

कार्यालय प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, बागेश्वर वन प्रभाग बागेश्वर

Email-dfobag-forest-uk.nic.in/ dfo_bageshwar@rediffmail.com

दूरभाष एवं फ़ैक्स नं०: 05963-220249

वन मित्र काल सेटर- 9208008000

पत्रांक 2652/ 127-2 बागेश्वर दिनांक : 10/5 /2018
सेवा में,

वन संरक्षक,
उत्तरी कुमाऊ वृत्त,
उत्तराखण्ड अल्मोड़ा।

विषय - जनपद बागेश्वर में देवलधार-मैथान-लेटी-गिरेछीना मोटर मार्ग के निर्माण के सम्बन्ध में (प्रस्ताव संख्या-10903/2015)

सन्दर्भ- ऑनलाईन आपत्ति के क्रम में।
महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयक संदर्भित पत्र के क्रम में अवगत कराना है कि आपके द्वारा लगायी गयी आपत्तिया का निम्नानुसार निराकरण कर अग्रिम आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित किया जा रहा है। अतः प्रस्ताव पर अग्रिम कार्यवाही करने की कृपा करें।

बिन्दु स० 1	In reply to point no.6 of EDS, it is mentioned that the revised cost benefit analysis has been uploaded at para-G (a) but it is seen from the documents uploaded that the monetary figures given in annexure-VI (b) i.e. parameters for evaluation of loss of forests and annexure-VI (c) i.e. parameters for evaluation of benefits notwithstanding loss of forests do not tally with the monetary figures given in the cost benefit ratio calculation sheet. This discrepancy in the monetary figures is required to be removed by the state Government.	Annexure-VI (b) parameters for evaluation of loss of forests and annexure-VI (c) parameters for evaluation of benefits notwithstanding loss of forests has been corrected and uploaded at para -G (a).
बिन्दु स० 2	In reply to point no.7 of EDS, it is mentioned that the justification for locating the project in the forest land has been uploaded in part-I but the document has not been found uploaded at para-D(i) instead a google earth map showing the proposed road has been uploaded at para -D-(i) justification has been found uploaded as additional document in part-I. state Govt. is requested to upload the justification at designated place in part-I online.	Justification for locating the project in the forest land has been uploaded in part-I at para-D(i).

	<p>In reply to point no.7 of EDS it is mentioned that the CA scheme for 13.995 ha has been uploaded but the CA scheme has not been found uploaded at para -13 (vii) of online part-II. However the CA scheme for 13.995 ha has been found uploaded at s.no. 17 of additional documents in part-I moreover the Geo referenced digital map and the map in suruey of india toposheet showing CA area uploaded at para -13 (ii) & (iii) of part-III are different from the maps uploaded at para-L of online part-I. state Govt may upload the CA scheme and the correct maps at designated places in online part-II.</p>	<p>The CA scheme has been revised according the directions.</p>
4	<p>The forest land proposed for diversion comes to 6.9975 ha but the area of forest land is mentioned as 6.9915 ha by the DC in the FRA certificate. State Govt may submit the FRA certificate after mentioning the correct area.</p>	<p>New FRA certificate after mentioning the correct area has been uploaded for 6.9975ha. instead of 6.9915 ha.</p>
5	<p>It is seen from the muck dumping plan that the muck is proposed to be disposed of at 5 sites in RF land and 3 sites in state land but this land has not been included in the forest land proposed for diversion. State Govt. may clarify this discrepancy and submit revised proposal after including the forest land required for muck disposal, if necessary.</p>	<p>In the proposal 9 mtr width is taken in land schedule, the road will be constructed approx. within the width of 6 mt, the muck will be disposed within 3 mtr width after construction of RR Dry wall which land is included in land schedule.</p>
6	<p>It is seen from DSS analysis of the proposed ca area that this land overlaps with the CA land proposed against proposal no. FP/UK/ROAD/10143/2015. FP/UK/ROAD/12464/2015 & FP/UK/ROAD/11032/2015. The State Govt. may review the position and change the CA area and submit all necessary documents for the new area to be proposed for CA.</p>	<p>New CA map has been prepared & uploaded in part-I.</p>
7	<p>The no. of trees per hectare comes to 42 trees and the density is mentioned as 0.3 which does not appear to be correct. Moreover, NPV rate has been charged for the dense forest category@ of 8.45 lakh per hectare in Eco class-v which is not correct rate keeping in view the density of 0.3 (open forest) state Govt may reviewed the density and the NPV rate to be charged</p>	<p>According to the density of area NPV rates are charged and a copy of NPV rates has been revised the directions.</p>

	and submit revised NPV calculation mentioning density, eco class & rate etc.	शिवर वन प्रभाग बागेश्वर gagshwar@gmail.com 03-22349 037000
8	It is seen from the data given in para-14 i.e. the district profile that the progress of CA (1315.278 ha) is equivalent to the CA stipulated (1315.278 ha) State Govt may confirm the data.	The district profile that the progress of CA(1315.278 ha) has been counted at the time of preparing the proposal which is correct according the office record of bageshwar forest division.
9	State Govt. may submit original copies of the geo-referenced digital map of the proposal road as well as the land proposed for CA for placing in the hard copy of the proposal.	Original copies of the geo-referenced digital map of the proposed road has been submitted at Nodel officer Deharadun vide this office letter no. 3081/2 ई0व0भू0 दिनांक 21.12.2017.

भवदीय

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी
बागेश्वर वन प्रभाग बागेश्वर