कार्यालय उप वन संरक्षक, झुंझुनूं

क्रमांक :–एफ( )सर्वे/उवसझुं/2017–18/ 340 दिनांक:– 12/4/18

अति.प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक
अरावली भवन, जयपुर।

विषय: Diversion of 13.015Ha of Forest land in favor of NRSSXXXVI Transmission limited, for the construction of 400kV D/C Babai (RRPVNL) to Bhiwani (PGCIL) Transmission line in Jhunjhunu Forest division, Rajasthan ort.

संदर्भ: MoEF&CC Lucknow vide letter No 8B/Raj/04/11/2018/FC 21 dated 10.04.2018
NRSS/DEL/RJ/01-2017/44 dated; 12/04/2018

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयान्तर्गत निवेदन है कि भारत सरकार के संदर्भित पत्र द्वारा अपेक्षित सूचना इस कार्यालय स्तर से निम्नानुसार पूर्ण कर वास्ते आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित है–

Sl.No	Compliance raised by Regional MoEF&CC	Compliance Report
1(a)	Hard copy of the proposal is without Index and Page Numbers have been provided by Pencil	Please find hard copy indexed proposal with page numbers have been mentioned on all pages.
1(b)	Several documents of the proposal mere Xerox copies without authentication.	Signed authenticated documents enclosed.
2	As per A-3 XVII of Part I, signature of Authorized person needs authentication from competent authority for becoming authorized signatory on behalf of User agency.	Under reference to NRSS/DEL/RJ/01-2017/44 dated; 12/04/2018 please find enclosed herewith Certified true copy of the resolution passed at the meeting of Board of Directors of the company held on 2nd December 2016 in which Mr.Rajnish Mehrotra has been authorized by the company directors to sign documents on behalf of NRSSXXXVI Transmission limited.
3	Information provided at B-2.4, component wise breakup of land requirement provides gross details. Details of forest land proposed for diversion on basis of project component shall be provided.	The user agency submitted the details under reference to NRSS/DEL/RJ/01-2017/44 dated; 12/04/2018 which is in line with as per MoEF&CC guidelines, the ROW for 400kV D/C line is 46mtrs. All the activity will be done in the below area. In the Proposed area there are 7 towers which is to be erected. The area wise details for project proponent is as below: Construction of 7 Nos. of structures : 0.4375Ha ROW of Transmission Line : 12.5775Ha Total Area : 13.015Ha
4	As per the Justification uploaded with the proposal at D-I in Part I where involvement of Wildlife reserve or Conservation area is clearly mentioned but the same vital facts are not reflected in Sr.No 8 of Part II specifically designed for Wildlife Significance. The issue need clarification. The Proposal may be segregated in two.	Total forest area for this project is 13.015hac. out of this area 7.452Ha falls in Bansiyal Khetri conservation reserve forest Block Bansiyal-53 and rest of the area 5.553 Ha. Falls in protected forest of forest block Khetri-48 A.

5	The alternative provided at D-2(a) in Part I is incorrect. A slight change in alignment will avoid portion falling within Khetri PF which will reduce proposed diversion by 5.563Ha. This vital points Justification.	The user agency submitted the details under reference to NRSS/DEL/RJ/01-2017/44 dated; 12/04/2018 "The Proposed route is passing through the Khetri PF and it cannot be avoided as the substation where we need to connect the line is just behind the forest patch. Just after the forest patch there is No options for passing the line as there are villages and mining. We would have to go round the forest patch which would not make the project unfeasible. The forest patch of Kherti PF do not involve much flora and Funna hence not disturbing the environment and ecology and I agree with that and recommended for consideration of proposal based on attached (**Annexure..**) i.e Justification for locating the project .
6	Document from District Level committee for FRA 2006 have not been uploaded at K-1 (a) of part I of the proposal.	The District level community meeting proceeding for FRA 2006 was uploaded. However please find a copy of the same.
7	As per GIS DSS analysis of KML files following points regarding proposed forest land for diversion and proposed compensatory afforestation need revision a. Original Toposheet from Survey of India need submission b. KML file have not been submitted in CD c. KML file of proposed forest land diversion when overlaid on Google Earth show a shift with Right of Way	a. All the alignment and CA land details have been superimposed on Original Toposheet downloaded from Survey of India website. b. KMl file of single route, forest patch, 3 alternatives and Rinex data of DGPS conducted is already submitted to your good office. We are hereby submitting once again. c. The KML file are as per DGPS report and are in accordance with Ground survey along with our staffs . There may be some variation as there is always some error in google maps and hence cannot be considered benchmark.
8	Additional document uploaded in Part I at Sr No 3 mentions diversion is of Road side PF which is obligatory and unavoidable whereas document at Sr.No D Part I are showing analysis of 3 alternatives. This is contradictory and need Clarification.	The TL is passing through Khetri PF and Bansiyal PF in Jhunjhunu district. There is No strip plantation along roads.
9	Detail Land Schedule with basis of area calculation of proposed forest diversion has not been uploaded and land schedule provided in hard copy is without details.	The area has been calculated after making a polygon for forest patch coming in 46Mtrs of ROW. At many places the forest area is encountered in corners of ROW and remaining id Non forest. In that cases multiplying length to RoW will not give correct figure. The present area considered is as per DGPS survey after preparing the polygon with corner points of the forest area affected. The Land Schedule submitted in Hard copies for the same reason contains area in Hectare
10 (A)	Online enumeration list shows negative value in column 0-30 cms girth class which is incorrect.	On line correction done in Part II.
10(B)	Diversion proposal for transmission line needs submission of 2 enumeration list, one for tree effected due to diversion and other trees to be felled.	Enumeration list trees effected was submitted earlier. However a separate sheet "Enumeration of trees to be cut is attached herewith (**Annexure)**

	per provision contained in FCA handbook chapter 3. This exemption from equivalent non forest is available to transmission lines upto 220kV (3.2.(vi)c.) or central government undertaking 3.2 xi, which is not the case in this proposal as at A-2(Xiii) of Part I it is mentioned that user agency is Private.	July 2014.
12	Site Inspection report of DCF is without mentioning the legal status of forest land proposed for diversion	A revised site inspection report uploaded in online.
13	The proposal is without map showing location of transmission towers on forest and Non forest land.	All the towers cannot be marked on toposheets as it is in the scale of 1:50000. But the towers in forest are already marked in the village maps which is attached in additional information details sl.no 9, 28, 29.

भवदीय

12/04/18

(आर.एन.मीना)
उप वन संरक्षक
झुंझुनूं

क्रमांक :–एफ( )सर्वे/उवसझुं/2017/ 341 दिनांकः– 12/4/18

प्रतिलिपि– अति. प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक, प्रोटेक्शन एवं नोडल अधिकारी एफसीए, राजस्थान जयपुर को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित है।

12/04/18

(आर.एन.मीना)
उप वन संरक्षक
झुंझुनूं