife.</b>

**Following participants attended through VC for the above subject proposal.**

Members	
<b>Conservator of Forests (Kawal Tiger Reserve)</b>	<b>Addl. Collector (Komarambheem-Asifabad)</b>
<b>District Forest Officer (Komarambheem-Asifabad)</b>	<b>Sri Mohan Reddy, Project Director, TSNPDC, (User Agency)</b>

### **Agenda-3**

**Name of the Proposal:** Diversion of 151.8517 Ha of forest land falling in Yellandu division for proposed Jawahar Khani OC project at Yellandu division in favour of M/s Singareni Collieries Company Limited.

1.	<b>Online Proposal No.</b>	FP/TG/MIN/49581/2020 applied in parivesh 1.0		
2.	Name of the User Agency	M/s.SCCL		
3.	Category of the Project	Mining Lease		
4.	Location details	Yellandu Division - 151.8517 Ha		
5.	Component wise breakup	<b>S.No</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Extent</b>
		1.	Total Excavation Area	115.6001
		2.	Embankment	1.409
		3.	Toe Wall	0.482
		4.	Settling Pond	3.5318
		5.	Diversion/below river/Nala/Cannal	0.3510
		6.	Garland Drains	2.2338
		7.	Plantation	24.43
		8.	7.5m safety zone along the periphery	3.8142
			<b>Total</b>	<b>151.8519</b>
6.	Cost of the Project	324.00 Crores		
7.	Compensatory Afforestation details, if any	M/s.SCCL has identified Non-Forest Land to an extent of 205.664 on rehabilitated overburden dumps of JK mine in Yellandu area, which is falling Khammam Forest division.		
8.	Alternatives Examined, if any	Site Specific		

9.	Falling in any Protected area, if any	No														
10.	Environment Clearance, if any for the project	Yes.														
11.	Mining Plan	The <b>Mining Plan</b> including <b>Mine Closure Plan</b> of Jawahar Khani Opencast Mine was approved by the Ministry of Coal, GoI vide F.No. 34011/1/2020-CPAM, dt 29.10.2020														
12	Mining Lease details	Vide State Govt G.O.Ms.No.5, 06.02.2015, the 3rd Renewal of Yellandu Mining Lease is valid upto 31.12.2034 for 524.96 Ha which includes 151.8519 Ha.														
<b>Diversion area particulars by the DFO/CF</b>																
13.	Legal Status of Forests	Yellandu RF is notified U/S-18 vide Gazette No.834, dt 18.09.1354 F and State Gazette No.39, Dt. 10-10-1354 Fasli														
14.	Density of Vegetation	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Density Class</th> <th>Area in Ha</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0.4 to 0.7 (MDF)</td> <td>4.1624</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0.1 to 0.4 (OF)</td> <td>76.2444</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 to 0.1 (Scrub)</td> <td>36.2817</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 (NF)</td> <td>33.5512</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 (WB)</td> <td>1.6122</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Total::</b></td> <td><b>151.8519</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Density Class	Area in Ha	0.4 to 0.7 (MDF)	4.1624	0.1 to 0.4 (OF)	76.2444	0 to 0.1 (Scrub)	36.2817	0 (NF)	33.5512	0 (WB)	1.6122	<b>Total::</b>	<b>151.8519</b>
Density Class	Area in Ha															
0.4 to 0.7 (MDF)	4.1624															
0.1 to 0.4 (OF)	76.2444															
0 to 0.1 (Scrub)	36.2817															
0 (NF)	33.5512															
0 (WB)	1.6122															
<b>Total::</b>	<b>151.8519</b>															
15.	No of trees proposed to be felled / Translocation of trees proposed	<b>87451</b> No's of trees/poles. Further, 150 trees out of 344 trees with girth 60-90 cm, 90-120 cm and above 120 cm are identified by the forest department for translocation to the nearest forest area and the cost towards the translocation are to be borne by the UA														
16.	Vulnerability of the forest area to erosion	As the total area will be used for mining, the vulnerability for erosion will not arise. The soil type is Red loamy, morrum with sandy patches.														
17.	Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found	No														
18.	Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/ No).	No														
19.	Compensatory Afforestation Scheme and details.	Information furnished below														
	<p>M/s.SCCL has identified Non-Forest Land to an extent of 205.664 on rehabilitated overburden dumps of JK mine in Yellandu area, which is falling Khammam Forest division to handover to the Forest Department towards CA against the new proposals of the SCCL i.e., diversion of 151.8519 Ha for JK OC mine in Yellandu division (present proposal) and 17.994 ha for Ramagundam OC mines etc (pending at GoI).</p> <p>Accordingly, the Conservator of Forests, Kothagudem (i/c Khammam) and the District Forest Officer, Khammam and Forest Divisional Officer, Khammam along with the SCCL officials have inspected the non-forest rehabilitated Overburden dump areas falling in Karepally range of Khammam division on 05.03.2022 and</p>															

	08.03.2022.
	<p><b>The following observations are made :</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. As the area is adjoining Revenue area, chain-link fencing should be provided all around the proposed CA land to protect it from the encroachment and biotic interference.</li> <li>2. In the areas where sumps are present and water bodies are found, ramp construction may be taken up for easy movement of wild life.</li> <li>3. There are two big dugouts with very deep slope water bodies exist, which required to be fenced with chain-link fencing. Otherwise, there is every possibilities to fall wild animals in the water bodies. Further it is required a construction of ramp to the deep-water bodies for easy movement of wild life.</li> <li>4. As the water is available and suitable for birds, suitable nesting and perching structures may be arranged in and around water bodies.</li> <li>5. At some areas, huge infestation of weed is noticed and no desired tree growth is observed. Hence, broadcasting of forestry seeds as well as grass seeds, may be taken up to attract wild life also in inaccessible areas. Therefore, a Separate wildlife mitigation measures may be required and the same shall be borne by the User Agency.</li> <li>6. In proposed CA, some of the area is heavily infested with Prosopis Juliflora (Sarkar Thumma), hence uprootal of said species may be taken up with a proposal to fill the area with gap planting or SMM plantation wherever viable gaps are existing.</li> <li>7. As per sample plot data, it is observed that, most of the species present in the CA area are Non forestry species only like Seemathangedu, Peltopharum, Subabul, Gulmohar and Edakulapala etc., Hence, it is required to be prepare CA Scheme for equal area of forest area diversion in degraded forest area by Forest Department and the same shall be borne by the User Agency.</li> <li>8. As the area is vast to protect all over, boundary pillars shall be constructed all along the periphery of proposed CA area and suitable location boards and awareness boards may be arranged for clear demarcation of the proposed CA area.</li> <li>9. Some areas in the proposed CA land are partially encroached near the fringes and in one area, Dumping yard is also observed during the field visit. This may be taken into consideration for effective area calculation and road is being utilized to go to Cheemalapadu village. Hence, necessary decision may be taken in this regard.</li> </ol> <p>As per the Hand book of under F(C) Act, 1980, F(C) Rules, 2003, (amendments and File No.PCCF-FC4/FC29/1/2022-FCA SECTION I/96166/2022 578 clarifications), issued in F.No.5-2/2017-FC, Dt.28.03.2019, and amendments issued therein the Non-forest CA land is not qualifying the criteria of para 2.4(ix), hence the plantation has to be raised over degraded forest land as per para 2.4(vi) &amp; (vii).</p> <p>Accordingly, the DFO, Mahabubabad has prepared the CA scheme with financial outlay of Rs.2063.005 Lakhs with 10 years of maintenance to an extent of 304.00 Ha. The degraded forest land to an extent of 152.00 ha is selected for raising CA for this project. An amount of Rs.1031.5025 Lakhs shall be utilized for this project</p>

	to an extent of 152.00 ha. The details of degraded forest CA land selected are as follows:						
	<b>Sl. No</b>	<b>Range</b>	<b>Beat</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Block</b>	<b>Compt No.</b>	<b>Net area available</b>
	1	Gangaram	Kamaram	Mamidiguda	Chinthaguda	925, 912 & 911	65.66
	2		Jangalapally (North)	Komatlaguda	Chinthaguda	913, 898 & 913	65.39
	3		Mamidiguda (West)	mamidiguda	Chinthaguda	928 & 923	25.22
						<b>Total</b>	<b>156.27</b>
20.	SZA Scheme			Will be prepared after Stage-I			
21.	Wildlife Mitigation measures for the proposal falling in PA's and its ESZ, if any.			An amount of <b>Rs.342.05 Lakhs</b> towards Wildlife mitigation plan has been deposited in the BIOSAT account of Telangana.			
22.	RoFR certificates in Form-I & II for linear and Non-linear projects.			Required. Process has been initiated by SCCL.			
23.	Inspection date of FDO/DFO			20.09.2021.			
24.	Recommendation of FDO /DFO			The FDO, Yellandu has recommended the project in Part-II stating that Chowsingha, Wild boar, Monitor Lizard, Jungle cats, Forest Cats, Rabbits and reptiles etc., are found, the chance of wild animals entering and falling in the OC mine cannot be ruled out. Hence, it is suggested to have chain link fence all around the diverted area and bio-fence like bamboo brakes of 100m width all along the perimeter having forest File No.PCCF-FC4/FC29/1/2022-FCA SECTION I/96166/2022 574 interface which will minimize the damage to wildlife at the cost of UA. The said chain-link and bio-fencing around the diverted area has to be executed by the user agency based on the specifications (design, when and how to execute) given by the forest department. Further, 150 trees out of 344 trees with girth 60-90 cm, 90-120 cm and above 120 cm are identified by the forest department for translocation to the nearest forest area and the cost towards the translocation are to be borne by the UA.			
25.	Recommendation of CF			Yes			
26.	Recommendation of PCCF			Yes			
27.	Recommendation of State Govt			Yes			

**Discussions:**

<b>Deliberation:</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Initially, the proposal has been forwarded to State govt with recommendation of PCCF on 26.04.2022 and the State govt has forwarded the proposal to GoI, MoEF &amp; CC, New Delhi on 06.06.2022 but uploaded on 25.06.2022.</li> <li>2. Later, the GoI, MoEF &amp; CC, New Delhi has 27.07.2022 requested to resubmit the proposal as per new provisions and procedures mentioned in the Forest (Conservation) Rules, 2022.</li> <li>3. Further, the GoI, MoEF &amp; CC, New Delhi on 14.11.2022 has issued a guideline on processing of the proposals. For the Proposals, already submitted in the PARIVESH 2.0 at various levels, may be processed in offline mode by the processing authorities of the State/UT concerned following the statutory process provided in the Forest (Conservation) Rules, 2022.</li> <li>4. As per the new F(C)Rules, 2022, the proposal shall be recommended in the PSC meeting for recommending to the GoI/SG.</li> <li>5. The committee examined the proposal in detail and the the User Agency has made a detailed presentation on this project.</li> <li>6. Out of existing mines of Yellandu 21 incline underground mine has already been closed during 2019-20 and another mine JK OC 5 is also going to be closed by April 2023. In the absence of new mine there will be no mining in Yellandu. Proposed Jawahar Khani Open Cast (JK OC) Mine is planned to produce 2.5 MTPA(peak 3.5 MTPA) by extraction of the left out reserves of No. 21 Incline in opencast method. OB excavated will be dumped in JK-5 OC Mine voids ( non forest land) up to 5<sup>th</sup> year.</li> <li>7. Coal from the Jawahar Khani Opencast Mine will be supplied to nearby Power Plants of Telangana State i.e., KTPS, Palvoncha and BTPS, Manuguru and other thermal power plants</li> <li>8. Total Project area is 1114.507 Ha, Out of which 213.077 Ha is forest land and 901.4993 Ha is Non-forest land.</li> <li>9. Out of 213.0077 Ha, an area of 151.8519 Ha is proposed for surface rights and balance 61.156 Ha will continue with UG rights.</li> <li>10. Further, 151.8519 Ha land proposed diversion , an extent of 106.304 Ha is proposed for surface rights out of 124.96 Ha which was already diverted for UG rights and 46.565 Ha of fresh diversion (During inspection, it has been claimed by the forest department, as it is in the possession of Forest department since 50 years with Timber depot).</li> <li>11. SCCL has deposited an amount of Rs. 3,91,12,480/- towards 50% of NPV charges through RTGS against Challan No. SBHYR52017031800004815, in to the CAMPA account Number 15570MIN48372013498, IFSC No. CORP000663 of Corporation Bank, Mission road, Bangalore -506627. for 124.96 Ha. Which includes the area of 106.304 Ha. Balance 50 % of NPV for 106.304 Ha and 100 % NPV for 45.565 Ha shall be paid.</li> </ol>
----------------------	---

	<p>12. An amount of Rs.342.05 Lakhs has been remitted on 15.09.2022 through RTGS vide UTR No.SBINR 52022091905236839 to, BIOSOT A/c No. 110310100030284, Union Bank of India, Secretariat Branch, Hyderabad.</p> <p>13. Further, SZA scheme proposals to an extent of 6.00 Ha is included in the 152.00 Ha CA scheme proposal identified in Mahabubabad District.</p>
<b>Recommendation:</b>	<p>After detailed deliberation on the proposal and discussion with the representative of the User Agency duly examining the recommendations of DFO and Conservator of Forests for proposed area for diversion and area for CA-NFL(OB dump) including CA-DFL, the committee <b>RECOMMENDED</b> the proposal for diversion of 151.8517 Ha of forest land falling in Yellandu division for proposed Jawahar Khani OC project duly inspecting the site by the Nodal Officer(FCA).</p>

**Following participants attended through VC and In-person for the above subject proposal.**

<b>Members</b>	
<b>Conservator of Forests (Bhadradri Kothagudem) (V.C)</b>	<b>Addl. District Collector (Bhadradri Kothagudem district) (V.C)</b>
<b>District Forest Officer (Bhadradri Kothagudem) (V.C)</b>	<p>1) Sri Surendra Pandey, Advisor, SCCL (In-person)</p> <p>2) General Manager Yellandu, SCCL, (In-Person)</p>

**Agenda-4**

**Name of the Proposal:** Diversion of 15.2105 Ha of forest land for construction of New BG Railway line from Manorahabad to Kothapalli in Rajanna Sircilla Forest Division in Favour of South Central Railway

1.	<b><u>Online Proposal No.</u></b>	FP/TG/RAIL/400336/2022 applied in parivesh 2.0
2.	Name of the User Agency	South Central Railway
3.	Category of the Project	Railway Line
4.	Location details	Rajanna Sircilla Division
3.	Component wise breakup	15.2105 Ha - Laying of railway line
4.	Cost of the Project	
5.	Compensatory Afforestation details, if any	Yes, as per the guidelines the CA shall be raised in degraded forest land.
6.	Alternatives Examined, if any	-
7.	Falling in any Protected area, if any	No
8.	Environment Clearance, if any for the project	No

**Discussions:**

<b>Deliberation:</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The committee examined the proposal in detail and the User Agency has explained the project in detail and its importance. The proposal is pursued in the PMG.</li> <li>2. Few questions came for discussion about the legal status of the RF land, where the diversion area is proposed. The DFO has informed that the area is falling in Chandragiri-II RF, however it is once again requested to check with the allied records.</li> <li>3. UA has informed that there is no other alternative examined, except the present route.</li> <li>4. Further requested UA to submit the CBA, Cross section diagram, Total alignment of the project.</li> </ol>
<b>Recommendation:</b>	<p>After detailed deliberation on facts of the proposal and discussion with the representative of the User Agency and the PCCF(FCA), the committee agreed for the proposal to be considered for submitting the required information to the DFO as expeditiously as possible for processing the proposal duly furnishing information on following issues.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. CBA as per guidelines.</li> <li>2. Cross section diagram.</li> <li>3. An undertaking that there is no other alternative examined, except the present proposed area</li> <li>4. Total alignment of the project.</li> </ol> <p><b>The following observations were made in the online proposal which are to be updated by the UA.</b></p> <p><b>Under CAF tab -&gt; Other category.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The govt order or policy shall be uploaded.</li> </ol> <p><b>Under Proposal tab -&gt; proposed land category,</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. View location details for the kml file shall be updated</li> <li>2. Forest area for kml file and Total area shall be updated.</li> <li>3. CBA shall be uploaded.</li> </ol>

**Following participants attended through VC for the above subject proposal.**

<b>Members</b>	
<b>Conservator of Forests (Rajanna)</b>	<b>Dy.CE, Nizamabad, South Central Railway (User Agency)</b>
<b>District Forest Officer (Rajanna Sircilla)</b>	-----

**Agenda-5**

**Name of the Proposal:** Diversion of **128.0565 ha** of forest land in Manuguru and Bhadrachalam forest division for Sitamma Sagar Multipurpose project in Bhadradri Kothagudem District, Telangana State in favour of Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Kothagudem.



1.	<b>Online Proposal No</b>	SW/286920/2022 applied in parivesh 1.0 after 28.06.2022															
2.	Name of the User Agency	I & CAD Department															
3.	Category of the Project	Irrigation															
4.	Location details	Total – 128.0565 Ha <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Division Name</th> <th>Forest Land in Ha</th> <th>Non-Forest CA land in Ha</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Manuguru</td> <td>78.9702</td> <td>367.4369</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bhadrachalam</td> <td>48.1560</td> <td>897.0908</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Total</b></td> <td><b>128.0565</b></td> <td><b>1264.5277</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Division Name	Forest Land in Ha	Non-Forest CA land in Ha	Manuguru	78.9702	367.4369	Bhadrachalam	48.1560	897.0908	<b>Total</b>	<b>128.0565</b>	<b>1264.5277</b>			
Division Name	Forest Land in Ha	Non-Forest CA land in Ha															
Manuguru	78.9702	367.4369															
Bhadrachalam	48.1560	897.0908															
<b>Total</b>	<b>128.0565</b>	<b>1264.5277</b>															
5.	Component wise breakup	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Division Name</th> <th>Component</th> <th>Extent in Ha</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="3">Manuguru</td> <td>Guide bund along river Godavari on Right side</td> <td>10.2789</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Guide bund on Left Side Streams</td> <td>68.6913</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Total</b></td> <td><b>78.9702</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Bhadrachalam</td> <td>Guide bund on Left Side Streams</td> <td>48.156</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Total</b></td> <td><b>48.156</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Division Name	Component	Extent in Ha	Manuguru	Guide bund along river Godavari on Right side	10.2789	Guide bund on Left Side Streams	68.6913	<b>Total</b>	<b>78.9702</b>	Bhadrachalam	Guide bund on Left Side Streams	48.156	<b>Total</b>	<b>48.156</b>
Division Name	Component	Extent in Ha															
Manuguru	Guide bund along river Godavari on Right side	10.2789															
	Guide bund on Left Side Streams	68.6913															
	<b>Total</b>	<b>78.9702</b>															
Bhadrachalam	Guide bund on Left Side Streams	48.156															
	<b>Total</b>	<b>48.156</b>															
6.	Cost of the Project	3481.90 Crores															
7.	Compensatory Afforestation details, if any	Yes, Non-forest CA land has been identified in Bhadrachalam District. Details are Shown in Table-I.															
8.	Alternatives Examined, if any	-															
9.	Falling in any Protected area, if any	No															
10.	Environment Clearance, if any for the project	ToR obtained vide MOEFF &CC, GOI file no J-12011/O3/2022 –IA-I(R) dated 29.06.2022. EIA/EMP studies are under progress and necessary EC Clearance will be obtained															

**Table-I:****Compensatory Afforestation Details:**

Id	Division	Sy no	Village	Mandal	Area_Ha
1	Yellandu	380	Lingagudem	Gundala	3.1233
2	Yellandu	381/1/P	Lingagudem	Gundala	3.7905
3	Yellandu	170/P	Bethapudi	Tekulapalli	4.9506
4	Yellandu	999/1/P	Sulanagar	Tekulapalli	5.8689
5	Yellandu	96	Adaviramavaram-A	Allapally	20.0133
6	Yellandu	96	Adaviramavaram-B	Allapally	2.0301
<b>Yellandu Division Total</b>					<b>39.7767</b>
7	Kothagudem	119/2	Padamati Narsapauram	Julurupadu	24.2729

8	Kothagudem	120/P	Gumpena	Annapureddi Palli	6.4005
9	Kothagudem	19/1	Peddireddigudem	Annapureddi Palli	24.0608
<b>Kothagudem Division Total</b>					<b>54.7342</b>
10	Paloncha	63/2	Nacharam	Dammapeta	3.3707
11	Paloncha	47/1	Katukuru	Dammapeta	9.3418
<b>Paloncha Division Total</b>					<b>12.7125</b>
12	Bhadrachalam	30	Marrigudem	Dummagudem	6.1217
13	Manuguru	888	Janampeta	Pinapaka	4.0168
<b>Grand Total</b>					<b>117.3619</b>

**Discussions:**

<b>Deliberation:</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The committee examined the proposal in detail and the User Agency has explained the project in detail and its importance.</li> <li>2. Few questions came for discussion about the CAT plan, alternative examined for the project, Requirement of balance CA.</li> <li>3. UA has informed that the CAT plan is required for the project and will be submitted by the UA. Further there is no other alternative examined, except the present proposed area for which the UA agreed to submit an undertaking .</li> <li>4. The Addl.Collector, Bhadradi has informed that balance CA area will be identified in the district.</li> <li>5. The DFO has informed that the most of the areas identified by the UA are below 10.00 ha and 5.00 Ha CA which are isolated patches. The PCCF(FCA) has requested the Addl.Collector, Bhadradi to identify the patches more than 10.00 ha.</li> <li>6. Further, the PCCF(FCA) has informed that as per new F(C)Rules,2022 if the area is less than 5.00 Ha, the CA scheme shall be maintained for 20 years due to which there may be increased cost for UA.</li> <li>7. The DFO informed that the earlier tiger movement has been noticed, WL mitigation measures will be prepared while processing the proposals.</li> </ol>
<b>Recommendation:</b>	<p>After detailed deliberation on facts of the proposal and discussion with the representative of the User Agency and the PCCF(FCA), the committee agreed for the proposal to be considered for submitting the required information to the DFO as expeditiously as possible for processing the proposal duly furnishing information on following issues.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. CAT plan.</li> <li>2. An undertaking that there is no other alternative examined, except the present proposed area</li> <li>3. CA blocks of bigger patches if available.</li> <li>4. (16) Booklets shall be submitted to the DFO, eight for each division.</li> </ol>

**Following participants attended through VC for the above subject proposal.**

<b>Members</b>	
<b>Conservator of Forests (Bhadradi)</b>	<b>Addl. District Collector (Bhadradi Kothagudem district)</b>
<b>District Forest Officer (Bhadradi Kothagudem)</b>	<b>Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Kothagudem, (User Agency)</b>

**Agenda-6**

**Name of the Proposal:** Diversion of 13.9614 Ha of forest land in Mancherial and Chennur division for Laying of 33KV overhead transmission line adjacent to the right side of Nizamabad to Jagdalpur section of NH-63 (old NH-16) & old NH-63 (reverted reach ODR) from SCCL-STPP 10MW (AC) floating solar PV power plant to TSTRANSCO 132/33KV substation, Kistampet (Chennur) in favour of General Manager (E&M) STPP-SCCL 2x600MW Singareni Thermal Power Plant, Jaipur (V&M) in Mancherial District.

1.	<b><u>Online Proposal No.</u></b>	FP/TG/TRANS/152781/2022 applied in parivesh 2.0
2.	Name of the User Agency	M/s.SCCL
3.	Category of the Project	Transmission Line
4.	Location details	Mancherial division – 10.9856 Ha Chennur division – 2.9758 Ha
5.	Component wise breakup	13.9614 Ha - Laying of Transmission line
6.	Cost of the Project	55.00 crores
7.	Compensatory Afforestation details, if any	Yes, as per the guidelines the CA shall be raised in degraded forest land.
8.	Alternatives Examined, if any	-
9.	Falling in any Protected area, if any	No
10.	Environment Clearance, if any for the project	No

**Discussions:**

<b>Deliberation:</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The committee examined the proposal in detail and the User Agency has made a detailed presentation on this project.</li> <li>2. UA has informed that the proposed area is falling with RoW of NH-63, an NoC shall be obtained from the R&amp;B, NH.</li> <li>3. There is no other alternative examined, except the present proposed area, an undertaking will be submitted by the UA to the DFO.</li> <li>4. UA has submitted the Cross section diagram and Total alignment of the project.</li> <li>5. The DFO has already inspected the area and enumeration is under progress.</li> </ol>
<b>Recommendation:</b>	After detailed deliberation on facts of the proposal and discussion with the representative of the User Agency and

	<p>the PCCF(FCA), the committee agreed for the proposal to be considered for submitting the required information to the DFO as expeditiously as possible for processing the proposal duly furnishing information on following issues:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. An undertaking that there is no other alternative examined, except the present proposed area.</li> <li>2. NoC shall be obtained from the R&amp;B, NH as the area is under RoW.</li> </ol>
--	---

**Following participants attended through VC and In-person for the above subject proposal.**

Members	
Conservator of Forests (Kawal Tiger Reserve) (V.C)	Addl. District Collector (Bhadradi Kothagudem district) (V.C)
District Forest Officer (Mancherial) (V.C)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sri Surendra Pandey, Advisor, SCCL. (In-person)</li> <li>2. Sri N V K Viswayadha Raju, Chief (E&amp;M), Power Projects Division, SCCL. (In-Person)</li> </ol>

### Agenda-7

**Name of the Proposal:** Widening to two lane with paved shoulders of 'Medak-Siddipet-Elkathurthy' section from km 0/000 to km 69/978 of NH-765 DG on EPC mode in Medak District.

1.	Online Proposal No.	FP/TG/ROAD/150016/2021 applied in Parivesh 1.0 dt:08.12.2021 & FP/TG/ROAD/405319/2022 applied in Parivesh 2.0 dt:04.11.2022																																																																		
2.	Name of the User Agency	MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT & HIGHWAYS (MoRTH)																																																																		
3.	Category of the Project	Road																																																																		
4.	Location details	Medak- 9.3555 ha																																																																		
5.	Component wise breakup	<p>As per part-II, details are as Follows:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. no</th> <th>Name of the Range</th> <th>Name of the RF</th> <th>Length</th> <th>Width</th> <th>Area (In Ha)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Ramayampet</td> <td>Pathoor</td> <td>620.00</td> <td>20.000</td> <td>1.2400</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Ramayampet</td> <td>Pathoor</td> <td>349.940</td> <td>30.000</td> <td>1.0498</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;"><b>Sub Total:</b></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><b>2.2898</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Ramayampet</td> <td>Thonigandla</td> <td>2100</td> <td>20.000</td> <td>4.2000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Ramayampet</td> <td>Thonigandla</td> <td>1.400</td> <td>30.000</td> <td>0.0042</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;"><b>Sub Total:</b></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><b>4.2042</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>Ramayampet</td> <td>Akkannapet</td> <td>750.000</td> <td>20.000</td> <td>1.5000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td>Ramayampet</td> <td>Akkannapet</td> <td>453.834</td> <td>30.000</td> <td>1.3615</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;"><b>Sub Total:</b></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><b>2.8615</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;"><b>Grand Total:</b></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><b>9.3555</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. no	Name of the Range	Name of the RF	Length	Width	Area (In Ha)	1	Ramayampet	Pathoor	620.00	20.000	1.2400	2	Ramayampet	Pathoor	349.940	30.000	1.0498	<b>Sub Total:</b>					<b>2.2898</b>	3	Ramayampet	Thonigandla	2100	20.000	4.2000	4	Ramayampet	Thonigandla	1.400	30.000	0.0042	<b>Sub Total:</b>					<b>4.2042</b>	5	Ramayampet	Akkannapet	750.000	20.000	1.5000	6	Ramayampet	Akkannapet	453.834	30.000	1.3615	<b>Sub Total:</b>					<b>2.8615</b>	<b>Grand Total:</b>					<b>9.3555</b>
Sl. no	Name of the Range	Name of the RF	Length	Width	Area (In Ha)																																																															
1	Ramayampet	Pathoor	620.00	20.000	1.2400																																																															
2	Ramayampet	Pathoor	349.940	30.000	1.0498																																																															
<b>Sub Total:</b>					<b>2.2898</b>																																																															
3	Ramayampet	Thonigandla	2100	20.000	4.2000																																																															
4	Ramayampet	Thonigandla	1.400	30.000	0.0042																																																															
<b>Sub Total:</b>					<b>4.2042</b>																																																															
5	Ramayampet	Akkannapet	750.000	20.000	1.5000																																																															
6	Ramayampet	Akkannapet	453.834	30.000	1.3615																																																															
<b>Sub Total:</b>					<b>2.8615</b>																																																															
<b>Grand Total:</b>					<b>9.3555</b>																																																															
6.	Cost of the Project	900.00 Crores																																																																		
7.	Compensatory Afforestation details, if any	Yes, as per the guidelines the CA shall be raised in degraded forest land in double the extent of forest land proposed for diversion.																																																																		
8.	Alternatives Examined, if any	Existing road is proposed for widening																																																																		

9.	Falling in any Protected area, if any	Not falling in any PA, but it is a part of ESZ of Pocharam WLS. Approval of the monitoring committee meeting under the chairmanship of Dist Collector, NZB stating that there is no objection for the execution of the work is enclosed.
10	Environment Clearance, if any for the project	No
<b>Diversion area particulars by the DFO/CF</b>		
11	Legal Status of Forests	1. <b>Pathoor RF:</b> Notified U/S 4 vide. G.O Ms No.56, dt. 11.02.1969. compt no: 118 2. <b>Thonigandla (Part of pocharam ESZ) RF:</b> Notified U/S 8 vide. G.O Ms No.393, dt. 12 date of 1349 year F. compt no: 115, 116 3. <b>Akkannapet RF:</b> Notified U/s 18 vide 12 G.O Ms No.13, dt. 15 date of 1349 year F. compt no: 120, 121
12	Density of Vegetation	<b>Dry mixed Forest 0.4 to 0.6</b>
13	No of trees proposed to be felled / Translocation of trees proposed	5402 No's of trees / poles
14	Vulnerability of the forest area to erosion	District Forest Officer reported that the area is not much prone for soil erosion
15	Whether any rare/endangered/ unique species of flora and fauna found	No
16	Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/ No).	No
17	Compensatory Afforestation Scheme and details	CA Degraded Forest Land is prepared, as the Project is MoRTH, Government of India. <b>DFL area is proposed to an Extent of 20 Ha in Compt no: 143,144, Komatpally of Ramayanpet Range Reserve Forest for an financial outlay of Rs.200.93/- Lakhs for a period of 7-10 years of maintenance.</b>
18	Wildlife Mitigation measures for the proposal falling in PA's and its ESZ, if any.	WL Mitigaion Measure is prepared with a financial outlay of Rs.91.930 Lakhs.
19	RoFR ceritificates in Form-I & II for linear and Non-linear projects.	District Collector, Medak District vide Ir.No.D1/4363/2021 dated: 23.05.2022 has issued Certificate in Form-I (for linear projects), along with the minutes of the DLC, SDLC, and certificate issued by Tashildar and RDO.
20	Inspection date of DFO	06.02.2022
21	Recommendation of DFO	The proposal is recommended subject to the following conditions. 1. The felling of Trees may be restricted to the extent

		<p>only where is necessary to remove.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Work shall be taken up only during the day time ie. After sunrise to sunset only.</li> <li>3. No damage shall be done to the vegetation areas forest area beyond the area diverted for the purpose.</li> <li>4. The felled material (Trees, Fuel timber and pulp if any) is the property of the department and the UA shall bear the felling, Transportation charges, etc.,</li> <li>5. The UA shall bear cost towards raising of Multi-Layer avenue plantation as per the scheme prepared by the Forest Department</li> </ol>
22	Recommendation of CF	Yes

**Discussions:**

<b>Deliberation:</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. User Agency i.e., DEE, R&amp;B NH Division has made a detailed presentation of the project.</li> <li>2. The committee examined the issue in detailed and noticed that the User Agency has proposed for diversion of forest land duly excluding the width of the existing road of 10.00 M.</li> <li>3. Chairman &amp; Prl. Chief Conservator of Forests (FCA) has enquired with the District Forest Officer and Conservator of Forests w.r.t the existing width of the road RoW and they have confirmed that the said road is passing through 3 blocks, of which in certain blocks notification as RoW is admitted without mentioning the width admitted.</li> <li>4. The Committee has requested the Conservator of Forests, Rajanna Circle, Siddipet to re-examine the issue and re-submit the proposal which were returned by this office by 25.11.2022.</li> </ol>
<b>Recommendation:</b>	<p>After detailed deliberation on the proposal and discussion with the representative of the User Agency duly examining the recommendations of DFO and Conservator of Forests, the committee <b>RECOMMENDED</b> the proposal for forwarding to RO Hyderabad .</p>

**Following Participants attended through VC and In-person for the above subject proposal.**

<b>Members</b>	
<b>Conservator of Forests (Rajanna) (V.C)</b>	<b>Revenue Divisional Officer (Medak) (V.C)</b>
<b>District Forest Officer (Medak) (V.C)</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Sri Srinivas, Superintending Engineer, R &amp; B, NH, Hyderabad (V.C)</li> <li>2) Sri K Rama Krishna, Dy.Executive Engineer R&amp;B, NH, (In-Person)</li> </ol>

**Agenda-8**

**Name of the Proposal:** For construction of BT road from **Eturnagaram to Tupakulagudem** from kkm 0/0 to 40/5 in Eturnagaram Mandal of WLM Eturnagaram Division of Mulugu District.

1.	Online Proposal No	FP/TG/ROAD/53572/2020 applied in parivesh 1.0																																
2.	Name of the User Agency	<b>District R&amp;B Officer (Executive Engineer), Mulugu District</b>																																
3.	Category of the Project	Road																																
4.	Location details	Construction of BT road from <b>Eturnagaram to Tupakulagudem</b> from kkm 0/0 to 40/5 in Eturnagaram Mandal of WLM Eturnagaram Division of Mulugu District																																
3.	Component wise breakup	Length - <b>23427.84</b> mts Width -9.00 mts <b>Total area - 21.0851</b> HA																																
4.	Cost of the Project	3725.48Lakhs																																
5.	Compensatory Afforestation details, if any	Yes, the User Agency has identified non-forest land to an extent of Non-forest land to an extent of <b>55.62 Ha</b> in Sy.No.230 of Kothur Village of Mulugu Mandal and District is handed over to the FRO, Mulugu, which is under the jurisdiction of District Forest Officer, Mulugu.																																
6.	Alternatives Examined, if any	Existing road is proposed for improvement																																
7.	Falling in any Protected area, if any	Yes, Eturnagaram Wildlife Sanctuary.																																
8.	Environment Clearance, if any for the project	No																																
<b>Diversion area particulars by the DFO/CF</b>																																		
9.	Legal Status of Forests	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No.</th> <th>Name of the Forest Block</th> <th>Notified U/s</th> <th>Gazette Number</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Tadvai</td> <td>15</td> <td>G.O. Ms. No. 72, Dt. 04.02.1955</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Chityal</td> <td>15</td> <td>G.O. Ms. No. 681, Dt. 27.07.1355 F</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Singaram South</td> <td>15</td> <td>G.O. Ms. No. 2488, Dt. 12.11.1960</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>Andukupally Extn</td> <td>15</td> <td>G.O. Ms. No. 687F&amp;A (For.III) Dept, Dt. 11.07.1973</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>Padigapur Extn</td> <td>15</td> <td>G.O. Ms. No. 1177F&amp;A (For.III) Dept, Dt. 18.04.1964F</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td>Rajannapeta</td> <td>15</td> <td>G.O. Ms. No. 834 F&amp;A Development (For.III) Dept, Dt. 08.11.1977</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td>Tupakulaquda Extn</td> <td>15</td> <td>G.O. Ms. No. 1927</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No.	Name of the Forest Block	Notified U/s	Gazette Number	1.	Tadvai	15	G.O. Ms. No. 72, Dt. 04.02.1955	2.	Chityal	15	G.O. Ms. No. 681, Dt. 27.07.1355 F	3.	Singaram South	15	G.O. Ms. No. 2488, Dt. 12.11.1960	4.	Andukupally Extn	15	G.O. Ms. No. 687F&A (For.III) Dept, Dt. 11.07.1973	5.	Padigapur Extn	15	G.O. Ms. No. 1177F&A (For.III) Dept, Dt. 18.04.1964F	6.	Rajannapeta	15	G.O. Ms. No. 834 F&A Development (For.III) Dept, Dt. 08.11.1977	7.	Tupakulaquda Extn	15	G.O. Ms. No. 1927
Sl. No.	Name of the Forest Block	Notified U/s	Gazette Number																															
1.	Tadvai	15	G.O. Ms. No. 72, Dt. 04.02.1955																															
2.	Chityal	15	G.O. Ms. No. 681, Dt. 27.07.1355 F																															
3.	Singaram South	15	G.O. Ms. No. 2488, Dt. 12.11.1960																															
4.	Andukupally Extn	15	G.O. Ms. No. 687F&A (For.III) Dept, Dt. 11.07.1973																															
5.	Padigapur Extn	15	G.O. Ms. No. 1177F&A (For.III) Dept, Dt. 18.04.1964F																															
6.	Rajannapeta	15	G.O. Ms. No. 834 F&A Development (For.III) Dept, Dt. 08.11.1977																															
7.	Tupakulaquda Extn	15	G.O. Ms. No. 1927																															

			F&A (For.III) Dept. Dt. 15.09.1970
10	Density of Vegetation	<b>0.0 to 0.1</b>	
11	No of trees proposed to be felled / Translocation of trees proposed	176 +18 trees & poles are proposed to be felled in the area proposed for diversion	
12	Vulnerability of the forest area to erosion	Location is Vulnerability to erosion as small are present. Soil Conservation Plan for a financial outlay of Rs.65.480 Lakhs	
13	Whether any rare/endangered/ unique species of flora and fauna	No	
14	Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/ No).	No	
15	Compensatory Afforestation Scheme and details	<p>It is further informed that out of the 55.62 Ha only 10.00 Ha is suitable for planting as the area is undulating and filled with rocky patches. Therefore the CA scheme is prepared for 10.00 Ha in NFL and the balance 45.62 Ha areas is proposed in Degraded Forest Land areas of Compt.No.7035 Brahmanpally (5.23 Ha), 67 of Dameda-A (10.70 Ha), Man-56 of Dameda-B (13.10 Ha), Man-52 of Thimmapur (8.44 Ha) and Man-54 of Brahmanpally (10.60 Ha) of Mangapet Range of Tadvai Range. Site Suitability Certificate is enclosed herewith.</p> <p>It is further submitted that the moment of wildlife i.e., Neelgai, 4 horn Antelope, Bison etc., are noticed in the CA land and which is near to the Pakhal WL Sanctuary.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Compensatory Afforestation schemes for raising with 8 years of maintenance in NFL for an extent of 10.00 Ha in LIM method is prepared with a financial outlay of Rs.59.76527 Lakhs.</li> <li>2. CA scheme in degraded forest land is prepared with a financial outlay of Rs.272.64915 Lakhs. Apart from the above, the following is also proposed:</li> <li>3. SMC works for Rs.35.00 Lakhs.</li> <li>4. Protection Structures Rs.170.88375 Lakhs.</li> <li>5. Monitoring &amp; Misc – 58.5500 Lakhs.</li> <li>6. Administrative Cost – 10% over core activities – 59.68482 Lakhs.</li> </ol>	
16	Wildlife Mitigation measures for the proposal falling in PA's and its ESZ, if any.	<p>Since, the area is falling in Eturunagaram WLS, the Wildlife mitigative measures are proposed for an amount of Rs.335.00 Lakhs.</p> <p>5<sup>th</sup> SBWL approved the project and submitted</p>	



		to NBWL for approval. Approval awaited.
18	RoFR certificates in Form-I & II for linear and Non-linear projects.	District Collector and Magistrate, Mulugu District vide Rc.No. ITDA/ROFR/63/2020, dt.____.01.2021,, as stipulated by the MoEF, GoI, New Delhi vide Lr.No.11-9/1998-FC (pt), Dt.03.08.2009 in the prescribed format in Form-I for forest land proposed for Diversion of 22.00 ha
19	Inspection date of DFO	02.07.2022
20	Recommendation of DFO	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Provide funds to Forest Department for established Environment Educational Centre cum Eturnagaram Wildlife sanctuary complex with snake, animal rescue centre equips with prevailing standards - 80 Lakhs.</li> <li>2. A, B, C and D mitigation measures suggested below enclosed.</li> </ol>
21	Recommendation of CF	Yes

**Discussions:**

<b>Deliberation:</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The committee examined the proposal in detail and the User Agency has explained the project in detail.</li> <li>2. It was explained by the User Agency that the proposal in a complete shape has already been submitted to the Prl. Chief Conservator of Forests office. However, the proposals were returned to the User Agency / District Forest Officer with instructions to submit the same as per the provisions of FC Rules, 2022 by Prl. Chief Conservator of Forests office on 07.11.2022.</li> <li>3. However, as per the recent guidelines issued by the GoI vide Ir.dt.14.11.2022; the proposal can be processed in hardcopies, as there is some issue in the parviesh2.0 portal.</li> <li>4. As the area is falling in Protected Area, the DCF-WL, has confirmed that the SBWL has approved the project and the approval of the NBWL is awaited.</li> </ol>
<b>Recommendation:</b>	<p>After detailed deliberation on the proposal and discussion with the representative of the User Agency duly examining the recommendations of DFO and Conservator of Forests, the committee <b>RECOMMENDED</b> the proposal for forwarding to RO Hyderabad and requested the User Agency to submit the proposal on Parviesh 2.0 and also the CCF and District Forest Officer to upload the details on the said portal and submit hard copies by 25.11.2022.</p> <p>The CCF should ensure that the proposal in a complete shape is submitted.</p>

**Following participants attended through VC for the above subject proposal.**

Members	
Conservator of Forests (Kaleshwaram)	Addl.District Collector (Mulugu)
District Forest Officer (Mulugu)	Executive Engineer, R & B, Mulugu Dist (User Agency)

### **Agenda-9**

**Name of the Proposal:** For construction of BT road from **Chinnaboinapally to Kondai** road via Shapally Dodla and Malyal from Km 0/0 to 16/0 in Dodla Extn., Tadwai, Shapally Ext-II, Dodla Extn of WLM Eturnagaram Forest and Tadwai forest Divisions of Mulugu District in favour of District R&B Officer, Mulugu District

1.	Online Proposal No.	FP/TG/ROAD/57142/2020 applied in parivesh 1.0								
2.	Name of the User Agency	<b>District R&amp;B Officer (Executive Engineer), Mulugu District</b>								
3.	Category of the Project	Road								
4.	Location details	Construction of BT road from <b>Chinnaboinapally to Kondai</b> road via Shapally Dodla and Malyal from Km 0/0 to 16/0 in Dodla Extn., Tadwai, Shapally Ext-II, Dodla Extn of WLM Eturnagaram Forest and Tadwai forest Divisions of Mulugu District								
3.	Component wise breakup	Length - <b>11259</b> mts Width -7.00 mts <b>7.8813</b> HA								
4.	Cost of the Project	1973.97 Lakhs								
5.	Compensatory Afforestation details, if any	Yes, the User Agency has identified non-forest land to an extent of Non-forest land to an extent of <b>55.62 Ha</b> in Sy.No.230 of Kothur Village of Mulugu Mandal and District is handed over to the FRO, Mulugu, which is under the jurisdiction of District Forest Officer, Mulugu.								
6.	Alternatives Examined, if any	Existing road is proposed for improvement								
7.	Falling in any Protected area, if any	Yes, Eturnagaram Wildlife Sanctuary.								
8.	Environment Clearance, if any for the project	No								
<b>Diversion area particulars by the DFO/CF</b>										
9.	Legal Status of Forests	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No.</th> <th>Name of the Forest Block</th> <th>Notified U/s</th> <th>Gazette Number</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Tadvai</td> <td>15</td> <td>G.O. Ms. No. 72, Dt. 04.02.1955</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No.	Name of the Forest Block	Notified U/s	Gazette Number	1.	Tadvai	15	G.O. Ms. No. 72, Dt. 04.02.1955
Sl. No.	Name of the Forest Block	Notified U/s	Gazette Number							
1.	Tadvai	15	G.O. Ms. No. 72, Dt. 04.02.1955							

		2. Shapally Extn-II	15	G.O. Ms. No. 309 F&A Dept (FOR-III) dt. 19.07.1982,
		3. Dodla Extn	15	G.O. Ms. No. 996 F&A) For-III) Dept, Dt. 15.05.1970
10	Density of Vegetation	<b>0.4 to 0.7</b>		
11	No of trees proposed to be felled / Translocation of trees proposed	286 trees/poles are proposed to be felled in the area proposed for diversion		
12	Vulnerability of the forest area to erosion	Location is Vulnerability to erosion as small are present.		
13	Whether any rare/endangered/ unique species of flora and fauna	No		
14	Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/ No).	No		
15	Compensatory Afforestation Scheme and details	<p>It is further informed that out of the 55.62 Ha only 10.00 Ha is suitable for planting as the area is undulating and filled with rocky patches. Therefore the CA scheme is prepared for 10.00 Ha in NFL and the balance 45.62 Ha areas is proposed in Degraded Forest Land areas of Compt.No.7035 Brahmanpally (5.23 Ha), 67 of Dameda-A (10.70 Ha), Man-56 of Dameda-B (13.10 Ha), Man-52 of Thimmapur (8.44 Ha) and Man-54 of Brahmanpally (10.60 Ha) of Mangapet Range of Tadvai Range. Site Suitability Certificate is enclosed herewith.</p> <p>It is further submitted that the moment of wildlife i.e., Neelgai, 4 horn Antelope, Bison etc., are noticed in the CA land and which is near to the Pakhal WL Sanctuary.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Compensatory Afforestation schemes for raising with 8 years of maintenance in NFL for an extent of 10.00 Ha in LIM method is prepared with a financial outlay of Rs.59.76527 Lakhs.</li> <li>2. CA scheme in degraded forest land is prepared with a financial outlay of Rs.272.64915 Lakhs. Apart from the above, the following is also proposed:</li> <li>3. SMC works for Rs.35.00 Lakhs.</li> <li>4. Protection Structures Rs.170.88375 Lakhs.</li> <li>5. Monitoring &amp; Misc - 58.5500 Lakhs.</li> <li>6. Administrative Cost - 10% over core activities - 59.68482 Lakhs.</li> </ol>		

16	Wildlife Mitigation measures for the proposal falling in PA's and its ESZ, if any.	Since, the area is falling in Eturunagaram WLS, the Wildlife mitigative measures are proposed for an amount of Rs.217.00 Lakhs. 4 <sup>th</sup> SBWL approved the project and submitted to NBWL for approval. Approval awaited.
18	RoFR certificates in Form-I & II for linear and Non-linear projects.	District Collector and Magistrate, Mulugu District vide Rc.No. ITDA/ROFR/63/2020, dt.10.02.2021, , as stipulated by the MoEF, GoI, New Delhi vide Lr.No.11-9/1998-FC (pt), Dt.03.08.2009 in the prescribed format in Form-I for forest land proposed for Diversion of 10.133 <b>ha</b>
19	Inspection date of DFO	03.09.2022
20	Recommendation of DFO	A, B, C and D mitigation measures suggested below enclosed.
21	Recommendation of CF	Yes

**Discussions:**

<b>Deliberation:</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The committee examined the proposal in detail and the User Agency has explained the project in detail.</li> <li>2. It was explained by the User Agency that the proposal in a complete shape has already been submitted to the Prl. Chief Conservator of Forests office. However, the proposals were returned to the User Agency / District Forest Officer with instructions to submit the same as per the provisions of FC Rules, 2022 by Prl. Chief Conservator of Forests office on 07.11.2022.</li> <li>3. However, as per the recent guidelines issued by the GoI vide Ir.dt.14.11.2022; the proposal can be processed in hardcopies, as there is some issue in the parviesh2.0 portal.</li> <li>4. As the area is falling in Protected Area, the DCF-WL, has confirmed that the SBWL has approved the project and the approval of the NBWL is awaited.</li> </ol>
<b>Recommendation:</b>	<p>After detailed deliberation on the proposal and discussion with the representative of the User Agency duly examining the recommendations of DFO and Conservator of Forests, the committee <b>RECOMMENDED</b> the proposal for forwarding to RO Hyderabad and requested the User Agency to submit the proposal on Parviesh 2.0 and also the CCF and District Forest Officer to upload the details on the said portal and submit hard copies by 26.11.2022.</p> <p>The CCF should ensure that the proposal in a complete shape is submitted.</p>

**Following participants attended through VC for the above subject proposal.**

Members	
Conservator of Forests (Kaleshwaram)	Addl.District Collector (Mulugu)
District Forest Officer (Mulugu)	Executive Engineer, R & B, Mulugu Dist (User Agency)

### **Agenda-10**

**Name of the Proposal:** "Widening and Strengthening of existing 2 L +PS to 4 Land +PS from Km 150/0 to 159/0 & Km. 165/400 to 186/0 of Hyderabad to Bhoopalpatnam section of NH – 163 in favour of RO, MoRTH, Hyderabad in Mulugu District.

**Online Proposal No.** FP/TG/ROAD/147672/2021 applied in parivesh 1.0

1.	<b><u>Online Proposal No.</u></b>	FP/TG/ROAD/147672/2021 applied in parivesh 1.0																								
2.	Name of the User Agency	RO, MoRTH, Hyderabad																								
3.	Category of the Project	Road																								
4.	Component wise breakup	<p>As per the UA for widening &amp; strengthening of existing 2-lane with paved shoulders to 4-lane with paved shoulders out of 4.24 Km of road passing through RF on both sides as per the DGPS data Diversion of Forest land on both side of the road with proposed for formation is 27.00Mts.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No.</th> <th>Division/RF</th> <th>Length in M</th> <th>Widening on both or single side</th> <th>Width in M</th> <th>Area in Ha.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Mulugu</td> <td>3553.0</td> <td>Both sides</td> <td>27.0</td> <td>9.5931</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Mulugu</td> <td>687.23</td> <td>Both sides</td> <td>27.0</td> <td>1.8555</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="5" style="text-align: right;"><b>Total</b></td> <td><b>11.4486</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sl. No.	Division/RF	Length in M	Widening on both or single side	Width in M	Area in Ha.	1	Mulugu	3553.0	Both sides	27.0	9.5931	2	Mulugu	687.23	Both sides	27.0	1.8555	<b>Total</b>					<b>11.4486</b>
Sl. No.	Division/RF	Length in M	Widening on both or single side	Width in M	Area in Ha.																					
1	Mulugu	3553.0	Both sides	27.0	9.5931																					
2	Mulugu	687.23	Both sides	27.0	1.8555																					
<b>Total</b>					<b>11.4486</b>																					
6.	Cost of the Project	317.19 Cr																								

### **Discussions:**


<b><u>Deliberation:</u></b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposal was uploaded in the parviesh 1.0 portal.</li> <li>There is an issue w.r.t the proposed diversion area as to whether the area in question is forest land or non-forest land.</li> <li>The DFO, Mulugu Lr.No.1138/2021/TO, vide Ir.dt.11.07.2022 has informed that the District Collector, Mulugu is reporting that the land in question for which diversion under FC Act is applied by UA, is <b>not a forest</b></li> </ol>
-----------------------------	---

	<p><b>land and hence, requirement of FC Act clearance is not required.</b></p> <p>4. The DFO Mulugu has further informed that the Dist Collector vide Ir.dt.16.04.2022 has informed that the land in question is assigned to various land-less poor persons and administration of these lands are very much under the control of Revenue Department and there is no need of issuing RoFR certificate as the road is passing through Govt. assigned land.</p> <p>5. The FDO has addressed a letter to UA and informed that the land in question is falling in <b>Jakkaram - I RF</b> and not in revenue land and informed to not to carry out any work in violation, duly informing the provisions of various act and rules.</p> <p>6. On the above, the Addl. Collector, Mulugu (attended through video conference) has informed that the Govt. in the year 1951, has de-notified 1586 Acres of Forest Land and subsequently, in the year 1955, of the above land around 400 Acres is reverted to the Forest Department by the Dist Collector as the land is un-assignable.</p> <p>7. The forest land proposed for diversion in question, is a part of the 400 Acres which is reverted to the Forest Department by the Dist Collector in the year 1955.</p> <p>8. The District Forest Officer, Mulugu (attended through VC) has confirmed that the land in question is in possession of the Forest Department and provision of FCAAct is applicable to the said proposal.</p> <p>9. The Prl. Chief Conservator of Forests &amp; Nodal Officer (FCA) / Chairman of PSC, has brought to the notice of Addl. Collector, Mulugu the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court on deemed forest and also as the land is question is under the full control of FD, the provisions of FCAAct is applicable and requested to process the proposals., duly bringing the same to the notice of the Dist Collector, Mulugu.</p> <p>10. The Addl. Collector has informed the committee that a meeting with the Dist Collector alongwith District Forest Officer and all sister department is to be convened to resolve the issue.</p>
<b>Recommendation:</b>	After detailed deliberation, the committee has requested the UA to resolve the issue in consultation with DFO Mulugu and District Collector Mulugu and submit a report to this effect to the DFO Mulugu so that the proposal can be considered in next PSC meeting.


**Following participants attended through VC for the above subject proposal.**

<b>Members</b>	
<b>Conservator of Forests (Kaleshwaram)</b>	<b>Addl. District Collector (Mulugu)</b>
<b>District Forest Officer (Mulugu)</b>	<p>1) Sri Srinivas, Superintending Engineer, R &amp; B, NH, Hyderabad</p> <p>2) Executive Engineer, R &amp; B, (NH) Warangal, (User Agency)</p>

The Chairman thanked all the members of the committee and the representatives of the User Agencies who participated in the meeting. The meeting ended with vote of thanks.

Handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Srilakshmi', with the date '24/11/22' written below it.

**P.Srilakshmi, IFS  
Dy.CF (IT) & Member Secretary**

Handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'M.C. Pargaien', with the date '24.11.22' written below it.

**M.C.Pargaien., IFS  
PCCF (FCA) & Chairman**