

No. 2670

H.P. Forest Department

Dated Rekong Peo, the, 01.10.2021

From: DCF Kinnaur.

To:-CCF (T) Rampur.

Subject: - Diversion of 7.3722 hectare of additional forest land in favour of Himachal Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd. for the construction of Shongtong Karcham HEP 450 MW due to enhancement in the existing capacity of HEP 402 to 450 MW and due to change in the river course during flood and usage of already diverted dumping site used by BRO within the jurisdiction of Kinnaur Forest Division District Kinnaur H.P.

Memo;

Kindly refer to Nodal Office-Cum APCCF(FCA)O/o Pr. CCF (HoFF)H.P.Shimla-I Endst No. Ft.48-4019 (FCA) Dated Shimla, the 18.Feb..2021 on the subject cited above.


In this connection, it is submitted that the point-wise requisite compliance

is furnished as under:-

Sr. No.	Observations	Compliance
1.	There is difference in estimate cost of the project mentioned against column No. A-(vii) of online part-I and mentioned against column No. 1(iii) in hard copy of part -I. The estimated cost of the project should tally as mentioned in hard copy and in online part- I	The estimate of the cost of project mentioned against the column No. A-I(vii) of online Part-II and hard copy column No. I (iii) rectified by the User agency with supporting document as <b>(Annexure-I)</b> (copy of TEC) and placed in the proposal folder.
2.	Against column No K(i), 'Yes', has been mentioned and FRA certified has also been uploaded, but instance of uploading the original FRA certificate along with all the proceeding , photocopy of FRA has been found uploaded . As per Gol instructions, original FRA certificate along with all the proceedings if not in original, duly attested by the issuing Department is required to be upload against column No. K(i) In both the proposal folder photocopy of FRA has been placed. At least in own of the proposal folder, original copy of FRA certificate alongwith all the proceedings is required to be placed.	FRA certificate along with the all proceeding duly authenticated by the issuing authority is being uploaded on the online portal and same attached in the hard copies of the proposal folder as <b>(Annexure-II)</b>
3.	Against column No.1(i), 'No', has been mentioned .As per latest instructions of Gol, in case of "No", the distance from the protected area or their Eco-sensitive zone is required to be obtained from DFO concerned for	Against column No. 1(i), As per latest instructions of Gol the distance from the protected area / Eco-sensitive zone is being obtained from the DFO concerned and being uploaded against additional information & same is being attached in the hard

<p>mentioned .As per latest instructions of Gol,in case of "No", the distance from the protected area or their Eco-sensitive zone is required to be obtained from DFO concerned for uploading against additional information details in on-line part-I.</p>	<p>of Gol the distance from the protected area / Eco-sensitive zone is being obtained from the DFO concerned and being uploaded against additional information &amp; same is being attached in the hard copies of the proposal folders as (<b>Annexure-III</b>)</p>
<p>4. There is difference in the density of vegetation mentioned in on-line part-II and mentioned in hard copy should match and the density of vegetation is required to be mentioned in decimal unit as has been mentioned in online-part-II.</p>	<p>Observation has been attended /rectified on the hard copy as mentioned in on-line part-II and placed in the proposal folder.</p>
<p>5. As per enumeration lists of trees placed in the hard copy of proposal folder only 53 trees are standing over the forest land proposed for diversion whereas in online-part – II against column No. 4 (ii), 112 trees have been shown. Thus there is difference in number of trees mentioned in online part-II and in hard copy of part –II. It is not clear how much numbers of trees are involved in the present proposal. Total numbers of trees involved in the proposal is required to be mention in online part-II and should match with the enumeration lists of the trees placed in the proposal folder.( Further enumeration lists have not been signed by the DFO concerned.)</p>	<p>As per enumeration list 112 nos of trees which includes 59 saplings are standing over the Khasra Nos as mentioned in the enumeration list</p> <p><b>No. of sapling=59 Nos.</b>  <b>No. of trees= 53</b>  <b>Total=112</b>  <b>Total no. of trees as mentioned in online part –II and as mentioned in the enumeration lists placed in the proposal folder stands rectified and has been matched accordingly.</b></p>
<p>6. Scientific name of all the trees have neither been mentioned in online part-II nor in hard copy of part-II. If the scientific name of all trees are not in the dropdown menu, the scientific name of all trees are to be mentioned within bracket with local name of the trees. (General abstract of all trees coming in the proposed project alongwith scientific names duly signed by the DFO concerned is required to be placed in the proposal folder.)</p>	<p>Scientific name of the all trees have been mentioned in online part-II and in the hard copy of part-II.</p> <p>Abstract of all trees coming in the proposed project along with scientific names has been dully signed by the DFO concerned and placed in the proposal folder.</p>
<p>7. Against column No. 8(i) instead of reporting the wild life present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion ,'No wildlife or National Park is present in the vicinity of proposed land' has been mentioned against this column. The wildlife present in and around forest land proposed for diversion is required to be mentioned against this column.</p>	<p>The necessary correction have been made in the Against column No. 8(i).</p>
<p>8. Against column No. 11, in online part-II , 'Yes,' has been mentioned, whereas against column No.11 (i) (a)and (b),of online part-II no details have been mentioned. Further, in hard copy hard copy of part-II ,against column No. 9,'No' has been mentioned. Revised part-II, with</p>	<p>Revised part –II with details is placed in hard copy.</p>

	details is to be placed in hard copy.	
9.	Against column No. 12 of online part-II, 'Yes' has been mentioned Why the work has not been stopped?	As per the detailed report dated 09.11.20 on violation under FCA, during the site inspection conducted on 11.02.2020 construction of Adit-I tunnel was already completed. Subsequently no work has taken place. The user agency has also been directed to not start any activity until clearance under FCA is obtained. A Penal CA scheme has been prepared in lieu of the violation.
10.	CA scheme uploaded against column No. 13(ii) in online part-II and placed in the proposal folder is on current wages rates. Revised CA scheme on current wages rates 2020-2021 required to be prepared for uploading in online part-II and placing in hard copy of part-II.	Now the Revised CA scheme on current wages rates 2020-2021 has been prepared and placed in the proposal folder accordingly.
11.	One of the digital maps of the CA site, uploaded against column No. 13(iii) has not been signed by DFO concerned.	Digital maps of the CA site, uploaded against column No. 13(iii) has already been signed by DFO concerned and placed in the proposal folder accordingly.
12.	As per latest instructions of Gol, also endorsed to all CFs/CCFs, the PDF of hard copy of proposal folder is also required to be uploaded in online part-I, by the User agency. The user agency be advised to upload the same after necessary correction are made in the proposal folder.	The user agency has been advised to upload the pdf of hard copy of proposal folder in online part-II after necessary correction are made in the proposal folder.

  
 Deputy Commissioner of Forests,  
 Kinnowal Forest Division, Rekong Peo, HP.  
 Rekong Peo (H.P.) 172107