OFFICE OF THE PCCF (HOFF), RAJASTHAN, JAIPUR

F 14()/2015/FCA/PCCF/ 184/

То

Date: 1314/2018

Additional Principle Chief Conservator of Forests (Central), Government of India, MOEF & CC Regional Office, Central Zone, Pancham Tal, Central Bhavan, Sector H Aliganj, Lucknow

Sub:- Diversion of 13.015 ha. of forest land in favor of NRSSXXXVI Transmission Limited, for construction of 400 KV D/C Babai (RRVPNL) to Bhiwani (PGCIL) Transmission Line in Jhunjhunu forest Division Rajasthan reg-Proposal No FP/RJ/Trans /28622/2017

Ref : - Your letter no 8B/Raj/04/11/2018/FC/21 Dated 10.04.2018

Dear Sir,

With reference to above mention subject compliance of your EDS dated 10.04.2018 is based on information provided by CCF/DCF/UA as follows.

SI.No	Compliance raised by Regional MoEF&CC	Compliance Report
1(a)	Hard copy of the proposal is without	As per User Agency, indexing the proposal and
	Index and Page Numbers have been	Page numbers have been mentioned on al
	provided by Pencil	pages.
1(b)	Several documents of the proposal mere	All the documents have been signed by the
	Xerox copies without authentication.	Authorized signatory of the User Agency which
		mainly includes approval letters of the project.
-		
2	As per A-3 XVII of Part I, signature of	Mr.Rajnish Mehrotra has been authorized by the
	Authorized person needs authentication	company directors to sign documents on behalf
	from competent authority for becoming	of NRSSXXXVI Transmission limited. The
	authorized signatory on behalf of User	Authorization letter already enclosed with
	agency.	proposal. A copy of same on present date is
7		being submitted once again.
3	Information provided at B-2.4,	As per MoEF&CC guidelines, the ROW for 400kV
	component wise breakup of land	D/C line is 46mtrs. All the activity will be done in
	requirement provides gross details.	the below area. In the Proposed area there are 7
	Details of forest land proposed for	towers which is to be erected. The area wise
	diversion on basis of project component	details for project proponent is as below:
	shall be provided.	Construction of 7 Nos. of structures : 0.4375Ha
		ROW of Transmission Line : 12.5775Ha
		Total Area : 13.015Ha
4	As per the Justification uploaded with the	As per DCF report, Total 13.015 ha. forest land
- *** ×	proposal at D-I in Part I where	proposed for diversion. Out of 13.015 hac.,
- 4	involvement of Wildlife reserve or	7.452 ha. forest land falls under Bansiyal Khetri
	Conservation area is clearly mentioned	Conservation reserve and 5.553 ha. falls in
	but the same vital facts are not reflected	protected forest of Khetri 48 A
a de Si	in Sr.No 8 of Part II specifically designed	
4.0	for Wildlife Significance. The issue needs	

	clarification. The Proposal may be	T3020
	segregated in two.	
5	The alternative provided at D-2(a) in Part I is incorrect. A slight change in alignment will avoid portion falling within Khetri PF which will reduce proposed diversion by 5.563Ha. This vital points Justification.	As per User Agency reply, The Proposed route is passing through the Khetri PF and it cannot be avoided as the substation where we need to connect the line is just behind the forest patch. Just after the forest patch there are No options for passing the line as there are villages and mining. We would have to go round the forest patch which would not make the project unfeasible. The forest patch of Kherti PF does
		not involve much flora and Funna hence not
6	Document from District Level committee for FRA 2006 have not been uploaded at K-1 (a) of part I of the proposal.	disturbing the environment and ecology. The District level community meeting proceeding for FRA 2006 was uploaded by DFO in Part II under additional Information Details SI.No 5. Original FRA certificate are enclosed.
7	As per GIS DSS analysis of KML files following points regarding proposed forest land for diversion and proposed	As per reply of User Agency, a. All the alignment and CA land details have been superimposed on Original
	compensatory afforestation need revision a. Original Toposheet from Survey of India need submission b. KML file have not been submitted in CD	Toposheet downloaded from Survey of India website. b. KMI file of single route, forest patch, 3 alternatives and Rinex data of DGPS conducted is already submitted to your good office. We are hereby submitting
	c. KML file of proposed forest land diversion when overlaid on Google Earth show a shift with Right of Way	once again. c. The KML file are as per DGPS report and are in accordance with Ground survey
		along with forest officials. There may be some variation as there is always some error in google maps and hence cannot be considered benchmark.
8	Additional document uploaded in Part I at Sr No 3 mentions diversion is of Road side PF which is obligatory and unavoidable whereas document at Sr.No D Part I are showing analysis of 3 alternatives. This is contradictory and need Clarification.	As per DCF Jhunjhunu report, There is No strip plantation along roads. The proposed Transmission Line is passing through Khetri 48 A PF and Khetri Bansiyal PF (Conservation Reserve) in Jhunjhunu district.
9	Detail Land Schedule with basis of area calculation of proposed forest diversion has not been uploaded and land schedule provided in hard copy is without details.	The area has been calculated after making a polygon for forest patch coming in 46Mtrs of ROW. At many places the forest area is encountered in corners of ROW and remaining id Non forest. In those cases multiplying length to RoW will not give correct figure.

			The present area considered is as per DGPS
	9.33		survey after preparing the polygon with corner
			points of the forest area affected.
			The Land Schedule submitted in Hard copies for
			the same reason contains area in Hectare.
	10 (A)	Online enumeration list shows negative	Online correction has been done by DCF. Hard
		value in column 0-30 cms girth class	copy is enclosed.
		which is incorrect.	
	10(B)	Diversion proposal for transmission line	Total 68 trees falling in the proposed
		needs submission of 2 enumeration list,	transmission line. Only 44 trees are to be felled.
		one for tree effected due to diversion and	List of trees are enclosed.
	н	other trees to be felled.	
	11	Compensatory afforestation has been	There was a guideline from MoEF&CC F.No.11-
		proposed on double degraded forest land	68/2014-FC(pt.) dated 11 th July 2014 which has
		which is not as per provision contained in	made amendment in FCA handbook para 3.2(vi)c
		FCA handbook chapter 3. This exemption	which extended the provision of Degraded
		from equivalent non forest is available to	forest land for all proposal for laying of
l		transmission lines upto 220kV (3.2.(vi)c.)	transmission Line.
		or central government undertaking 3.2 xi,	Hence for our case also, we have raised the
		which is not the case in this proposal as at	compensatory afforestation over degraded
		A-2(Xiii) of Part I it is mentioned that user	forest land over double the area.
		agency is Private.	
	12	Site Inspection report of DCF is without	Revised Site Inspection report uploaded online.
		mentioning the legal status of forest land	
		proposed for diversion	
	13	The proposal is without map showing	All the towers cannot be marked on toposheets
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	location of transmission towers on forest	as it is in the scale of 1:50000. But the towers in
		and Non forest land.	forest are already marked in the village maps
		x	which are attached in additional information
			details sl.no 9, 28, 29.
-			• 1 1000

Enclosed: As above

Your's sincerely

(A.K. Singh)

APCCF Protection & Nodal Officer FCA Rajasthan, Jaipur

Tel: 0141-2713760 M - 9414045146

F 14()/2017/FCA/PCCF/

Copy forwarded for:

1- Secretary (Forest), Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2- Chief Conservator of Forest, Jaipur

3- Deputy Conservator of Forest, Jhunjhunu.

4- NRSSXXXVI Transmision Limited, New Delhi

Date:

APCCF Protection & Nodal Officer FCA Rajasthan, Jaipur