No. 4614 H.P.Forest Department.

Dated Reckong Peo the 06 - 01 - 2022

From:- D.C.F. Kinnaur.

To:- C.C.F. (T)Rampur.

Sub:- Diversion of 0.4148 Ha. of forest land in favour of HPPCL Reckong Peo Distt. Kinnaur for the construction of Integrated Kashang HEP Stage-II & III for the some modification in the Project component i.e. construction of silt flushing tunnel (SFT) within the jurisdiction of Kinnaur Forest Division Reckong Peo, H.P.

Sir,

On the subject cited above this is to inform you that the observations raised by your department have been attended as below:-

Sr. No.	Observations	Reply
1.	The reply to the observations has been received in this office in the hard copy only. But the same has not been uploaded on the e-portal.	Needful has been done
2.	The approximate distance of the proposed site for diversion from boundary of the forest has been left blank in the hard copy of Part-II and as such no needful done by your office.	Needful has been done
3.	The shortcomings as in point No. 11 has not been attended in the hard copy of Part-II placed in the proposal folders.	The revised Part-II has been attached/uploaded against Additional Document details
4.	The total compensatory afforestation stipulated in the district/division has been shown upto 31.03.2012, which needs to be updated.	Revised Part-II has been attached
5.	The progress of compensatory afforestation has been shown upto 31.03.2018 in the Part-II placed in the proposal folders which needs to be updated.	Revised part-II has been attached
6.	The FRA certificate alongwith Gram Sabha proceeding placed in the proposal folders has not been got attested from the concerned department.	Undertaking has been attached
7.	The enumeration list of trees placed at page no. 93 is not proper. The total no. of trees in the list is 21 but in the total, these has been shown as 4.	Total No. of Trees are 21 in which 17 are saplings and 4 are Trees
8.	The site inspection placed at Page No. 49, no date and place has been mentioned.	Needful has been done
9.	The cost of nursery/plant does not seems to be included in the CA scheme placed at page 53-54.	Needful has been done
10.	The reply to the observation No. 18 is not proper and does not tally with the contents given in online Part-II.	Revised part-II has been uploaded /attached against additional information
11.	The reply to observation No. 19 is not proper. The IA does not seems to be placed in the proposal folder.	Needful has been done
13.	The page numbering in the proposal files has been made with the soft pencils which is not acceptable.	Needful has been done

Deput Comservator 59 Forests, Thingur Forest Division Innigur Forest Division at R/Peo.