



## ॥ कार्यालय :- प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, सिविल एवं शोयम वन प्रभाग, पौड़ी ॥

वन परिशर कण्डोलिया, पौड़ी गढ़वाल, उत्तराखण्ड-246001.

पत्रांक :- 1518 / 12-1 , पौड़ी, दिनांक, मई 09 , 2017.

सेवा में,

वन संरक्षक,  
गढ़वाल वृत्त उत्तराखण्ड,  
पौड़ी।

**विषय:-** प्रोजेक्ट नं० FP/UK/Road/18605/2016 जनपद पौड़ी गढ़वाल के विधान सभा क्षेत्र श्रीनगर के विकास खण्ड खिर्स में गवाणा मोटर मार्ग के कि०मी० 4 से सुराडी गाँव तक मोटर मार्ग का निर्माण कार्य।

**सन्दर्भ:-** अधिशासी अभियन्ता लोक निर्माण विभाग, श्रीनगर गढ़वाल की पत्र संख्या - 445/28 सी० दिनांक 30-03-2017 एवं आपका ई०डी०एस दिनांक 07-08-2016

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयक सन्दर्भित ई०डी०एस० के क्रम में अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक, (केन्द्रीय) भारत सरकार पर्यावरण एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय एफ०आर०आई० देहरादून के द्वारा लगाई गई आपत्तियों का निराकरण निम्नवत किया गया है :-

Essential Details Sought by Nodal Office	Reply
1. Authority letter is not issued for the applicant in para I	Authority letter is uploaded at SI. No. A-3 of form A Part I
2. In village wise break up the total area of forest land is shown 0.612 ha and in non forest area 3.07 ha instead of the area proposed for diversion i.e 2.25 ha and in non forest 3.465 ha. The correct details in village wise breakup for forest and non forest area may be uploaded in online part I	The correct details in village wise break up for forest and non forest area is updated in SI. No. B2.3 of form A part I
3. Proceeding of village level committee VLC meeting pertaining to all the villages shown in village wise break up is not furnished though the VLC provided for only one village Gawana. The State Govt. may do the necessary correction in the information provide in village wise break up and the VLC proceeding pertaining to all villages are involved in the diversion for forest land may also provided/uploaded in online Part I	The FRA Certificate with all VLC proceeding is uploaded at SI. No. K of form A part I
4. The component wise break up does not shows area marked for muck disposal in part I	Revised component wise breakup is uploaded at S.No. B-2.4 of form A part I
5. The KM L file for the area proposed for diversion is not uploaded in part I. The file uploaded in this place is of area proposed for CA. The State govt. may uploaded correct KML for for raod	Corrected KML file for proposed diversion is uploaded at S.No. "C" of form A part I
6. The state govt has provided/ uploaded the scanned copy of GIS software generated GEO refrenced digital map in google earth map which is not acceptable. The State Govt. may provide/ upload the correct map showing GEO coordinates at 200 to 300 m interval along the alignment including all turing points on exact to the scale and ensure the uploading of correct revised map in online part I	GIS software generated revised map uploaded at S.No. "C" of form A part I



7. The geo referenced digital map of area proposed for CA uploaded is not acceptable for the purpose as provided in google earth map. The state Govt. may provide/upload GIS software generated geo referenced digital map of the area proposed for CA providing geo coordinate at all corner points in shape ( polygon / closed area ) file	Corrected GIS software generated geo referenced digital map of the area proposed for CA with coordinates is uploaded at S.No. "L" of form A part I
8. The SOL toposheet map location area proposed for CA is not uploaded in part I. The map uploaded in this column shows area proposed for diversion only. The State Govt. may upload the correct file	The topo sheet of the area proposed for CA with coordinates is uploaded at S.No. "L" of form A part I
9. Copy of map indicating location of alternative examined is not uploaded in the disigned place in part I	The map indicating location of alternative track is uploaded in S.No "D" of form A part I
10. Justification of location the project in forest land uploaded in part I is not satisfactory . The State Govt. may upload revised justification in the designated column	Justification for project locating in forest land uploaded in S.No "D" of form A part I
11. Employment generatoin details is not furnished in part I	Employment generation details furnished in S.No. "E" of form A part I
12. CA Stipulation against total area diverted in he district profile is not commensurate and neither justified ny the state govt regarding poor CA progress in the district. The state Govt. may correct the information and if required do the proper justification for the poor progress of CA	Correct District profile Figures successfully filled in S.No 14 of form part II
13. The of Site Inspection report mentioned in online part II is incorrect and the point 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5 are not answered by the DFO. The same may be rectified and correct SIR may upload in the disgnated place in online part II	उक्त प्रस्ताव वर्ष 2015 में इस कार्यालय को प्रेषित किया गया था जिसका Site inspection दिनांक 14-03-2016 को किया गया था। उक्त प्रस्ताव दिनांक 30-03-2016 को इस कार्यालय को ऑनलाईन प्राप्त हुआ है जिसके तहत ऑनलाईन प्रस्ताव में दिनांक 30-03-2016 से पूर्व की तिथि को भरना सम्भव नहीं हो पा रहा है। जिस कारण से संयुक्त निरीक्षण की तिथियों में भिन्नता आना स्वाभाविक है। बिन्दु संख्या 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 व 5.5 का उत्तर Site inspectoin report में अंकित कर अपलोड कर दिया गया है।
14. In the DSS analysis the area calculated of KML file uploaded for CA is 3.54 ha while the area proposed for CA is 4.50 ha and out of this total area 2 ha is moderately dense forest. The State Govt. may replace this 2 ha area with some other area suitable for CA and provide/ upload revised digital map. SOL toposheet map and KML file of the complete 4.5 ha CA area again after making the above change.	Area for CA earlier allotted has been change and now the new location for CA(village margun area 4.80 ha) attached with kml file Khasra detail, ownership proof, MOU between the present owner and the user agency, encumbrance certificate, GEO referenced Map and Topo Sheet of CA in column "L" of form A part I

भवदीय,

(लक्ष्मण सिंह रावत)

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी,

सिविल सोयम वन प्रभाग, पौड़ी।



कार्यालय अधिशासी अभियन्ता  
निर्माण खण्ड, लो०नि०वि०, श्रीनगर गढ़वाल



फोन/फैक्स न० - 01346 - 252191, ई-मेल - eepwdsrinagar@rediffmail.com, eepwdsrinagar2013@gmail.com

पत्रांक- 445 / 28सी  
सेवा में,

दिनांक 30/03/2017

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी  
सिविल एवं सोयम वन प्रभाग  
पौड़ी गढ़वाल।


विषय:- प्रोजेक्ट नं० FP/UK/ROAD/18605/2016 जनपद पौड़ी गढ़वाल के विधान सभा क्षेत्र श्रीनगर के विकास खण्ड खिसू में गवाणा मोटर मार्ग के कि०मी० 4 से सुराडी गाँव तक मोटर मार्ग का निर्माण कार्य।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयक के क्रम में अवगत कराना है कि अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक (केन्द्रीय) भारत सरकार पर्यावरण वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय एफ०आर०आई० देहरादून के द्वारा लगाई गई आपत्तियों का निराकरण निम्नवत् किया गया है:-

Essential Details Sought by Forest Department	Reply of User Agency
1. Authority letter is not issued for the applicant in Part I..	Authority letter is uploaded at Sl. No.- A-3 of form A Part I
2. In village wise breakup the total area of forest land is shown 0.612 ha and in non forest area 3.07 ha instead of the area proposed for diversion i.e. 2.25 ha and in non forest 3.465 ha. The correct details in village wise breakup for forest and non forest area may be updated in online Part I.	The correct details in village wise breakup for forest and non forest area is updated in Sl.No.- B-2.3 of form A Part I.
3. Proceedings of village level committee (VLC) meeting pertaining to all the villages shown in village wise break up is not furnished though the VLC provided for only one village Gawana. The State Govt may do the necessary correction in the information provided in village wise break up, and the VLC proceedings pertaining to all villages are involved in the diversion of forest land may also provided/uploaded in online Part I.	The FRA certificate with all VLC proceeding is uploaded at Sl. No.- K of form A Part I
4. The component wise break up does not shows area marked for muck disposal in Part I	Revised component wise breakup is uploaded at S.No.- B-2.4 of form A part I.
5. The KML file for the area proposed for diversion is not uploaded in Part I. The file uploaded in this place is of area proposed for CA. The State Govt may upload correct KML file for road.	Corrected kml file for proposed diversion is uploaded at Sl no- "C" of form A part I
6. The State Govt has provided/uploaded the scanned copy of GIS software generated geo referenced digital map in Google earth map which is not acceptable. The State Govt may provide/upload the correct map showing geo coordinates at 200 to 300 m interval along the alignment including all turning points on exact to the scale and ensure the uploading of	GIS software generated revised map uploaded at Sl. No.- "C" of form A Part I

correct revised map in online Part I.	
7. The geo-referenced digital map of area proposed for CA uploaded is not acceptable for the purpose as provided in Goole earth map. The State Govt may provide/upload GIS software generated geo referenced digital map of the area proposed for CA providing geo coordinates at all corner points in shape (polygon/closed area) file.	Corrected GIS software generated geo referenced digital map of the area proposed for CA with coordinates is uploaded at Sl.No.- "L" of form A part I
8. The Sol toposheet map locating area proposed for CA is not uploaded in Part I. The map uploaded in this column shows area proposed for diversion only. The State Govt may upload the correct file.	The topo sheet of the area proposed for CA with coordinates is uploaded at Sl.No.- "L" of form A part I
9. Copy of map indicating location of alternative examined is not uploaded in the designated place in Part I.	The map indicating location of alternative track is uploaded at Sl. No. of form A Part I
10. Justification of locating the project in forest land uploaded in Part I is not satisfactory. The State Govt may upload revised justification in the designated column.	Justification for project locating in forest land uploaded in Sl.No.- "D" of form A Part I
11. Employment generation detail is not furnished in Part I.	Employment generation details furnished in Sl. No.-"E" of form A Part I
12. CA stipulation against total forest area diverted in the district is not commensurate and neither justified by the State Govt regarding poor CA progress in the district. The State Govt may correct the information and if required do the proper justification for the poor progress of CA.	N/A (Reply to be given forest Division)
13. The date of site inspection mentioned in online Part II is incorrect and the point 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5 are not answered by the DFO. The same may be rectified and correct SIR may upload in the designated place in online Part II.	N/A (Reply to be given forest Division)
14. In the DSS analysis the area calculated of KML file uploaded for CA is 3.54 ha while the area proposed for CA is 4.50 ha and out of this total area 2 ha area is moderately dense forest. The State Govt may replace this 2 ha area with some other area suitable for CA and provide/upload revised digital map, Sol topohsheet map and KML file of the complete 4.5 ha CA area again after making the above change.	Area for C.A. earlier allotted has been change and now New Location for C.A. (Village Margun, area 4.80 hec.) attached with kml file, Khasra Detail, ownership proof, Mou between the Present owner and the user agency, encumbrance certificate, Geo Reference Map and Topo Sheet of C.A. in column L of Form-A Part-I.

  
 अधिशासी अभियन्ता  
 निर्माण खण्ड, लो0नि0वि0, श्रीनगर

**SITE INSPECTION REPORT- NOT BELOW THE RANK OF DCF**  
(for the forest land to be diverted under FCA)

5.1 A proposal has been received by this office from Executive Engineer CD P.W.D. Srinagar Garhwal for diversion under FCA-1980) of 2.250 ha. of forest land for non-forestry purpose. The project envisages the use of forest land for construction of Construction of Suradi from 4<sup>th</sup> km of Rampur-Gawana Motor Road in Pauri Distirct. The site inspection of the land involved in the proposal has been done by me on dated. 14/03/2016

5.2 On inspection of the site, it is found that the land required by the user agency is a RF/PF/un-classed/Other forests measuring 2.250 ha. ✓

5.3 The requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in Co1.2 part-1 is unavoidable and is barest minimum required for the project. ✓

5.4 Whether any rare /endangered /unique species of flora and fauna found in the area. If, so the details there of :- X

5.5 xx Whether any protected archeological /heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area, if, so the details thereof with NOC from competent authority, if required.- X

a) ✓ The user agency has not violated the provisions of forest (Conservation), Act 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

b) 7 It has been found that the user agency has violated (Conservation), Act, and 1980 provisions. A details report as per para 1.9 of chapter 1, Para C of Hand book of forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 attached.

Specific recommendation for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal.

(नोट:- प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी द्वारा यहाँ पर प्रस्ताव के सम्बन्ध में अपनी संस्तुति/टिप्पणी आवश्यक रूप से अंकित की जाय)

Place:- Pauri

Date:- 14/03/2016

Recommended in Public Interest.

(Signature)

Name.....  
Designation.....  
Office Seal.....

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी  
अश्विन एव सोयम  
वन प्रभाग पीडी

N.B. State the purpose for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted. out of(a) and (b) tick the option which is applicable and cross the option which is not applicable.

As per letter number 2-2/2000 FC dated 16-10-2000 from ministry of Environment & Forest, Government of India for proposal involving less then 40 hectares of forest land, the site inspection report from DCF is required and for proposal involving more the 40 hectares of forest land site inspection report from the conservator of forests is required.