## कार्यालय वन संरक्षक, गढ़वाल वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, पौड़ी



पत्रांक:— 🔏८० / 12−1 दिनांक, पौड़ी, सितम्बर, 💄 🙎 ,2017.

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी वन संरक्षण, इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड देहरादून।

विषय-

राज्य योजना (मु0मं0घो0) के अन्तर्गत जनपद—रुद्रप्रयाग के विधानसभा क्षेत्र केदारनाथ के विकासखण्ड अगस्त्यमुनि मणिगुह मोटर मार्ग से स्याल डोभा होते हुये खाली—खमोली तक मोटर मार्ग (0.3850 है0) के निर्माण हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग को वन भूमि हस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव। Proposal No- FP/UK/ROAD/16066/2015.

सन्दर्भ-

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, रूद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रूद्रप्रयाग का पत्रांक 630 / 12-1(2) दिनांक 07.09.2017.

महोदय,

उपयुक्त विषयक प्रकरण में वांच्छित आपत्तियों का निराकरण प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, रूद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रूद्रप्रयाग दारा किया गया है जो निम्न प्रकार हैं:

रूद्रप्रयागं द्वारा किया गया है जो निम्न प्रकार है:		
आपत्ति	निराकरण	
Component wise break up is not provided in online Part-I. The component wise break up should include area marked for various component viz. road, muck disposa etc.	Component wise break up filled in online  Part I para B-2.4 (Road construction,  Muck dumping)	
The village wise break up is not clear as the detail provided with the proposal of villages to be benefited are of 5 villagers but in village wise break up detail furnished only two villages and the area of forest land is also not mentioned against these two villages. The State Govt may review the same and provide correct detail for each village in village wise break up un onlne Part I	Five villages mentioned to be benefited from the proposal are under Revenue village i.e. Maniguh. The land proposed to be diverted belongs to revenue village Maniguh only which is filled in online Part I Para B.2.3.  Note- Syal Dobha, Khaliyon, Khali, Khamoli are Toks which comes under revenue village Maniguh.	
As per the villages to be benefited by the proposal includes 5 villagers but the village level committee proceedings provide pertaining to only one village. The state Govt may review the same and clarify accordingly. If required, provided the VLC proceedings for other villages also.	The Toks to be benefited i.e. Syal Dobha, Khaliyon, Khali, Khamoli are under <b>Revenue Village Maniguh</b> . Mr Manoj Singh is Gram Pradhan for all these villages/Tok. Hence VLC level proceeding is provided for Maniguh only.	
	Component wise break up is not provided in online Part-I. The component wise break up should include area marked for various component viz. road, muck disposa etc.  The village wise break up is not clear as the detail provided with the proposal of villages to be benefited are of 5 villagers but in village wise break up detail furnished only two villages and the area of forest land is also not mentioned against these two villages. The State Govt may review the same and provide correct detail for each village in village wise break up un online Part I  As per the villages to be benefited by the proposal includes 5 villagers but the village level committee proceedings provide pertaining to only one village. The state Govt may review the same and clarify accordingly. If required, provided the VLC	

संलग्नः- उपरोक्तानुसार।

भवदीय.

(जीठकें ० रस्तोगी)

वन संरक्षक,

गढ़वाल वृत्त् अत्तराखण्ड,पौड़ी।

ई, मेल :- dforudraprayag @gmail.com फोन / फैक्स नं0 :- 01364 - 233505:: पता -: माई की मढ़ी निकट जवाडी बाईपास स्वद्रप्रयाग



## कार्यालय उप वन संरक्षक, रुद्धप्रयाण वन प्रभाण, रुद्धप्रयाण।

पत्रांक - 630 /12-1(2) दिनांक 07 / 09 /2017::

सेवा में,

वन संरक्षक, गढ़वाल वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, पौडी।

विषय :-

राज्य योजना (मु०मं०घो०) के अन्तर्गत जनपद-रुद्रप्रयाग के विधानसभा क्षेत्र केदारनाथ के विकासखण्ड अगस्त्यमुनि मणिगुह मोटर मार्ग से स्याल डोभा होते हुये खाली-खमोली तक मोटर मार्ग (0.3850 है0) के निर्माण हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग को वन भूमि हंस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव। Proposal No- FP/UK/ROAD/16066/2015

सन्दर्भ :--

नोडल अधिकारी द्वारा लगाई गई आपत्तियां (EDS) दिनांक 03.08.2017।

महोदय.

उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित विषयक वन भूमि हस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव में लगी आपत्तियों का बिन्दुवार निराकरण अधिशासी अभियन्ता प्रान्तीय खण्ड, लो०नि०वि०, रुद्रप्रयाग ने अपने पत्रांक—2802 / 16सी० दिनांक 06.09.2017 द्वारा निम्न प्रकार प्रेषित है।

क्र.सं	आपत्ति	निराकरण
1	Component wise break up is not provided in online Part-I. The component wise break up should include area marked for various component viz. road, muck disposa etc.	Component wise break up filled in online Part I para B-2.4 (Road construction, Muck dumping)
2	The village wise break up is not clear as the detail provided with the proposal of villages to be benefited are of 5 villagers but in village wise break up detail furnished only two villages and the area of forest land is also not mentioned against these two villages. The State Govt may review the same and provide correct detail for each village in village wise break up un onlne Part I	Five villages mentioned to be benefited from the proposal are under Revenue village i.e. Maniguh. The land proposed to be diverted belongs to revenue village Maniguh only which is filled in online Part I Para B.2.3.  Note- Syal Dobha, Khaliyon, Khali, Khamoli are Toks which comes under revenue village Maniguh.
3	As per the villages to be benefited by the proposal includes 5 villagers but the village level committee proceedings provide pertaining to only one village. The state Govt may review the same and clarify accordingly. If required, provided the VLC proceedings for other villages also.	The Toks to be benefited i.e. Syal Dobha, Khaliyon, Khali, Khamoli are under Revenue Village Maniguh. Mr Manoj Singh is Gram Pradhan for all these villages/Tok. Hence VLC level proceeding is provided for Maniguh only.

Va

भवदीय

उप वर्ष संरक्षक, रूद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रूद्रप्रयाग।