

**कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण,  
इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।**

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक (केन्द्रीय),  
भारत सरकार,  
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय,  
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय एफ0आर0आई0,  
देहरादून।

**विषय:— जनपद—बागेश्वर में झोपड़ा शिखर बास्ती मोटर मार्ग हेतु। FP/UK/ROAD/10587/2015**

महोदय,


विषयांकित प्रकरण के सम्बन्ध में कार्यालय वन संरक्षक, उत्तरी कुमाऊँ वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, अल्मोड़ा, के पत्रांक 2031/12-1 दिनांक 09-02-2017 से प्राप्त आख्या के क्रम में प्रयोक्ता एजेन्सी द्वारा प्रेषित बिन्दुवार आख्या मय संलग्नक सहित निम्न प्रकार प्रेषित की जा रही है :-

क्र0सं0	प्रश्न	उत्तर
1	Short narrative of the project does not provided adequate information part I.	Short narrative details filled at section A-1(iii) in form A part I by User Agency
2	Total period for which the forest land proposed to be diverted is mentioned 15 year which is not correct. Correct information may be provided.	Total period details filled at section A-1(x) inform A part I by User Agency.
3	Village wise break up show that the road is passed through the boundary of one village but in the details of beneficiaries 6 number of villages are mentioned. The State Govt may clarify that if the area of only one village is covered under the proposed road then how the other five villages are benefited by the proposed road and if required correct the information in village wise breakup accordingly.	User Agency has mentioned that In proposed road alignment passes through only one gram sabhas named Basti and other 5 villages named Jhopra, Kasnaula, badkula, Bhagour, dwayari one basically sub villages tok of Basti village. Now village wise breakup is corrected and uploaded at section B-2.3 in Form A part I.
4	Component wise breakup is incomplete as the area desingnated for muck disposal is not shown in component wise breakup in part I.	Component wise break up details filled at section B-2.4 in Form A Part I by User Agency
5	The details pertaining to alternate examined is not provided in Part I neither the required Map showing the alternate alignment examined is uploaded in the designated column in Part I.	Justification of Alternative examined details uploaded at section D in Form A Part I by User Agency.
6	Employment generation details are not furnished in Part I.	Employment generation details filled at section E in Form A Part I by User Agency.
7	Village level committee proceeding provided for only one village through as per details furnished with the hard copy that 6 villages are benefited with the road. The State Govt. may clarify that does not road pass through the coundary of other 5 villages or not and if required the proceeding for other gram sabhas may also be furnished.	User Agency has mentioned that In proposed road alignment passes through only one gram sabha named Basti and other 5 villages named Jhopra, Kasnaula, Badkula, Bhagour. Dwayari one basically sub village/Tok of basti village. Now villages wise breakup is corrected and uploaded.



8	GIS software generated geo-referenced Map showing digitally marked Geo-coordinates for 200-300mtr along the alignment including all turns of the proposed road may be uploaded in the designated place in part I. the Google map will not be accepted for the purpose.	GIS software generated geo referenced digital map uploaded at section C-(v) in Form A Part I by user Agency.
9	GIS software generated geo-referenced Map showing digitally marked Geo-coordinates of all corners of the area proposed for CA in polygon/closed shape file may be uploaded in the designated place in part I. the Google map will not be accepted for the purpose.	GIS software generated geo referenced digital map uploaded at section L-(vi) in Form A Part I by user Agency.
10	In the DSS analysis the area marked for CA shows presenced of 3 ha area out of total area 9.974ha in MDF which is not suitable for CA. The State govt, may propose this 3ha area at some other suitable place and revise the KML, Geo-refernced digital map showing coordinates of all corners accordingly, and upload same in the designated place in Part I.	SIR report for CA area given by DFO is uploaded an additional information SR.NO-1 in Form A Part I.
11	The administrative approval provided in the hard copy is not clearly shown the length of the road approved. the State Govt. may clarify that the administrative and financial approval is available for the total length of the road proposed or not and if required the visible copy of approval may be provided in this office.	User Agency mentioned that There are three different G.Os for whole road first one of 2 kml under State Sector G.O no 122/PWD-2/2004 dated 06-02-2004 and second one of 5.00 Km under State sector Govt no784/III(2)/08-42(MLA)/07 dated 20-03-2008 and third one of 6.00 km under district sector G .O. no 929/district sector 2010-11 dated 17-09-2010 this the road length approved about 13Km but after completion of Premilinary survey length of road out 12.00km. Rectification regarding to this point also uploaded an additional Information SR.No-12 in Form A Part I by User Agency.
12	It is not clear that the area of 0.386359ha marked for muck disposal is included in the area proposed for diversion or not.	Muck dumping details uploaded an additional information SR.NO-16 in Form A Part I by User Agency.

भवदीय,

  
(विनोद कुमार)

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी